

TUFAHA, N., CRUICKSHANK, E., POCIECHA, D., GORECKA, E., STOREY, J.M.D. and IMRIE, C.T. 2023. Molecular shape, electronic factors, and the ferroelectric nematic phase: investigating the impact of structural modifications. *Chemistry: a European journal* [online], 29(28), article e202300073. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202300073>

# Molecular shape, electronic factors, and the ferroelectric nematic phase: investigating the impact of structural modifications.

TUFAHA, N., CRUICKSHANK, E., POCIECHA, D., GORECKA, E., STOREY, J.M.D. and IMRIE, C.T.

2023

© 2023 The Authors. *Chemistry- A European Journal* published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and re-production in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Supplementary materials are appended after the main text of this document.

# Molecular Shape, Electronic Factors, and the Ferroelectric Nematic Phase: Investigating the Impact of Structural Modifications

Naila Tufaha,<sup>[a]</sup> Ewan Cruickshank,<sup>\*[a]</sup> Damian Pocięcha,<sup>[b]</sup> Ewa Gorecka,<sup>[b]</sup> John M.D. Storey,<sup>[a]</sup> and Corrie T. Imrie<sup>[a]</sup>

**Abstract:** The synthesis and characterisation of two series of low molar mass mesogens, the (4-nitrophenyl) 2-alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoates (NT3.*m*) and the (3-fluoro-4-nitrophenyl) 2-alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoates (NT3F.*m*), are reported in order to investigate the effect of changing the position of a lateral alkoxy chain from the methoxy-substituted terminal ring to the central phenyl ring in these two series of materials based on RM734. All members of the NT3.*m* series exhibited a conventional nematic phase, N, which preceded the ferroelectric nematic phase, N<sub>F</sub>, whereas all the members of the NT3F.*m* series exhibited direct N<sub>F</sub>-I transitions except for NT3F.1 which also exhibited an N phase. These materials cannot be described as wedge-shaped, yet their values of the ferroelectric nematic-nematic transition temperature,  $T_{N_F/N}$ , exceed those of the corresponding materials with the lateral alkoxy chain located on the

methoxy-substituted terminal ring. In part, this may be attributed to the effect that changing the position of the lateral alkoxy chain has on the electronic properties of these materials, specifically on the electron density associated with the methoxy-substituted terminal aromatic ring. The value of  $T_{N_I}$  decreased with the addition of a fluorine atom *ortho* to the nitro group in NT3F.1, however, the opposite behaviour was found when the transition temperatures of the N<sub>F</sub> phase were compared which are higher for the NT3F.*m* series. This may reflect a change in the polarity and polarizability of the NT3F.*m* series compared to the NT3.*m* series. Therefore, it is suggested that, rather than simply promoting a tapered shape, the role of the lateral chain in inhibiting anti-parallel associations and its effect on the electronic properties of the molecules are the key factors in driving the formation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase.

## Introduction

The conventional uniaxial nematic phase, N, although the least ordered liquid crystalline phase, is at the heart of one of the most successful optoelectronic technologies, namely liquid crystal displays.<sup>[1]</sup> Within the nematic phase, the constituent molecules align along a common direction known as the director,  $\mathbf{n}$ , whereas their centres of mass are randomly arranged. The director has inversion symmetry such that  $\mathbf{n} = -\mathbf{n}$ , and hence the phase is non-polar. Over 100 years ago, however, Born suggested that if molecules had a sufficiently large molecular dipole then the interactions between them

could drive the formation of the nematic phase, providing those interactions were strong enough to withstand thermal fluctuations and that this phase would be ferroelectric in nature.<sup>[2]</sup> The first reported experimental observations of a polar ferroelectric nematic phase, N<sub>F</sub>, were reported in 2017 for RM734,<sup>[3,4]</sup> and DIO,<sup>[5]</sup> Figure 1. In the N<sub>F</sub> phase there is a spontaneous alignment of the molecular dipoles and the inversion symmetry present in the N phase is lost, i.e.  $\mathbf{n} \neq -\mathbf{n}$ , and the phase is polar.

The overwhelming majority of the materials known to exhibit the N<sub>F</sub> phase are low molar mass compounds with a large dipole along the long axis of the molecule,<sup>[6–18]</sup> although a small number of polymers have also been shown to exhibit the N<sub>F</sub> phase.<sup>[7,19,20]</sup> To date there have been around 200 molecules which have been shown to exhibit the N<sub>F</sub> phase and these may broadly be categorised into three general structures, based on either RM734,<sup>[3]</sup> DIO<sup>[5]</sup> or UUQU-4-N,<sup>[8]</sup> Figure 1. Exceptions to this classification exist such as the highly rigid fluorinated mesogens reported by Song et al.<sup>[21]</sup> The three archetypal structures of RM734, DIO and UUQU-4-N appear somewhat chemically different, but similarities between them are evident and these may be crucial for the rational design of new materials. Specifically, the molecules that show the N<sub>F</sub> phase possess a large longitudinal molecular dipole, and it has been suggested that this needs to be at least 9 D.<sup>[7]</sup> In addition, the molecular shape incorporates an element of lateral bulk and

[a] N. Tufaha, E. Cruickshank, J. M.D. Storey, C. T. Imrie  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Aberdeen  
Old Aberdeen, AB24 3UE (UK)  
E-mail: ewan.cruickshank2@abdn.ac.uk

[b] D. Pocięcha, E. Gorecka  
Faculty of Chemistry  
University of Warsaw  
ul. Żwirki i Wigury 101, 02-089, Warsaw (Poland)

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202300073>

© 2023 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.




Figure 1. Molecular structures of RM734 (left), DIO (middle) and UUQU-4-N (right).

this may arise from fluorination of the aromatic rings or from lateral alkoxy chains. These empirical observations are in accord with the computer simulations reported by Berardi et al.,<sup>[22]</sup> who modelled tapered molecules using a generalised Gay-Berne type of attractive-repulsive potential and found that a combination of a non-centrosymmetric shape with strong attractive forces arising from a large dipole moment showed the ferroelectric nematic phase.

The  $N_F$  phase has rapidly become the hottest topic in the field of liquid crystals not only because of its fundamental importance but also because of its true application potential. This application potential arises for a number of reasons including that the  $N_F$  phase is uniaxial and so the polarization is along the director unlike in the more conventional ferroelectric smectic materials,<sup>[23]</sup> it is easy to align,<sup>[24]</sup> it has a high non-linear optical response,<sup>[25,26]</sup> switching occurs for very low electric fields<sup>[5,13,18,27–29]</sup> and the phase maintains the fluidity of the nematic phase.<sup>[30]</sup>

To enhance our understanding of the  $N_F$  phase and to exploit its application potential, new ferroelectric nematogens are required with improved temperature working ranges. To enable the rational design of these materials requires a better understanding of structure-property relationships associated with the  $N_F$  phase but these remain at a very early stage. It is, therefore, critical that we now explore the effects that different structural modifications have on the stability of the  $N_F$  phase. Indeed, Mandle<sup>[31]</sup> has recently reported hydrogen-bonded

supramolecular complexes that show the  $N_F$  phase and surprisingly, these have molecular dipole moments far smaller than the value of 9 D described earlier. Such studies will also provide further examples of the direct  $N_F$ -I transition which has only been observed for a rather limited number of molecules.<sup>[6,8,11,15,16,20]</sup> With these goals in mind, here we report the synthesis and characterisation of two series of ferroelectric nematogens that are structurally analogous to the 5-*m* and 6-*m* series, Figure 2, that we recently reported,<sup>[16]</sup> the (4-nitrophenyl) 2-alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoates (NT3.*m*) and the (3-fluoro-4-nitrophenyl) 2-alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoates (NT3F.*m*), Figure 3. The key difference between the 5-*m* and the 6-*m* series, and the NT3.*m* and NT3F.*m* series is that the lateral alkoxy chain is now attached to the central phenyl ring and this is expected to have a significant effect on the molecular shape. The NT3.*m* and NT3F.*m* series differ by a fluorine atom *ortho* to the terminal nitro group, and this allows the effects of molecular polarity and polarizability on the  $N_F$  phase to also be evaluated. We note that of the fourteen materials reported, three have been described previously.<sup>7</sup>

## Results and Discussion

The transitional properties of the NT3.*m* series are reported in Table 1. The transition temperatures of  $m=1-3$  have been reported previously,<sup>[7]</sup> and the data listed in Table 1 are in




Figure 2. The structures of the 5-*m* and 6-*m* series where *m* refers to the number of carbon atoms in the lateral alkoxy chain.<sup>16</sup>




Figure 3. The structures of the NT3.*m* and NT3F.*m* series where *m* refers to the number of carbon atoms in the lateral alkoxy chain.


**Table 1.** Transition temperatures and associated scaled entropy changes for the NT3.*m* series.

| <i>m</i> | $T_{CH}/^{\circ}\text{C}$ | $T_{N_fN}/^{\circ}\text{C}$ | $T_{NI}/^{\circ}\text{C}$ | $\Delta S_{CH}/R$ | $\Delta S_{N_fN}/R$  | $\Delta S_{NI}/R$   |
|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| 1        | 192                       | –                           | –                         | 12.5              | –                    | –                   |
|          | –                         | 126 <sup>[a]</sup>          | 189 <sup>[a]</sup>        | –                 | 0.032 <sup>[a]</sup> | 0.21 <sup>[a]</sup> |
| 2        | 164                       | –                           | –                         | 12.9              | –                    | –                   |
|          | –                         | 104 <sup>[a]</sup>          | 137 <sup>[a]</sup>        | –                 | –                    | 0.25 <sup>[a]</sup> |
| 3        | 130                       | –                           | –                         | 12.4              | –                    | –                   |
|          | –                         | 93 <sup>[b]</sup>           | 105 <sup>[a]</sup>        | –                 | –                    | 0.25 <sup>[a]</sup> |
| 4        | 120                       | –                           | –                         | 8.80              | –                    | –                   |
|          | –                         | 76 <sup>[b]</sup>           | 82 <sup>[a]</sup>         | –                 | –                    | 0.13 <sup>[a]</sup> |
| 5        | 102                       | 63                          | 68                        | 13.0              | 0.31                 | 0.16                |
|          | –                         | 61 <sup>[a]</sup>           | 66 <sup>[a]</sup>         | –                 | 0.30 <sup>[a]</sup>  | 0.18 <sup>[a]</sup> |
| 6        | 94                        | 55                          | 58                        | 12.9              | – <sup>[c]</sup>     | – <sup>[c]</sup>    |
|          | –                         | 53 <sup>[a]</sup>           | 57 <sup>[a]</sup>         | –                 | 0.37 <sup>[a]</sup>  | 0.13 <sup>[a]</sup> |
| 7        | 72                        | 52                          | 55                        | 10.6              | 0.30                 | 0.071               |
|          | –                         | 51 <sup>[a]</sup>           | 54 <sup>[a]</sup>         | –                 | 0.36 <sup>[a]</sup>  | 0.10 <sup>[a]</sup> |

[a] Values extracted from DSC cooling trace. [b] Measured using polarized optical microscopy. [c] Crystallisation precluded measurement of the entropy.

reasonable agreement with those in the literature. The value of  $T_{N_fN}$  reported for NT3.3 is some 12 °C lower but, as we will see, this lower value perfectly fits the overall behaviour for the series. All the members of the NT3.*m* series showed a ferroelectric nematic phase preceded by a conventional monotropic nematic phase.

