VELO HIGUERAS, M. 2023. I've been catfished, now what? A case study of identify fraud in online research. Presented at the 2023 SNMPP (School of Nursing, Midwifery and Paramedic Practice) Postgraduate research symposium, 25 May 2023, Aberdeen, UK.

I've been catfished, now what? A case study of identify fraud in online research.

VELO HIGUERAS, M.

2023







I've been catfished! Now what?

A case study of identity fraud in online research

Maria Velo Higueras

PhD candidate and Midwifery lecturer

Supervisors:

Dr Flora Douglas and Dr Catriona Kennedy





Fake-bots

Imposter participants

Ineligible participants

Online impersonators who pretend to be eligible to participate in research studies for financial gain

Fraudulent participants

Fraudsters

Identity fraud



Background

Online recruitment

Benefits

- Maximises geographical and demographic reach
- Reduces travelling cost and environmental impact
- Minimises recruitment time
- Increased anonymity of participants

Risks

- Data protection
- Fraudulent participants

Financial incentives increase the risk of fraudulent behaviour

In survey studies, up to 1/3 of data can be potentially fraudulent

Identity fraud in research participants seems to have rapidly increased since COVID



The research study

A feminist interpretive description of UK midwives' attitudes and experiences on freebirth

Aim

to describe the attitudes, opinions and experiences on freebirth of UK registered midwives currently working in clinical practice

Methods

Feminist qualitative interpretive description design

Sampling

UK registered midwives currently providing direct clinical care to women and families

Convenience sampling

10 to 15 participants



Recruitment

Social media advertisement

Expression of interest form

Electronic consent form

Pre-interview questionnaire

Invitation to interview

Data collection

Demographic online survey

Pre-interview emoji question

Online interview (teams)



The case of Jane Doe*













Pre-interview













Invitation to interview

Post-interview



Ethics and protocol amendment

Cross-Social media Expression of Electronic Pre-interview referencing advertisement interest form consent form questionnaire name against NMC register Independent Exclusion of review of anonymised interview data

transcript

Reporting fraud or unsafe practice?

Invitation to

interview



Recruitment findings

Expression of interest (n=55)

Duplicates removed

(n=12)

Invited to complete pre-interview survey

(n=43)

Pre-interview survey completed (n=

Invited to interview (n=18)

Interviewed (n=15)

Name verified against NMC (n=32)

Name verified but other signs of fraud identity (n=1)

Name not verified (n=12)

Duplicate submissions (n=5)

Suspicious email pattern (n=8)

Pre-interview survey with minimal information (n=2)



Relevance

Depleting of study funds

Reduced ability to recruit more participants

Questions over data validity and authenticity of findings

Emotional impact of fraud



How to identify potential fraud

At screening or survey studies

- Duplicated entries
- Clustering of entries
- Unusual email patterns
- Inconsistent or conflicting answers
- Loss of contact when requested to authenticate
- Queries about payment, including alternative payment methods
- Urgency to participate

At qualitative data collection

- Camera off
- Poor internet connection
- Vague, short and undetailed answers
- Inappropriate answers unrelated to the question
- Loss of contact when confronted or when probed for further details



How to protect your study data

External ID validation

"Background checks"

Make payment subject to ID validation

2step verification log- in

IP and captcha features in survey studies

Researcher as gatekeeper

Ask for camera to be on

Insider knowledge

Multiple manual review of suspicious answers



Work for the future

Identity fraud protocols should be included in ethics applications



Fraud detection should be reported in any study conducted online



Consider identity fraud in other online research activities (e.g. PPI)



Conclusion

Online recruitment of research participants is growing in popularity. Conducting research on social media requires deliberate attention to online fraud detection and methods to assess the eligibility of participants.

Addressing fraudulent behaviour in online research poses new ethical challenges for researchers that need to consider issues of confirmation of participant eligibility, confidentiality, crime reporting and data integrity and validity.



References

BRAINARD, J., LANE, K., WATTS, L. & BUNN, D., 2022. The Wasps are Clever: Keeping Out and Finding Bot Answers in Internet Surveys used for health research. *Preprints.org*, p. 2022030243.

CROSS, C., 2018. (Mis)Understanding the imapct of onlien fraud: implications for victim assistance schemes. *Victims & Offenders*, 13(6), pp. 757-776. GELINAS, L. et al., 2017. Using social media as a research recruitment tool: ethical issues and recommendations. *The American Journal of Bioethics*, 17(3), pp. 3-14.

GLAZER, J. V. et al., 2021. Liar! Liar! Identifying elegibility fraud by applicants in digital health research. *Internet Interventions*, Volume 25, p. 100401. GRIFFIN, M. et al., 2022. Ensuring survey research data integrity in the era of internet bot. *Quality&Quantity*, Volume 56, pp. 2841-2852.

GUEST, J. L. et al., 2021. Methods for authenticating participants in fully web-based mobile app trials from the iReach Project: cross-sectional study. *JMIR MHealth and UHealth*, 9(8), p. e28232.

GUILLEMIN, M. et al., 2016. "Doing Trust": how researchers conceptualize and enact trust in their research practice. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics*, 11(4), pp. 370-381.

HEWITT, R. M., PURCELL, C. & BUNDY, C., 2022. Safeguarding online research integrity: concerns from recent experience. *British Journal of Dermatology,* Volume 187, pp. 999-1000.

JONES, A. et al., 2021. Challenging issues of integrity and identify of participants in non-synchronous online qualitative methods. *Methods in Psychology*, Volume 5, p. 100072.

KOCH, C. M., 2017. To Catch catfish: statutory solution for victims of online impersonation. *University of Colorado Law Review*, 88(1), pp. 233-xvi.

NHS HEALTH RESEARCH AUTHORITY, 2017. UK policy framework for health and social care research. London: NHS Health Research Authority.

OWENS, L. L., 2022. An Implausible Virtual Interview: conversations with a professional research subject. *Sociological Methodology*, 52(2), pp. 12-140.

RIDGE, D. et al., 2023. 'Imposter participants' in online qualitative research, a new and increasing threat to data integrity?. *Health Expectations,* pp. 1-4.

ROEHL, J. M. & HARLAND, D. J., 2022. Imposter participants: overcoming methodological challenges related to balancing participant privacy with data quality when using online recruitment and data collection. *The qualitative report*, 27(11), pp. 2469-2485.

SMITH, D., 2023. How Deception Plays a Role in Online Dating andDating Apps. Canadian Journal of Family and Youth, 15(2), pp. 23-32.

TEITCHER, J. F. et al., 2015. Detecting, preventing and responding to "fraudsters" in Internet Research: ethics and tradeoffs. *J Law Med Ethics*, 43(1), pp. 116-133.

VRIJ, A., 2010. Why professionals fail to catch liars and how they can improve. Legal and Criminologial Psychology, 9(2), pp. 159-181.