The nematic phase was assigned by polarised optical microscopy based on the observation of characteristic schlieren textures which contained two and four-point brush defects, together with the optical flickering associated with director fluctuations, Figure 4(a). Cooling the nematic phase saw a clear change in the birefringence of the sample along with the emergence of additional defect lines which acted as domain boundaries, Figure 4(b). These domains contained areas of differing birefringence and the boundary lines marked the division of regions where the director changed orientation and hence polarization. These domain walls have been described as “soft”,<sup>[13]</sup> due to their ability to deform upon the application of a small electric field or to change position somewhat over time. In planar aligned cells, a uniform texture characteristic of the nematic phase, Figure 4(c), was followed by an increase in birefringence and the formation of a banded texture, characteristic of the ferroelectric nematic phase, Figure 4(d). The formation of these domains is thought to be driven by director twist deformations which are necessary to connect opposite polarization vectors on the lower and upper cell surfaces.<sup>[15]</sup> The entropy changes associated with the nematic to isotropic transitions are lower than might be expected for low molar mass calamitic systems, but they are similar to those found for the 5-*m* series.<sup>[16]</sup> The small values of  $\Delta S_{NI}/R$  found here for the NT3.*m* series have been attributed to the enhanced molecular biaxiality arising from the lateral alkoxy chain that reduces the



**Figure 4.** Polarized optical microscope textures observed for NT3.5: (a) Nematic schlieren texture between untreated glass slides ( $T = 66^{\circ}\text{C}$ ); (b) highly birefringent striped texture with boundaries in the  $N_f$  phase between untreated glass slides ( $T = 61^{\circ}\text{C}$ ); (c) uniform texture of the N phase in a planar aligned cell ( $T = 66^{\circ}\text{C}$ ); (d) banded texture of the  $N_f$  phase in a planar aligned cell ( $T = 61^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). The arrow shows the alignment direction.



orientational order of the nematic phase and hence, decreases  $\Delta S_{NI}/R$ .<sup>[34,35]</sup>

The transitional properties of the NT3F.*m* series are reported in Table 2. All the members of the NT3F.*m* series exhibited direct  $N_F$  to isotropic transitions, except for  $m=1$  which showed a N phase preceding the  $N_F$  phase. The N and  $N_F$  phases seen for  $m=1$ , were assigned based on the observation of similar


**Table 2.** Transition temperatures and associated scaled entropy changes for the NT3F.*m* series.

| <i>m</i> | $T_{Ch}/^{\circ}\text{C}$ | $T_{N_F-N}/^{\circ}\text{C}$<br>$*T_{N_F-I}/^{\circ}\text{C}$ | $T_{NI}/^{\circ}\text{C}$ | $\Delta S_{Ch}/R$ | $\Delta S_{N_F-N}/R$<br>$*\Delta S_{N_F-I}/R$ | $\Delta S_{NI}/R$   |
|----------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------|
| 1        | 180                       | 142   | 157                       | 13.7              | 0.34  | 0.28                |
|          | –                         | 141 <sup>[a]</sup>  | 156 <sup>[a]</sup>        | –                 | 0.34 <sup>[a]</sup>                           | 0.29 <sup>[a]</sup> |
| 2        | 179                       | –   | –                         | 13.8              | –   | –                   |
|          | –                         | 118 <sup>[b]*</sup>   | –                         | –                 | –   | –                   |
| 3        | 135                       | –   | –                         | 12.0              | –   | –                   |
|          | –                         | 92 <sup>[a]*</sup>  | –                         | –                 | 0.91 <sup>[a]*</sup>                          | –                   |
| 4        | 124                       | –   | –                         | 16.9              | –   | –                   |
|          | –                         | 79 <sup>[a]*</sup>  | –                         | –                 | 1.52 <sup>[a]*</sup>                          | –                   |
| 5        | 104                       | 70*   | –                         | 8.28              | 0.89*   | –                   |
|          | –                         | 68 <sup>[a]*</sup>  | –                         | –                 | 0.91 <sup>[a]*</sup>                          | –                   |
| 6        | 80                        | 60*   | –                         | 12.6              | – <sup>[c]*</sup>                             | –                   |
|          | –                         | 58 <sup>[a]*</sup>  | –                         | –                 | 0.97 <sup>[a]*</sup>                          | –                   |
| 7        | 73                        | 57*   | –                         | 12.9              | 0.93*   | –                   |
|          | –                         | 55 <sup>[a]*</sup>  | –                         | –                 | 0.96 <sup>[a]*</sup>                          | –                   |


[a] Values extracted from DSC cooling trace. [b] Measured using polarized optical microscopy. [c] Crystallisation precluded measurement of the entropy.

textures to those described for the NT3.*m* series, Figures 5(a–b). In the other members of the series the ferroelectric nematic phase developed directly from the isotropic liquid and in cells with planar anchoring this transition was marked by the emergence of spherical droplets which coalesced to give a banded texture with large domains, Figure 5(c–d). The scaled entropy changes associated with the  $N_F$ -I transitions for the NT3F.*m* series, Table 2, are on average six times larger than the scaled entropy changes associated with the N–I transitions for the NT3.*m* series, Table 1. This presumably reflects the additional entropic contribution associated with the ordering of the dipoles in the  $N_F$  phase compared to the conventional N phase and we have reported similar observations for other ferroelectric nematogens.<sup>[6,15,16]</sup>

Dielectric measurements were performed using NT3F.7. In the  $N_F$  phase a strong dielectrically active relaxation process was detected with dielectric strength reaching 13000 and relaxation frequency of the order of 100 Hz, Figures 6 and S1. This behaviour agrees well with that reported for other ferroelectric nematogens,<sup>[6,8,11,14,15,20]</sup> the strong dielectric mode might be attributed to the collective movement of the polarization direction, phason mode.<sup>[36]</sup> The polar character of the  $N_F$  phase was also confirmed by the observation of electric switching. Thus, when an AC voltage was applied to the cell, a single current peak per half cycle was registered and this is associated with the reversal of the spontaneous electric polarization,



**Figure 5.** Polarized optical microscope textures: (a) Nematic schlieren texture of NT3F.1 between untreated glass slides ( $T=156^{\circ}\text{C}$ ); (b) highly birefringent striped texture of NT3F.1 with boundaries in the  $N_F$  phase between untreated glass slides ( $T=141^{\circ}\text{C}$ ); (c) highly birefringent texture with boundaries in the  $N_F$  phase of NT3F.5 between untreated glass slides ( $T=67^{\circ}\text{C}$ ); (d) banded texture of the  $N_F$  phase for NT3F.5 in a planar aligned cell ( $T=67^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). The arrow shows the alignment direction.




**Figure 6.** Complex dielectric permittivity measured for NT3F.7 at 52 °C in a 9.7- $\mu\text{m}$ -thick cell with ITO electrodes and no alignment layer: the  $\square$  represents the measured real part of the dielectric permittivity, the  $\circ$  represents the imaginary part and the lines represent the fitting of the dispersion to the Cole-Cole formula, where  $\Delta\epsilon = 12860$ ,  $f_c = 256$  Hz, and  $\alpha = 0.04$ .


Figure 7. The  $P_s$  value for this material was found to be as high as  $4.5 \mu\text{Ccm}^{-2}$ .

In Figure 8, the transition temperatures shown by the NT3. $m$  series are compared to those of the corresponding series in which the lateral alkoxy chain is attached to the methoxy-terminated terminal ring, 5- $m$ .<sup>[16]</sup> As the length of the lateral alkoxy chain in the NT3. $m$  series is increased, the melting points decrease reflecting the disruption of the interactions between the molecules by the lateral substituent that also inhibits the

packing of the molecules in the crystalline phase. These effects become more pronounced as the chain length is increased. The members with shorter lateral chains are more prone to crystallisation than those with longer terminal chains. The highest values of both  $T_{\text{NI}}$  and  $T_{\text{N+N}}$  were found for  $m = 1$  and these values decreased as the length of the alkoxy chain increased, reaching limiting values for the longest homologues. Similar behaviour in terms of  $T_{\text{NI}}$  was first reported for low molar mass mesogens containing a lateral chain by Weissflog and



**Figure 7.** The switching current (black line) associated with polarization reversal under applied triangular wave voltage (blue line) for NT3F.7. Measurements were performed in a 9.7- $\mu\text{m}$ -thick cell with ITO electrodes and no alignment layer.




**Figure 8.** Dependence of the transition temperatures with respect to the number of carbon atoms in the lateral alkoxy chain,  $m$ , of the  $5\text{-}m$  (purple symbols) and  $\text{NT}3.m$  (green symbols) series where ... represents  $T_{\text{Cr}}$ , ● represents  $T_{\text{NI}}$ , and ■  $T_{\text{NF}}$ .

Demus.<sup>[37,38]</sup> It was suggested that the alkyl chain adopts conformations that allow it to lie along the molecular long axis and thus the shape anisotropy, for a given range of chain lengths, does not change and  $T_{\text{NI}}$  is effectively constant. Such an assumption is not, however, necessarily required to account for such behaviour.<sup>[39]</sup>  $T_{\text{NI}}$  falls more quickly than  $T_{\text{NF}}$ , on increasing the alkoxy chain length and the temperature range of the N phase narrows. The dependence of the transition temperatures on chain length of the  $\text{NT}3.m$  series is similar to that seen for the  $5\text{-}m$  series.


The  $\text{NT}3.m$  series exhibits higher values of  $T_{\text{NI}}$  than the corresponding members of the  $5\text{-}m$  series, although this difference was particularly small for  $m=1$ . This suggests that changing the position of the lateral chain from a terminal ring in the  $5\text{-}m$  series to the middle ring in the  $\text{NT}3.m$  series enhances the structural anisotropy, albeit marginally. The values of  $T_{\text{NF}}$  for the shortest lateral chains,  $m=1,2$ , are higher for the  $5\text{-}m$  series, but on increasing  $m$  the  $\text{NT}3.m$  series showed higher values. This suggests that the stability of the  $\text{N}_\text{F}$  phase is a more subtle balance of shape and electronic effects and that these differ on increasing chain length. Figure 9 shows the ball-and-stick models, space-filling models and associated electrostatic potential surfaces for  $\text{NT}3.5$  and  $5\text{-}5$ . Changing the position of the alkoxy chain clearly has a marked effect on molecular shape, and although  $5\text{-}5$  may be considered to have a tapered shape,  $\text{NT}3.5$  cannot. The average molecular dipole moment of the  $\text{NT}3.m$  series is 11.67 D and this is around 0.45 D larger than for the corresponding members of the  $5\text{-}m$  series. For example, for  $5\text{-}5$  the molecular dipole moment is 11.18 D compared to 11.72 D for  $\text{NT}3.5$ , the angle between the molecular axis and the molecular dipole is  $13.3^\circ$  for  $5\text{-}5$  and  $19.2^\circ$  for  $\text{NT}3.5$ . This increase in the angle between the dipole moment and the long molecular axis may be attributed to the increase in the dipole vector component along the y-axis arising from the change in electron density on changing the position of the lateral chain from the methoxy-substituted terminal ring in  $5\text{-}5$  to the middle ring in  $\text{NT}3.5$ . The angle found for  $5\text{-}5$  is smaller than that reported for  $\text{RM}734$ <sup>[40]</sup> and this suggests that the extension of the lateral alkoxy chain contributes to this difference. It is important to note that although these calculations were undertaken with a different basis set than that used by Mandl

et al.,<sup>[40]</sup> we report an identical dipole moment for  $\text{RM}734$  using the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory.<sup>[15]</sup> Furthermore, the angle between the molecular dipole and the molecular axis was  $15.8^\circ$  using the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory, which is in good agreement with that found by Mandl et al.<sup>[40]</sup> The molecular dipole moments of each member of the  $\text{NT}3.m$  series are given in Table S17. It is apparent from the electrostatic potential surfaces that the ester linkages are isolating regions of electron density such that electronic conjugation does not extend along the molecular axis, Figure 9, and it has been suggested that such a distribution drives the formation of the  $\text{N}_\text{F}$  phase.<sup>[31]</sup> In the framework of a molecular model developed by Madhusudana to describe the  $\text{N}_\text{F}$  phase, the calamitic molecules are considered to possess longitudinal surface charge density waves and these interact inhibiting the formation of antiparallel structures.<sup>[41]</sup> This model also suggests that the parallel alignment of the molecules is enhanced by minimising the amplitude of the charge density wave at either end of the molecule. It is noteworthy that changing the position of the lateral alkoxy chain changes the electron distribution of the aromatic rings. In the case of  $5\text{-}5$ , Figure 9, the lateral chain donates electrons into the terminal aromatic ring, increasing the amplitude of the charge density wave at the molecular terminus compared to that seen for  $\text{NT}3.5$ , and destabilises the ferroelectric nematic phase in accord with the predictions of the model proposed by Madhusudana.<sup>[41]</sup>

In Figure 10, the transition temperatures shown by the  $\text{NT}3F.m$  and  $6\text{-}m$  series are compared.<sup>[16]</sup> As with the  $\text{NT}3.m$  series, on increasing the length of the lateral alkoxy chain for the  $\text{NT}3F.m$  series, the melting points show a general decrease in temperature. As we discussed earlier, only  $\text{NT}3F.1$  shows a conventional nematic phase. For this series the value of  $T_{\text{NI}}$  must fall sharply on increasing  $m$  and the remaining members show a  $\text{N}_\text{F}$ -I transition. This implies an increase in the stability of the  $\text{N}_\text{F}$  phase relative to that of the N phase on increasing  $m$ . The values of  $T_{\text{NI}}$  fall on increasing  $m$  reaching a limiting value for the longest homologues. The values of both  $T_{\text{NI}}$  and  $T_{\text{NF}}$  are higher for the  $\text{NT}3F.m$  series than for the corresponding members of the  $6\text{-}m$  series.<sup>[16]</sup> This also suggests that having the lateral chain attached to the middle aromatic ring is more favourable for the stability of the ferroelectric nematic phase



**Figure 9.** Ball-and-stick models (top), space-filling models (middle) and electrostatic potential surfaces (bottom) of (a) NT3.5 and (b) 5-5, calculated at the B3LYP/6-31(d) level of theory. The arrow indicates the positive direction of the calculated dipole moment.




**Figure 10.** Dependence of the transition temperatures with respect to the number of carbon atoms in the lateral alkoxy chain,  $m$ , of the 6- $m$  (orange symbols) and NT3F- $m$  (blue symbols) series where ... represents  $T_{CrI}$ , ●  $T_{NI}$ , ■  $T_{N_F/N_I}$ , and □  $T_{N_F}$ .

than if it is located on the terminal ring as in the 6- $m$  series. Again, this may be attributed to the difference in electron density in the methoxy-substituted terminal ring arising from changing the position of the lateral alkoxy chain, as described earlier for the 5- $m$  and NT3- $m$  series. The average molecular

dipole moment of the NT3F- $m$  series is 12.75 D and this is around 0.55 D larger than for the corresponding members of the 6- $m$  series. For example, for 6-4 the molecular dipole moment is 12.24 D compared to 12.79 D for NT3F-4, and the angle between the long molecular axis and the molecular



dipole is  $14.0^\circ$  for 6-4 and  $19.0^\circ$  for NT3F.4. The difference in these angles is slightly smaller than that seen for 5-5 and NT3.5.




**Figure 11.** Dependence of the transition temperatures with respect to the number of carbon atoms in the lateral alkoxy chain,  $m$ , of the NT3. $m$  (green symbols) and NT3F. $m$  (blue symbols) series where ● represents  $T_{NI}$ , ■  $T_{NFN}$ , and □  $T_{NF1}$ .

The molecular dipole moments of each member of the NT3F. $m$  series are given in Table S17.

A comparison of the transition temperatures of the NT3. $m$  and NT3F. $m$  series is shown in Figure 11. The striking difference between the two series is the loss of the conventional N phase in the NT3F. $m$  series, except for  $m = 1$ , whereas all members of the NT3. $m$  series show the nematic phase. The fluorine atom *ortho* to the nitro group in NT3F.1 reduces  $T_{NI}$  by  $35^\circ\text{C}$  compared to that of NT3.1. This reduction may be attributed to the decrease in shape anisotropy associated with replacing the smaller hydrogen atom by a larger fluorine atom in a lateral position, Figure 12. The size of this reduction of  $T_{NI}$  is very much in line with the values reported for similar materials.<sup>[3,16]</sup> It is interesting to note that applying a similar value for the decrease in  $T_{NI}$  for the remaining members of the NT3F. $m$  series, sees the predicted values fall well below the experimentally observed values of  $T_{NF1}$ .

The values of  $T_{NFN}$  for the NT3. $m$  and  $T_{NF1}$  for the NT3F. $m$  series show a similar dependence on increasing the length of the lateral alkoxy chain, Figure 11. Whereas  $T_{NI}$  decreased with the addition of a fluorine atom, the opposite behaviour is found



**Figure 12.** Ball-and-stick models (top), space-filling models (middle) and electrostatic potential surfaces (bottom) of (a) NT3.4 and (b) NT3F.4, calculated at the B3LYP/6-31(d) level of theory. The arrow indicates the positive direction of the calculated dipole moment.

comparing the transition temperatures of the  $N_F$  phase which are higher for the NT3F.*m* series. Similar behaviour was reported for the 5-*m* and 6-*m* series, and for materials based on similar structures.<sup>[3]</sup> The increase in the stability of the ferroelectric nematic phase associated with the addition of the fluorine atom *ortho* to the terminal nitro group may be attributed to the change in polarity and polarizability of the molecule along with the change in shape.

The ball-and-stick models, space-filling models, and electrostatic potential surfaces of NT3.4 and NT3F.4, are shown in Figure 12. Again, it is apparent that these materials cannot be described as being wedge-shaped, and there is a clear difference in the electron density distribution in the aromatic ring containing the nitro group between the two molecules. This difference causes the average molecular dipole moment for the members of the NT3F.*m* series to be around 1.08 D higher than the NT3.*m* series. For example, the molecular dipole moments of NT3.4 and NT3F.4 are 11.69 D and 12.79 D, respectively, and the angles between the long molecular axis and the dipoles is 19.5° and 19.0°, respectively. The addition of the fluorine atom *ortho* to the terminal nitro group, removes electron density from the nitro group and spreads the charge helping to stabilise the ferroelectric nematic phase, Figure 12, in accord with the model proposed by Madhusudana,<sup>[41]</sup> and described earlier.

By comparing the behaviour of the 5-*m* and 6-*m* series with that of the NT3.*m* and NT3F.*m* series, respectively, it is clear that the change in the position of the alkoxy chain also contributed to the enhanced stability of the  $N_F$  phase. It is not clear, however, whether this is a steric effect, an electronic effect or as is perhaps more likely, a combination of both.

## Conclusions

We have reported the effects of changing the position of a lateral alkoxy chain from the methoxy-substituted terminal ring to the central phenyl ring in two series of materials based on RM734. These materials cannot be described as being tapered and yet their values of  $T_{N_F-N}$  exceed those of the corresponding materials with the lateral alkoxy chain in the terminal ring. Indeed, this structural change is accompanied by both an absolute increase in  $T_{N_F-N}$  and a relative increase in  $T_{N_F-N}/T_{N_I}$ . In part, this may be attributed to electronic changes. Specifically, for the NT3.*m* series, the lateral alkoxy chain no longer donates electrons into the methoxy-substituted terminal ring. This reduces the amplitude of the charge density wave compared to the corresponding members of the 5-*m* series, stabilising the ferroelectric nematic phase. It is possible, however, that for the design of new ferroelectric nematogens, the conventional approach of using a wedge-shaped molecule is limiting, and rather than the shape, it is the role of the lateral chain in inhibiting anti-parallel associations that is key. We clearly have a great deal more to learn about the structure-property relationships in the remarkable  $N_F$  phase.

## Experimental Section

**Synthesis:** The synthetic route used to prepare the NT3.*m* and NT3F.*m* series is shown in Scheme 1. A detailed description of the preparation of both series, including the structural characterisation data for all intermediates and final products, is provided in the Supporting Information.


**Optical studies:** Phase characterisation was performed by polarised light microscopy, using an Olympus BH2 polarising light microscope equipped with a Linkam TMS 92 hot stage. The untreated glass slides were 0.17 mm thickness while the planar aligned cells were purchased from INSTEC with a cell thickness between 2.9–3.5  $\mu\text{m}$ , and an ITO conducting layer.

**Differential scanning calorimetry:** The phase behaviour of the materials was studied by differential scanning calorimetry performed using Mettler Toledo DSC1 or DSC3 differential scanning calorimeters equipped with TSO 801RO sample robots and calibrated using indium and zinc standards. Heating and cooling rates were 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>, with a 3-min isotherm between either heating or cooling, and all samples were measured under a nitrogen atmosphere. Transition temperatures and associated enthalpy changes were extracted from the heating traces unless otherwise noted. For monotropic transitions, the sample was cooled to around 10 °C below the transition, held in a 3-min isotherm, reheated and if crystallisation did not occur during either the cooling or isothermal segment, the transitional properties were extracted from the heating segment. The entropy changes associated with the transition temperatures were scaled with the universal gas constant,  $R$ , using a value of 8.314 JK<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>.

**Molecular modelling:** The geometric parameters of the NT3.*m* and NT3F.*m* series were obtained using quantum mechanical DFT calculations with Gaussian09 software.<sup>[32]</sup> Optimisation of the molecular structures was carried out at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory. A frequency check was used to confirm that the minimum energy conformation found was an energetic minimum. Visualisations of electronic surfaces and ball-and-stick models were generated from the optimised geometries using the GaussView 5 software. The electronic surfaces were found with the cubegen utility in GaussView by generating a total density cube using a SCF density matrix and course grid, overlaid by an ESP surface map. Visualisations of the space-filling models were produced post-optimisation using the QuteMol package.<sup>[33]</sup>

**Spontaneous electric polarization measurements:** Values of the spontaneous electric polarization were obtained from the current peaks recorded during Ps switching upon applying triangular voltage at a frequency of 2 Hz. The 9.7  $\mu\text{m}$ -thick cells with ITO electrodes and no polymer aligning layers were used and the switching current was determined by recording the voltage drop at the resistivity of 50 kOhm in serial connection with the sample. The current peak was integrated over time to calculate the surface electric charge and evaluate polarization value.

**Dielectric spectroscopy:** The complex dielectric permittivity,  $\epsilon^*$ , was studied using a Solatron 1260 impedance analyzer, measurements were conducted in 1 Hz–1 MHz frequency ( $f$ ) range, with the probe voltage of 20 mV, and it was checked by optical observations that such a voltage is below the Fredericks transition threshold. The material was placed in 9.7  $\mu\text{m}$ -thick glass cells with ITO electrodes and no polymer aligning layers. Lack of a surfactant layer resulted in the random configuration of the director in the LC phases, microscopic observations of optical textures suggested dominant planar orientation without preferable direction of long molecular axis. The relaxation frequency,  $f_r$ , and dielectric strength of the mode,  $\Delta\epsilon$ , were evaluated by fitting the complex dielectric permittivity to the Cole-Cole formula:



**Scheme 1.** Overall synthetic route used to synthesise the (4-nitrophenyl) 2-alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoates, NT3.*m* series and (3-fluoro-4-nitrophenyl) 2-alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoates, NT3F.*m* series.

$$\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\infty} = \sum \frac{\Delta\varepsilon}{\left(1 + \frac{f}{f_r}\right)^{1-\alpha}} + i \left( \frac{\delta}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 f} \right)$$

where  $\varepsilon_{\infty}$  is the high frequency dielectric constant,  $\alpha$  is the distribution parameter of the mode and  $\delta$  is the low frequency conductivity, respectively.

## Acknowledgements

C. T. I. and J. M. D. S. acknowledge the financial support of the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council [EP/V048775/1]. D. P. gratefully thanks the National Science Centre (Poland) under the grant no. 2021/43/B/ST5/00240.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

**Keywords:** ferroelectric nematic phase · fluorine · lateral alkoxy chain · liquid crystal · nematic phase

- [1] J. C. Jones in *Handbook of Optoelectronics: Enabling Technologies. Series in Optics and Optoelectronics*, (eds. J. P. Dakin, R. G. W. Brown), CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2017, pp. 137–224.
- [2] M. Born, *Ann. Phys.* **1918**, *360*, 177–240.
- [3] R. J. Mandle, S. J. Cowling, J. W. Goodby, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 14554–14562.
- [4] R. J. Mandle, S. J. Cowling, J. W. Goodby, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *19*, 11429–11435.
- [5] H. Nishikawa, K. Shiroshita, H. Higuchi, Y. Okumura, Y. Haseba, S. I. Yamamoto, K. Sago, H. Kikuchi, *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, 1702354.
- [6] D. Pocięcha, R. Walker, E. Cruickshank, J. Szydłowska, P. Rybak, A. Makal, J. Matraszek, J. M. Wolska, J. M. D. Storey, C. T. Imrie, E. Gorecka, *J. Mol. Liq.* **2021**, *361*, 119532.
- [7] J. Li, H. Nishikawa, J. Kougo, J. Zhou, S. Dai, W. Tang, X. Zhao, Y. Hisai, M. Huang, S. Aya, *Sci. Adv.* **2021**, *7*, eabf5047.
- [8] A. Manabe, M. Bremer, M. Kraska, *Liq. Cryst.* **2021**, *48*, 1079–1086.
- [9] R. J. Mandle, *Soft Matter*. **2022**, *18*, 5014–5020.
- [10] N. Sebastián, M. Čopič, A. Mertelj, *Phys. Rev. E* **2022**, *106*, 021001.
- [11] J. Li, Z. Wang, M. Deng, Y. Zhu, X. Zhang, R. Xia, Y. Song, Y. Hisai, S. Aya, M. Huang, *Giant*. **2022**, *11*, 100109.

- [12] J. Zhou, R. Xia, M. Huang, S. Aya, *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2022**, *10*, 8762–8766.
- [13] N. Sebastián, R. J. Mandle, A. Petelin, A. Eremin, A. Mertelj, *Liq. Cryst.* **2021**, *48*, 2055–2071.
- [14] R. Saha, P. Nepal, C. Feng, M. S. Hossain, M. Fukuto, R. Li, J. T. Gleeson, S. Sprunt, R. J. Twieg, A. Jáklí, *Liq. Cryst.* **2022**, *49*, 1784–1796.
- [15] S. Brown, E. Cruickshank, J. M. D. Storey, C. T. Imrie, D. Pocięcha, M. Majewska, A. Makal, E. Gorecka, *ChemPhysChem* **2021**, *22*, 2506–2510.
- [16] E. Cruickshank, R. Walker, J. M. D. Storey, C. T. Imrie, *RSC Adv.* **2022**, *12*, 29482–29490.
- [17] H. Kikuchi, H. Matsukizono, K. Iwamatsu, S. Endo, S. Anan, Y. Okumura, *Adv. Sci.* **2022**, *9*, 2202048.
- [18] Y. Song, M. Deng, Z. Wang, J. Li, H. Lei, Z. Wan, R. Xia, S. Aya, M. Huang, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2022**, *13*, 9983–9990.
- [19] S. Dai, J. Li, J. Kougo, H. Lei, S. Aya, M. Huang, *Macromolecules* **2021**, *54*, 6045–6051.
- [20] J. Li, R. Xia, H. Xu, J. Yang, X. Zhang, J. Kougo, H. Lei, S. Dai, H. Huang, G. Zhang, F. Cen, Y. Jiang, S. Aya, M. Huang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 46.
- [21] Y. Song, J. Li, R. Xia, H. Xu, X. Zhang, H. Lei, W. Peng, S. Dai, S. Aya, M. Huang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2022**, *24*, 11536–11543.
- [22] R. Berardi, M. Ricci, C. Zannoni, *ChemPhysChem* **2001**, *2*, 443–447.
- [23] Y. Zhang, J. Ortega, U. Baumeister, C. L. Folcia, G. Sanz-Enguita, C. Walker, S. Rodriguez-Conde, J. Etxebarria, M. J. O’Callaghan, K. More, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 16298–16306.
- [24] F. Caimi, G. Nava, R. Barboza, N. A. Clark, E. Korblova, D. M. Walba, T. Bellini, L. Lucchetti, *Soft Matter* **2021**, *17*, 8130–8139.
- [25] N. Sebastián, L. Cmok, R. J. Mandle, M. R. De La Fuente, I. Drevenšek Olenik, M. Čopič, A. Mertelj, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2020**, *124*, 037801.
- [26] C. L. Folcia, J. Ortega, R. Vidal, T. Sierra, J. Etxebarria, *Liq. Cryst.* **2022**, *49*, 899–906.
- [27] X. Chen, E. Korblova, D. Dong, X. Wei, R. Shao, L. Radzihovsky, M. A. Glaser, J. E. Maclennan, D. Bedrov, D. M. Walba, N. A. Clark, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2020**, *117*, 14021–14031.
- [28] H. Nishikawa, F. Araoka, *Adv. Mater.* **2021**, *33*, 2101305.
- [29] X. Chen, Z. Zhu, M. J. Magrini, E. Korblova, C. S. Park, M. A. Glaser, J. E. Maclennan, D. M. Walba, N. A. Clark, *Liq. Cryst.* **2022**, *49*, 1531–1544.
- [30] M. T. Máthé, Á. Buka, A. Jáklí, P. Salamon, *Phys. Rev. E* **2022**, *105*, L052701.
- [31] R. J. Mandle, *Liq. Cryst.* **2022**, *49*, 2019–2026.
- [32] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09, Revision B.01*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, **2010**.
- [33] M. Tarini, P. Cignoni, C. Montani, *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.* **2006**, *12*, 1237–1244.
- [34] T. Donaldson, H. Staesche, Z. B. Lu, P. A. Henderson, M. F. Achard, C. T. Imrie, *Liq. Cryst.* **2010**, *37*, 1097–1110.
- [35] C. T. Imrie, G. R. Luckhurst, *J. Mater. Chem.* **1998**, *8*, 1339–1343.
- [36] N. Vaupotič, D. Pocięcha, P. Rybak, J. Matraszek, M. Čepič, J. M. Wolska, E. Gorecka, *Liq. Cryst.* **2023**, DOI: 10.1080/02678292.2023.2180099.
- [37] W. Weissflog, D. Demus, *Cryst. Res. Technol.* **1983**, *18*, K21–K24.
- [38] W. Weissflog, D. Demus, *Cryst. Res. Technol.* **1984**, *19*, 55–64.
- [39] C. T. Imrie, L. Taylor, *Liq. Cryst.* **1989**, *6*, 1–10.
- [40] R. J. Mandle, N. Sebastián, J. Martínez-Perdiguero, A. Mertelj, *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 4962.
- [41] N. V. Madhusudana, *Phys. Rev. E* **2021**, *104*, 014704.

---

Manuscript received: January 9, 2023

Accepted manuscript online: February 20, 2023

Version of record online: March 31, 2023



# Chemistry–A European Journal

Supporting Information

## **Molecular Shape, Electronic Factors, and the Ferroelectric Nematic Phase: Investigating the Impact of Structural Modifications**

Naila Tufaha, Ewan Cruickshank,\* Damian Pocięcha, Ewa Gorecka, John M.D. Storey, and Corrie T. Imrie

## Contents

|   |    |
|---|----|
| General Information.....  | 2  |
| Reagents .....  | 2  |
| Thin Layer Chromatography .....   | 2  |
| Column Chromatography .....   | 2  |
| Structure Characterisation .....  | 2  |
| Purity Analysis.....  | 2  |
| Synthesis .....   | 3  |
| 2-Hydroxy-4-(oxan-2-yloxy)benzaldehyde ( <b>S1</b> ) .....  | 3  |
| 2-Alkoxy-4-(oxan-2-yloxy)benzaldehydes ( <b>S2</b> ).....   | 4  |
| 2-Alkoxy-4-hydroxybenzaldehydes ( <b>S3</b> ) .....   | 6  |
| (3-Alkoxy-4-formylphenyl) 4-methoxybenzoates ( <b>S4</b> ) .....  | 8  |
| 2-Alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoic acids ( <b>S5</b> ).....  | 10 |
| (3-Fluoro <sup>a</sup> -4-nitrophenyl) 2-alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoates (NT3. <i>m</i> – NT3F. <i>m</i> <sup>a</sup> ) . | 13 |
| Additional Experimental Results .....   | 40 |
| References.....   | 41 |

## General Information

### Reagents

All reagents and solvents that were available commercially were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Fisher Scientific or Fluorochem and were used without further purification unless otherwise stated. Where required, solvents were dried over molecular sieves for a minimum of 24 hours prior to use.

### Thin Layer Chromatography

Reactions were monitored using thin layer chromatography, and the appropriate solvent system, using aluminium-backed plates with a coating of Merck Kieselgel 60 F254 silica which were purchased from Merck KGaA. The spots on the plate were visualised by UV light (254 nm) or by oxidation using either a potassium permanganate stain or iodine dip.

### Column Chromatography

For normal phase column chromatography, the separations were carried out using silica gel grade 60 Å, 40-63 µm particle size, purchased from Fluorochem and using an appropriate solvent system.


### Structure Characterisation

All final products and intermediates that were synthesised were characterised using  $^1\text{H}$  NMR,  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR and infrared spectroscopies. The  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra were recorded on a 400 MHz Bruker Advance III HD NMR spectrometer. The infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum Two FTIR with an ATR diamond cell.

### Purity Analysis


In order to determine the purity of the final products, elemental analysis was used. C, H, N microanalysis were carried out by the Sheffield Analytical and Scientific Services Elemental Microanalysis Service at the University of Sheffield using an Elementar Vario MICRO Cube. The instrument was calibrated using series of different masses of sulphanilamide and acetanilide. High-resolution mass spectrometry was carried out at the University of Aberdeen by Dr Morag Douglas using a Waters XEVO G2 Q-ToF mass spectrometer. The instrument was calibrated with sodium formate, and the lock mass was leucine enkephalin, Formula:  $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{37}\text{N}_5\text{O}_7$ ,  $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ : 556.2771.

## Synthesis



Scheme 1: Overall synthetic route used to synthesise the (4-nitrophenyl) 2-alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoates, NT3.*m* series, and (3-fluoro-4-nitrophenyl) 2-alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoates, NT3F.*m* series.

### 2-Hydroxy-4-(oxan-2-yloxy)benzaldehyde (S1)



Scheme 2: Synthesis of the 2-hydroxy-4-(oxan-2-yloxy)benzaldehyde intermediates.

Under inert conditions, to a solution of 2,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde (1 eq, 10 g,  $7.24 \times 10^{-2}$  mol) and 3,4-dihydropyran (1.2 eq, 7.3 g, 7.9 mL,  $8.68 \times 10^{-2}$  mol) in 30 mL dichloromethane (DCM), pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate (0.1 eq, 1.8 g,  $7.16 \times 10^{-3}$  mol) was added dissolved in 5 mL of DCM. The reaction mixture was allowed to react for 2 h and quenched by the addition of aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ . The mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 50$  mL) and then dried with  $\text{MgSO}_4$ . The drying agent was filtered, and the solvent removed *in vacuo*. The product was then purified by flash column chromatography using a mixture of ethyl acetate and hexane (5:95) to obtain the pure compound as a colourless oil with a yield of 65%.

RF: 0.38 (10:90 EtOAc:Hex)




IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 3228 (-OH), 2945 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2854 (-CH), 1627 (RC(=O)H), 1506 (C=C aryl), 1426, 1340, 1283, 1218, 1103, 1086, 952, 906, 867, 830, 772, 712, 626, 591, 544, 479, 458, 420.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 11.35 (s, 1H, -HCO), 9.71 (s, 1H, OH), 7.42 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.64 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.61 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.49 (t, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H, THP), 3.81 (ddd, J = 11.2, 9.9, 3.1 Hz, 1H, THP), 3.62 (dtd, J = 11.4, 4.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H, THP), 1.98 – 1.41 (m, 6H, THP).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 194.69, 164.46, 164.29, 135.41, 115.87, 109.52, 103.79, 96.35, 62.31, 30.07, 25.08, 18.55.

Data consistent with reported literature.<sup>1</sup>

## 2-Alkoxy-4-(oxan-2-yloxy)benzaldehydes (S2)



Scheme 3: Synthesis of the 2-alkoxy-4-(oxan-2-yloxy)benzaldehyde intermediates.

A two-neck round bottom flask is charged with **S1** (1 eq) and potassium carbonate (1.2 eq) under inert conditions. The solids were dissolved in dimethylformamide (DMF) and the required 1-bromoalkane chain (1.2 eq) was injected. The quantities of reagents used in the reaction are listed in Table SII. The reaction was allowed to proceed overnight at 60 °C. After cooling, the reaction mixture was diluted with water, extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 50 mL) and then dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The drying agent was filtered, and the solvent removed *in vacuo* to obtain the pure compound without further purification.

Table SII: Quantities of reagents used in the synthesis of 2-alkoxy-4-(oxan-2-yloxy)benzaldehyde intermediates, **S2.m**.

| Product name | <b>S1</b>                         | 1-Bromoalkane                              | Potassium Carbonate               |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| S2.2         | 4.00 g, $1.79 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 2.28 g, 1.56 mL, $2.16 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 2.97 g, $2.16 \times 10^{-2}$ mol |
| S2.3         | 3.00 g, $1.34 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 1.98 g, 1.46 mL, $1.61 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 2.23 g, $1.61 \times 10^{-2}$ mol |
| S2.4         | 8.84 g, $3.97 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 6.54 g, 5.15 mL, $4.77 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 6.60 g, $4.77 \times 10^{-2}$ mol |
| S2.5         | 11.0 g, $4.90 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 8.88 g, 7.29 mL, $5.80 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 8.17 g, $5.90 \times 10^{-2}$ mol |
| S2.6         | 10.0 g, $4.47 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 6.60 g, 5.62 mL, $5.37 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 8.87 g, $5.37 \times 10^{-2}$ mol |
| S2.7         | 6.00 g, $2.69 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 5.77 g, 5.06 mL, $3.23 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 5.32 g, $3.23 \times 10^{-2}$ mol |

### 2-Ethoxy-4-(oxan-2-yloxy)benzaldehyde (S2.2)

Yield: 4.27 g, 95%. RF: 0.45 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

Colourless oil

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 2941 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2852 (-CH), 1674 (RC(=O)H), 1596 (Aryl C=C), 1575, 1498, 1436, 1389, 1356, 1253, 1173, 1097, 1034 (C-O-C), 996, 948, 912, 872, 817, 675, 605, 574, 462.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 10.21 (s, 1H, -HCO), 7.65 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.75 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.72 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.2, 1H, Ar), 5.65 (t, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H, THP), 4.16 (qd, J = 6.9, 2.9 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.72 (m, 1H, THP), 3.59 (m, 1H, THP), 1.94 – 1.47 (m, 6H, THP), 1.38 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 187.89, 163.72, 163.09, 129.89, 119.19, 108.95, 101.48, 96.05, 64.62, 62.10, 29.95, 25.00, 18.75, 14.82.

Data consistent with reported literature.<sup>1</sup>

#### *2-Propoxy-4-(oxan-2-yloxy)benzaldehyde (S2.3)*

Yield: 3.76 g, 95%. RF: 0.45 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

Colourless oil

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 2978 ( $\text{CH}_3$ ), 2942 ( $-\text{CH}_2$ ), 2852 ( $-\text{CH}$ ), 1738, 1676 ( $\text{RC}(=\text{O})\text{H}$ ), 1597 (Aryl  $\text{C}=\text{C}$ ), 1436, 1390, 1254, 1174, 1099, 1035 ( $\text{C}-\text{O}-\text{C}$ ), 949, 914, 818, 676, 606, 575, 464.

$^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 10.23 (s, 1H,  $-\text{HCO}$ ), 7.65 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.76 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.71 (dd,  $J = 8.6, 2.1$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.66 (t,  $J = 3.0$  Hz, 1H, THP), 4.12 – 4.00 (m, 2H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ) 3.80 – 3.67 (m, 1H, THP), 3.64 – 3.54 (m, 1H, THP), 1.94 – 1.70 (m, 6H, THP), 1.68 – 1.44 (m, 2H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.00 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 3H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ).

$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 188.36, 163.70, 163.27, 129.85, 119.44, 108.41, 100.32, 96.10, 69.94, 61.97, 30.03, 25.02, 22.40, 18.36, 10.54.

Data consistent with reported literature.<sup>1</sup>

#### *2-Butoxy-4-(oxan-2-yloxy)benzaldehyde (S2.4)*

Yield: 11.0 g, 100%. RF: 0.52 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

Yellow oil

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 2939 ( $-\text{CH}_2$ ), 2873 ( $-\text{CH}$ ), 1738, 1676 ( $\text{RC}(=\text{O})\text{H}$ ), 1597 (Aryl  $\text{C}=\text{C}$ ), 1435, 1387, 1254, 1173, 1099, 1036 ( $\text{C}-\text{O}-\text{C}$ ), 1021, 962, 905, 808, 676, 606, 463.

$^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 10.22 (s, 1H,  $-\text{HCO}$ ), 7.65 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.79 – 6.68 (m, 2H, Ar), 5.66 (t,  $J = 3.1$  Hz, 1H, THP), 4.10 (m, 2H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 3.73 (m, 1H, THP), 3.60 (m, 1H, THP), 1.92 – 1.69 (m, 4H, THP), 1.60 (m, 2H, THP), 1.51 – 1.41 (m, 4H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 0.95 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 3H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ).

$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 187.32, 163.27, 162.79, 129.41, 118.78, 108.49, 100.98, 95.55, 68.05, 61.60, 59.75, 30.50, 29.48, 24.54, 18.73, 13.66.

#### *2-Pentoxy-4-(oxan-2-yloxy)benzaldehyde (S2.5)*

Yield: 14.4 g, 100%. RF: 0.52 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

Orange oil

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 2936 ( $-\text{CH}_2$ ), 2871 ( $-\text{CH}$ ), 1677 ( $\text{RC}(=\text{O})\text{H}$ ), 1596 (Aryl  $\text{C}=\text{C}$ ), 1435, 1389, 1254, 1172, 1098, 1036 ( $\text{C}-\text{O}-\text{C}$ ), 1020, 980, 907, 817, 676, 605, 584, 462.

$^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 10.21 (s, 1H,  $-\text{HCO}$ ), 7.64 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.75 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.71 (dd,  $J = 8.9, 1.8$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.65 (t,  $J = 3.1$  Hz, 1H, THP), 4.09 (t,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 2H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 3.72 (m, 1H, THP), 3.63 – 3.47 (m, 1H, THP), 1.95 – 1.68 (m, 6H, THP,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.68 – 1.47 (m, 2H, THP), 1.48 – 1.27 (m, 4H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 0.89 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ).

$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 187.38, 163.29, 162.82, 129.45, 118.79, 108.53, 101.01, 95.58, 68.37, 61.64, 29.50, 28.12, 27.69, 24.56, 21.86, 18.28, 13.92.

#### *2-Hexoxy-4-(oxan-2-yloxy)benzaldehyde (S2.6)*

Yield: 12.4 g, 90%. RF: 0.56 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

Brown oil

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 2932 ( $-\text{CH}_2$ ), 2855 ( $-\text{CH}$ ), 1676 ( $\text{RC}(=\text{O})\text{H}$ ), 1598 (Aryl  $\text{C}=\text{C}$ ), 1498, 1436, 1386, 1254, 1173, 1099, 1036 ( $\text{C}-\text{O}-\text{C}$ ), 1020, 950, 903, 817, 658, 585, 463.

$^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO): 10.21 (s, 1H,  $-\text{HCO}$ ), 7.64 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.75 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.74 – 6.68 (dd, 1H, Ar), 5.65 (q,  $J = 3.0$  Hz, 1H, THP), 4.13 (m, 2H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 3.72 (m, 1H, THP), 3.64 – 3.54 (m, 1H, THP), 1.92 – 1.68 (m, 6H, THP,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.70 – 1.49 (m, 2H, THP), 1.43 (m, 2H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.31 (m, 4H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 0.87 (q,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, 3H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ).

$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 187.31, 163.26, 162.78, 129.40, 118.76, 108.49, 100.99, 95.54, 68.34, 61.60, 30.91, 29.47, 28.35, 25.11, 24.51, 22.02, 18.25, 13.86.

#### 2-Heptoxy-4-(oxan-2-yloxy)benzaldehyde (S2.7)

Yield: 8.61 g, 100%. RF: 0.59 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)


Brown oil

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 2928 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2854 (-CH), 1738, 1676 (RC(=O)H), 1598 (Aryl C=C), 1498, 1467, 1435, 1387, 1255, 1203, 1172, 1098, 1035 (C-O-C), 1019, 952, 904, 872, 817, 676, 658, 605, 462.

$^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 10.21 (s, 1H, -HCO), 7.64 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.76 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.71 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.66 (t, J = 3.1 Hz, 2H, THP), 4.20 – 4.06 (m, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.77 – 3.67 (m, 1H, THP), 3.64 – 3.55 (m, 1H, THP), 1.96 – 1.70 (m, 4H, THP), 1.66 – 1.49 (m, 4H, THP, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.48 – 1.23 (m, 8H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.86 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 187.27, 163.26, 162.78, 129.37, 118.76, 108.47, 100.96, 95.53, 68.33, 61.58, 31.20, 29.47, 28.39, 28.37, 25.43, 24.53, 22.01, 18.24, 13.89.

#### 2-Alkoxy-4-hydroxybenzaldehydes (S3)



Scheme 4: Synthesis of the 2-alkoxy-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde intermediates.

To a solution of **S2** (1 eq) in tetrahydrofuran/ethanol (1:1), solid pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate (1.5 eq) was added. The quantities of reagents used in the reaction are listed in Table SI2. The reaction mixture was allowed to react at reflux overnight and then quenched by evaporation of the solvent to dryness. The reaction crude was dissolved in dichloromethane and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, the drying agent was filtered, and the solvent removed *in vacuo*. The product was then purified by flash column chromatography using a mixture of ethyl acetate and hexane (50:50).

Table SI2: Quantities of reagents used in the synthesis of 2-alkoxy-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde intermediates, **S3.m**.

| Product name | <b>S2.m</b>                       | Pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate     |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| S3.2         | 4.00 g, $1.60 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 6.05 g, $2.40 \times 10^{-2}$ mol |
| S3.3         | 3.76 g, $1.49 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 2.67 g, $2.24 \times 10^{-2}$ mol |
| S3.4         | 10.0 g, $3.61 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 13.6 g, $5.41 \times 10^{-2}$ mol |
| S3.5         | 14.0 g, $4.77 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 18.0 g, $7.15 \times 10^{-2}$ mol |
| S3.6         | 11.7 g, $3.81 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 14.4 g, $5.72 \times 10^{-2}$ mol |
| S3.7         | 8.61 g, $2.69 \times 10^{-2}$ mol | 10.1 g, $4.03 \times 10^{-2}$ mol |

#### 2-Ethoxy-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (S3.2)

Yield: 2.12 g, 80%. RF: 0.11 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 167 °C Yellow crystal

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 3015 (-OH), 2980 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 2876 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2805, 2707, 2585, 1639 (RC(=O)H), 1615, 1568 (Aryl C=C), 1466, 1407, 1283, 1246, 1185, 1105, 1033 (C-O-C), 804, 737, 654, 584, 502, 461, 410.

$^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 10.62 (s, 1H, Ar-OH), 10.14 (s, 1H, -HCO), 7.56 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.50 – 6.41 (m, 2H, Ar), 4.10 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.37 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 186.89, 165.13, 163.15, 129.77, 116.99, 108.47, 99.52, 63.82, 14.39.

Data consistent with reported literature.<sup>1</sup>

#### *2-Propoxy-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (S3.3)*

Yield: 1.30 g, 48%. RF: 0.13 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 167 °C Pink crystal

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 3126 (-OH), 2968 ( $\text{CH}_3$ ), 2877 (- $\text{CH}_2$ ), 1639 ( $\text{RC}(\text{=O})\text{H}$ ), 1598, 1575 (Aryl  $\text{C}=\text{C}$ ), 1455, 1391, 1318, 1277, 1185, 1103, 1040 (C-O-C), 1015, 972, 820, 763, 742, 658, 584, 511, 465.

$^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 10.61 (s, 1H, Ar-OH), 10.16 (s, 1H, -HCO), 7.56 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.51 – 6.37 (m, 2H, Ar), 3.99 (t,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 2H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.77 (h,  $J = 6.3$  Hz, 2H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 0.99 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 3H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ).

$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 186.85, 165.16, 163.34, 129.81, 117.10, 108.49, 99.54, 69.48, 21.89, 10.42.

Data consistent with reported literature.<sup>1</sup>

#### *2-Butoxy-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (S3.4)*

Yield: 4.99 g, 71%. RF: 0.13 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 88 °C Brown crystal

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 3068 (-OH), 2954 ( $\text{CH}_3$ ), 2933 (- $\text{CH}_2$ ), 2868 (- $\text{CH}_2$ ), 1637 ( $\text{RC}(\text{=O})\text{H}$ ), 1598, 1574 (Aryl  $\text{C}=\text{C}$ ), 1458, 1407, 1322, 1276, 1184, 1113, 1056 (C-O-C), 1020, 992, 835, 820, 656, 598, 502, 462.

$^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 10.49 (s, 1H, Ar-OH), 10.14 (s, 1H, -HCO), 7.56 (d,  $J = 8.5$ , 1H, Ar), 6.50 – 6.41 (m, 2H, Ar), 4.04 (t,  $J = 6.3$  Hz, 2H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.74 (dq,  $J = 8.3$ , 6.4 Hz, 2H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.53 – 1.37 (m, 2H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 0.98 – 0.90 (m, 3H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ).

$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 186.84, 165.20, 163.34, 129.79, 117.08, 108.50, 99.54, 67.76, 30.52, 18.78, 13.70.

#### *2-Pentoxo-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (S3.5)*

Yield: 11.0 g, 100%. RF: 0.17 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 109 °C Brown crystal

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 3070 (-OH), 2953 ( $\text{CH}_3$ ), 2926 (- $\text{CH}_2$ ), 2870 (- $\text{CH}_2$ ), 1639 ( $\text{RC}(\text{=O})\text{H}$ ), 1596, 1574 (Aryl  $\text{C}=\text{C}$ ), 1454, 1392, 1321, 1277, 1235, 1187, 1101, 1012, 838, 821, 771, 734, 659, 589, 509, 467, 439.

$^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 10.61 (s, 1H, Ar-OH), 10.14 (s, 1H, -HCO), 7.56 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.49 – 6.41 (m, 2H, Ar), 4.03 (t,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 2H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.81 – 1.67 (m, 2H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.52 – 1.27 (m, 4H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 0.89 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ).

$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 186.79, 165.16, 163.32, 129.74, 117.06, 108.47, 99.51, 68.03, 28.12, 27.70, 21.85, 13.90.

#### *2-Hexoxy-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (S3.6)*

Yield: 9.61 g, 100%. RF: 0.20 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 106 °C Brown crystal

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 3182 (-OH), 2932 (- $\text{CH}_2$ ), 2870 (- $\text{CH}_2$ ), 1660 ( $\text{RC}(\text{=O})\text{H}$ ), 1598, 1579 (Aryl  $\text{C}=\text{C}$ ), 1458, 1320, 12651, 1179, 1106, 1035 (C-O-C), 1019, 838, 736, 657, 632, 499, 461.

$^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 10.62 (s, 1H, Ar-OH), 10.15 (s, 1H, -HCO), 7.56 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.48 – 6.42 (m, 2H, Ar), 4.01 (t,  $J = 6.3$  Hz, 2H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.82 – 1.64 (m, 2H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.49 – 1.34 (m, 4H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.28 (m, 2H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 0.92 – 0.78 (m, 3H, - $\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ).

$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 186.75, 165.22, 163.37, 129.70, 117.14, 108.48, 99.48, 68.05, 31.01, 28.45, 25.23, 22.10, 13.85.



### 2-Heptoxy-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (S3.7)

Yield: 5.69 g, 52%. RF: 0.20 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)


M.P. = 86 °C Brown crystal

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 3034 (-OH), 2923 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2854 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2724, 1636 (RC(=O)H), 1568 (Aryl C=C), 1458, 1396, 1324, 1280, 1245, 1182, 1112, 1019 (C-O-C), 824, 786, 659, 585, 524, 502, 460, 428.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 10.60 (s, 1H, Ar-OH), 10.15 (s, 1H, -HCO), 7.56 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.51 – 6.41 (m, 2H, Ar), 4.02 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.74 (hept, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.48 – 1.20 (m, 8H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.92 – 0.79 (m, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 186.79, 165.13, 163.32, 129.75, 117.08, 108.46, 99.52, 68.04, 31.21, 28.39, 25.46, 22.04, 18.56, 13.93.

### (3-Alkoxy-4-formylphenyl) 4-methoxybenzoates (S4)



Scheme 5: Synthesis of the (3-alkoxy-4-formylphenyl) 4-methoxybenzoate intermediates.

Under inert conditions, a mixture of the required **S3** (1 eq) and 4-methoxybenzoic acid (1.5 eq) in dichloromethane (60 mL) was cooled with an ice bath. To this, dissolved 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide, EDC, or *N,N'*-Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, DCC, (1.5 eq) in 10 mL DCM was added and allowed to react at 0 °C for 30-40 min. A catalytic amount of solid 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) was added to the solution and left to react overnight slowly warming up to room temperature. The quantities of reagents used in the reaction are listed in Table SI3. The reaction mixture was then quenched by the addition of distilled water (40 mL) and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, the drying agent was filtered, and the solvent removed *in vacuo*. The product was then purified by hot recrystallization in ethanol.

Table SI3: Quantities of reagents used in the synthesis of (3-alkoxy-4-formylphenyl) 4-methoxybenzoate intermediates, **S4.m**.

| Product name | <b>S3.m</b>                        | 4-Methoxybenzoic acid              | Coupling agent                          |
|--------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| S4.1         | 3.30 g, $2.17 \times 10^{-2}$ mol  | 3.00 g, $1.97 \times 10^{-2}$ mol  | DCC: 5.28 g, $2.56 \times 10^{-3}$ mol  |
| S4.2         | 0.300 g, $1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ mol  | 0.329 g, $2.16 \times 10^{-3}$ mol | EDC: 0.517 g, $2.70 \times 10^{-3}$ mol |
| S4.3         | 0.320 g, $1.75 \times 10^{-3}$ mol | 0.320 g, $2.10 \times 10^{-3}$ mol | EDC: 0.203 g, $2.63 \times 10^{-3}$ mol |
| S4.4         | 0.600 g, $3.09 \times 10^{-3}$ mol | 0.705 g, $4.63 \times 10^{-3}$ mol | EDC: 0.887 g, $4.63 \times 10^{-3}$ mol |
| S4.5         | 2.00 g, $9.60 \times 10^{-3}$ mol  | 2.19 g, $1.44 \times 10^{-3}$ mol  | EDC: 2.76 g, $1.44 \times 10^{-3}$ mol  |
| S4.6         | 1.50 g, $6.75 \times 10^{-3}$ mol  | 1.54 g, $1.01 \times 10^{-2}$ mol  | EDC: 1.94 g, $1.01 \times 10^{-2}$ mol  |
| S4.7         | 1.50 g, $6.35 \times 10^{-3}$ mol  | 1.49 g, $9.52 \times 10^{-3}$ mol  | EDC: 1.83 g, $9.52 \times 10^{-3}$ mol  |

### (3-Methoxy-4-formylphenyl) 4-methoxybenzoate (S4.1)

Yield: 4.54 g, 81%. RF: 0.54 (40:60 EtOAc:Pet)

M.P. = 145 °C White crystal

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 2844 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 1735 (COOR), 1678 (RC(=O)H), 1602 (Aryl C=C), 1511, 1401, 1249, 1194, 1054 (C-O-C), 1024, 1006, 946, 878, 841, 821, 807, 757, 686, 666, 509, 501, 463, 436, 405.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 10.40 (s, 1H, -HCO), 8.12 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.87 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.97 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.88 (m, 2H, Ar), 3.91 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.88 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>)

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 188.63, 164.24, 164.13, 162.84, 157.24, 132.42, 129.82, 122.55, 121.10, 114.36, 114.00, 105.82, 55.92, 55.57.

Data consistent with reported literature.<sup>1</sup>

*(3-Ethoxy-4-formylphenyl) 4-methoxybenzoate (S4.2)*

Yield: 0.472 g, 87%. RF: 0.28 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 170 °C White crystal

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2983(CH<sub>3</sub>), 2864 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2793, 1738 (COOR), 1679 (RC(=O)H), 1602 (Aryl C=C), 1509, 1423, 1389, 1247, 1163, 1055 (C-O-C), 1014, 1006, 877, 843, 808, 759, 692, 668, 625, 528, 469, 408.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 10.34 (s, 1H, -HCO), 8.10 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.77 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.21 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.15 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.02 – 6.95 (m, 1H, Ar), 4.20 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.88 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.39 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 188.25, 163.95, 163.61, 161.96, 156.99, 132.20, 128.95, 122.06, 120.56, 114.59, 114.35, 107.76, 64.65, 55.70, 14.33.

Data consistent with reported literature.<sup>1</sup>

*(3-Propoxy-4-formylphenyl) 4-methoxybenzoate (S4.3)*

Yield: 0.375 g, 64%. RF: 0.33 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 116 °C White crystal

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2974 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 2906, 2868 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2766, 1736 (COOR), 1680 (RC(=O)H), 1600 (Aryl C=C), 1577, 1509, 1476, 1390, 1247, 1161, 1053 (C-O-C), 997, 877, 847, 761, 693, 668, 624, 535, 405.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 10.35 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H, -HCO), 8.09 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.77 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.22 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.14 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.98 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.09 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.88 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.79 (h, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.01 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 188.18, 163.95, 163.61, 162.11, 157.00, 132.20, 128.99, 122.12, 120.56, 114.59, 114.35, 107.79, 70.26, 55.71, 21.81, 10.33.

Data consistent with reported literature.<sup>1</sup>

*(3-Butoxy-4-formylphenyl) 4-methoxybenzoate (S4.4)*

Yield: 0.757 g, 74%. RF: 0.40 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 83 °C White crystal

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2999, 2957 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 2862 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1736 (COOR), 1684 (RC(=O)H), 1601 (Aryl C=C), 1509, 1430, 1389, 1250, 1160, 1054 (C-O-C), 1017, 991, 881, 846, 760, 624, 512, 404.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 10.34 (s, 1H, -HCO), 8.09 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.77 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.23 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.14 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.99 (dd, J = 8.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.13 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.88 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.77 (q, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.47 (h, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.94 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 188.20, 163.96, 163.63, 162.14, 157.02, 132.21, 128.99, 122.12, 120.57, 114.60, 114.37, 107.80, 68.58, 55.72, 30.43, 18.69, 13.67.

*(3-Pentoxy-4-formylphenyl) 4-methoxybenzoate (S4.5)*

Yield: 1.35 g, 41%. RF: 0.42 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 77 °C Pink crystal

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2951 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 2859 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1736 (COOR), 1682 (RC(=O)H), 1600 (Aryl C=C), 1509, 1430, 1388, 1274, 1250, 1160, 1056 (C-O-C), 1017, 995, 882, 848, 870, 760, 692, 623, 590, 512, 465.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 10.34 (s, 1H, -HCO), 8.09 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.77 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.23 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.14 (d, 2H, Ar), 6.99 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.13 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.88 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.79 (q, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.49 – 1.29 (m, 4H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.90 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 188.17, 163.95, 163.61, 162.12, 157.01, 132.20, 128.96, 122.10, 120.56, 114.59, 114.36, 107.79, 68.84, 55.71, 28.04, 27.60, 21.81, 13.90.

*(3-Hexoxy-4-formylphenyl) 4-methoxybenzoate (S4.6)*

Yield: 1.28 g, 45%. RF: 0.42 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 78 °C White crystal

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 2950 ( $\text{CH}_3$ ), 2937, 2855 ( $-\text{CH}_2$ ), 1736 (COOR), 1682 (RC(=O)H), 1601 (Aryl C=C), 1509, 1430, 1388, 1248, 1159, 1099, 1055 (C-O-C), 1016, 997, 881, 843, 760, 692, 662, 609, 512, 466.

$^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 10.34 (d,  $J = 0.7$  Hz, 1H, -HCO), 8.09 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.77 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.22 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.15 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.99 (dd,  $J = 8.4, 2.0$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.13 (t,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 2H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 3.88 (s, 3H,  $-\text{OCH}_3$ ), 1.78 (q,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 2H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.50 – 1.37 (m, 2H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.38 – 1.24 (m, 4H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 0.87 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ).

$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 188.15, 163.95, 163.61, 162.12, 157.01, 132.19, 128.96, 122.10, 120.56, 114.58, 114.35, 107.79, 68.84, 55.70, 30.88, 28.29, 25.06, 22.03, 13.88.

*(3-Heptoxy-4-formylphenyl) 4-methoxybenzoate (S4.7)*

Yield: 1.50 g, 63%. RF: 0.44 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)


M.P. = 75 °C White crystal

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 2956 ( $\text{CH}_3$ ), 2854 ( $-\text{CH}_2$ ), 1737 (COOR), 1682 (RC(=O)H), 1601 (Aryl C=C), 1587, 1510, 1387, 1249 (C-O-C), 1160, 1055, 996, 848, 760, 692, 623, 513, 466, 405.

$^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 10.34 (d,  $J = 0.8$  Hz, 1H, -HCO), 8.09 (d,  $J = 9.1$  Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.77 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.22 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.14 (d,  $J = 9.0$  Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.98 (dd,  $J = 8.4, 2.0$  Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.12 (t,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 2H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 3.88 (s, 3H,  $-\text{OCH}_3$ ), 1.83 – 1.72 (m, 2H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.44 (q,  $J = 6.7$  Hz, 2H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 1.38 – 1.22 (m, 6H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 0.86 (t,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, 3H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ).

$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 188.15, 163.95, 163.61, 162.12, 157.01, 132.19, 128.96, 122.10, 120.56, 114.58, 114.35, 107.79, 68.84, 55.70, 31.20, 28.34, 28.33, 25.37, 22.04, 13.94.

2-Alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoic acids (**S5**)



Scheme 6: Synthesis of the 2-alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoic acid intermediates.

To a mixture of **S4** (1 eq) and resorcinol (1.5 eq) in DMSO (30 mL), a solution of  $\text{H}_2\text{NaO}_4\text{P}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (4 eq) and  $\text{ClNaO}_2$  (3.5 eq) in water was slowly added dropwise. The quantities of reagents used in the reaction are listed in Table SI4. The reaction mixture was allowed to react overnight and then diluted with water (150 mL). The pH was adjusted to 8 with  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  saturated and left for 1 h, then acidified with 1 M HCl solution to pH 4 until precipitation was observed. The precipitated solid was filtered and recrystallized in hot ethanol to obtain the pure product.

Table SI4: Quantities of reagents used in the synthesis of 2-alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoic acid intermediates, **S5.m**.

| Product name | <b>S4.m</b>                        | H <sub>2</sub> NaO <sub>4</sub> P.H <sub>2</sub> O | ClNaO <sub>2</sub>                 | Resorcinol                         |
|--------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| S5.1         | 4.30 g, 1.50×10 <sup>-2</sup> mol  | 7.24 g, 5.25×10 <sup>-2</sup> mol                  | 5.43 g, 6.00×10 <sup>-2</sup> mol  | 2.48 g, 2.25×10 <sup>-2</sup> mol  |
| S5.2         | 0.750 g, 2.49×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol | 1.38 g, 9.99×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol                  | 0.788 g, 8.72×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol | -                                  |
| S5.3         | 0.600 g, 1.90×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol | 1.05 g, 7.63×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol                  | 0.601 g, 6.65×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol | -                                  |
| S5.4         | 0.560 g, 1.70×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol | 0.941 g, 6.82×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol                 | 0.538 g, 5.95×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol | -                                  |
| S5.5         | 1.20 g, 3.50×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol  | 2.49 g, 1.39×10 <sup>-2</sup> mol                  | 1.11 g, 1.22×10 <sup>-2</sup> mol  | 0.578 g, 5.25×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol |
| S5.6         | 1.00 g, 2.80×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol  | 1.99 g, 1.12×10 <sup>-2</sup> mol                  | 0.886 g, 9.80×10 <sup>-2</sup> mol | 0.463 g, 4.21×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol |
| S5.7         | 1.40 g, 3.78×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol  | 2.69 g, 1.51×10 <sup>-2</sup> mol                  | 1.20 g, 1.32×10 <sup>-2</sup> mol  | 0.624 g, 5.67×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol |

#### 2-Ethoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoic acid (S5.1)

Yield: 3.95 g, 87.1%. RF: 0 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 204 °C White crystal

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3028 (COOH), 2987 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 2905 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2850, 1738 (COOR), 1669 (COOH), 1606 (Aryl C=C), 1511, 1450, 1250 (C-O-C), 1168, 1059, 1019, 878, 845, 760, 692, 660, 612, 468, 465.

3012 (COOH), 1723 (COOR), 1700, 1667 (COOH), 1606 (Aryl C=C), 1579, 1517, 1501, 1461, 1435, 1424, 1401, 1309, 1247 (C-O-C), 1189, 1164, 1024, 876, 847, 760, 692, 659, 626, 457, 439.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 12.65 (s, 1H, -COOH), 8.09 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.74 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.13 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.09 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.91 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar), 3.88 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.82 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 167.05, 164.32, 164.27, 159.90, 154.86, 132.58, 132.31, 121.17, 119.04, 114.77, 114.09, 107.30, 56.58, 56.14.

Data consistent with reported literature.<sup>1</sup>

#### 2-Ethoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoic acid (S5.2)

Yield: 0.549 g, 63%. RF: 0 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 130 °C White crystal

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3028 (COOH), 2987 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 2905 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2850, 1738 (COOR), 1669 (COOH), 1606 (Aryl C=C), 1511, 1450, 1250 (C-O-C), 1168, 1059, 1019, 878, 845, 760, 692, 660, 612, 468, 465.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 12.58 (s, 1H, -COOH), 8.09 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.70 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.13 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.06 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.88 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.08 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.87 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.32 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 166.81, 163.85, 158.69, 154.18, 132.13, 131.67, 120.76, 114.31, 113.52, 107.65, 70.01, 55.69, 21.93, 10.37.

Data consistent with reported literature.<sup>1</sup>

#### 2-Propoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoic acid (S5.3)

Yield: 0.477 g, 76%. RF: 0 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 130 °C White crystal

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3080 (COOH), 2976 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 2904 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2873, 1729 (COOR), 1666 (COOH), 1604 (Aryl C=C), 1578, 1447, 1395, 1247 (C-O-C), 1167, 1055, 1001, 946, 880, 843, 761, 692, 660, 607, 585, 513, 428.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 12.58 (s, 1H, -COOH), 8.09 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.71 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.13 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.07 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.89 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.1 Hz,



1H, Ar), 3.98 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.88 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.73 (hept, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.99 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).  
<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 166.80, 163.86, 158.72, 154.22, 132.14, 131.71, 120.76, 119.04, 114.32, 113.53, 107.66, 70.03, 55.70, 21.93, 10.38.

Data consistent with reported literature.<sup>1</sup>

#### *2-Butoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoic acid (S5.4)*

Yield: 0.514 g, 87%. RF: 0 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 123 °C White crystal

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3031 (COOH), 2985 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 2859 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2902, 2876, 1735 (COOR), 1676 (COOH), 1605 (Aryl C=C), 1580, 1513, 1450, 1252 (C-O-C), 1169, 1058, 1019, 885, 846, 760, 661, 608, 467.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 12.57 (s, 1H, -COOH), 8.09 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.70 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.13 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.08 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.88 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.02 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.88 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.69 (p, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.45 (h, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.92 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 166.79, 163.84, 158.68, 154.18, 132.12, 131.63, 120.75, 119.10, 114.31, 113.51, 107.64, 68.26, 55.68, 30.58, 18.60, 13.64.

#### *2-Pentoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoic acid (S5.5)*

Yield: 0.750 g, 60%. RF: 0.04 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 85 °C White crystal

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3030 (COOH), 2958 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 2938, 2855 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1734 (COOR), 1667 (COOH), 1603 (Aryl C=C), 1578, 1512, 1247 (C-O-C), 1162, 1057, 1023 998, 883, 844, 760, 691, 760, 691, 660, 607, 511, 438.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 12.45 (s, 1H, -COOH), 8.09 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.70 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.13 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.07 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.88 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.01 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.88 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.71 (p, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.47 – 1.26 (m, 4H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.88 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 166.83, 163.85, 158.67, 154.15, 132.13, 131.62, 120.76, 119.21, 114.31, 113.52, 107.66, 68.57, 55.69, 28.17, 27.55, 21.77, 13.93.

#### *2-Hexoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoic acid (S5.6)*

Yield: 0.960 g, 92%. RF: 0.04 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 86 °C White crystal

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3030 (COOH), 2956 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 2854 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1736 (COOR), 1682 (COOH), 1601 (Aryl C=C), 1511, 1389, 1247 (C-O-C), 1161, 1055, 997, 882, 845, 760, 692, 624, 511.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 12.46 (s, 1H, -COOH), 8.09 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.70 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.13 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.07 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.88 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.01 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.88 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.70 (p, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.48 – 1.37 (m, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.35 – 1.25 (m, 4H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.87 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 166.87, 163.85, 158.66, 154.12, 132.12, 131.60, 120.76, 119.28, 114.30, 113.49, 107.64, 68.55, 56.03, 30.86, 28.44, 24.99, 22.06, 18.56, 13.88.

#### *2-Heptoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoic acid (S5.7)*

Yield: 1.29 g, 88%. RF: 0.04 (20:80 EtOAc:Hex)

M.P. = 65 °C White crystal


IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3030 (COOH), 2930 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 2850 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1734 (COOR), 1663 (COOH), 1604 (Aryl C=C), 1510, 1394, 1246 (C-O-C), 1168, 1053, 1005, 886, 845, 760, 691, 660, 606, 509, 445.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 12.56 (s, 1H, -COOH), 8.09 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.71 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.13 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.07 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.88 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.01 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.88 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.70 (p, J =

6.2 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.48 – 1.37 (m, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.36 – 1.19 (m, 6H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.91 – 0.77 (m, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).  
<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 166.78, 163.84, 158.69, 154.18, 132.11, 131.64, 120.75, 119.10, 114.30, 113.50, 107.64, 68.54, 55.67, 31.25, 28.48, 28.32, 25.28, 22.02, 13.96.

(3-Fluoro<sup>a</sup>-4-nitrophenyl) 2-alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoates (NT3.*m* – NT3F.*m*<sup>a</sup>)

*m* = 1 - 7



Scheme 7: Synthesis of the (4-nitrophenyl) 2-alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoates.

Under inert conditions, a mixture of **S5** (1.1 eq) and either 3-fluoro-4-nitrophenol (1 eq) or 4-nitrophenol (1 eq) were dissolved in dry dichloromethane (10 mL). To this, *N,N'*-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (1.25 eq) in 2 mL DCM was added and allowed to react for 1h. A catalytic amount of solid 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) was added to the solution and allowed to react overnight. The quantities of reagents used in the reaction are listed in Table SI5 and SI6. The reaction mixture was then quenched by filtration of the precipitated DCU and then purified by flash column chromatography with DCM:EtOAc (97:3). The product was then purified by hot recrystallization in ethanol.

Table SI5: Quantities of reagents used in the synthesis of (4-nitrophenyl) 2-alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoate, NT3.*m*.

| Product name | <b>S5</b> . <i>m</i>               | 4-Nitrophenol                       | DCC                                |
|--------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| NT3.1        | 0.300 g, 9.92×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol | 0.207 g, 1.49×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol  | 0.307 g, 1.49×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol |
| NT3.2        | 0.300 g, 9.48×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol | 0.119 g, 8.62×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol  | 0.222 g, 1.08×10 <sup>-3</sup> mol |
| NT3.3        | 0.200 g, 6.05×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol | 0.0766 g, 5.50×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol | 0.141 g, 6.87×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol |
| NT3.4        | 0.250 g, 7.25×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol | 0.0918 g, 6.59×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol | 0.169 g, 8.23×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol |
| NT3.5        | 0.200 g, 5.58×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol | 0.0705 g, 5.07×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol | 0.131 g, 6.33×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol |
| NT3.6        | 0.300 g, 5.73×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol | 0.0720 g, 5.20×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol | 0.134 g, 6.51×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol |
| NT3.7        | 0.300 g, 7.76×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol | 0.0980g, 7.06×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol  | 0.182 g, 8.82×10 <sup>-4</sup> mol |

(4-Nitrophenyl) 2-methoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoate (NT3.1)

Yield: 0.022 g, 5.2%. RF: 0.35 (40:60 EtOAc:Hex)

T<sub>CH</sub> 192 °C T<sub>N<sub>F</sub>N</sub> (126 °C) T<sub>NI</sub> (189 °C).

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1728 (COOR), 1717, 1614 (Aryl C=C), 1593, 1519 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1490, 1349, 1263, 1242 (C-O-C), 1216, 1194, 1173, 1160, 1127, 886, 863, 758, 689, 614, 457.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 8.35 (d, J 9.0 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.11 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.59 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.26 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.15 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.06 (dd, J = 8.5 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar), 3.90 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 164.41, 164.13, 162.69, 161.25, 156.50, 155.99, 145.54, 133.63, 132.67, 125.78, 123.87, 121.03, 115.47, 114.82, 114.59, 107.78, 56.97, 56.18.


Data consistent with reported literature.<sup>1</sup>

(4-Nitrophenyl) 2-ethoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoate (NT3.2)


Yield: 0.033 g, 10%. RF: 0.71 (97:3 DCM:EtOAc)

T<sub>CH</sub> 164 °C T<sub>NI</sub> (137 °C) T<sub>N<sub>F</sub>N</sub> (104 °C).

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 3090 (Aryl -H), 2944 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1915, 1738 (COOR), 1711, 1610 (Aryl C=C), 1581, 1520 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1490, 1428, 1352, 1289, 1240 (C-O-C), 1208, 1171, 1115, 1039, 877, 836, 757, 686, 611, 495, 413.  
<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 8.36 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.11 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.06 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.58 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.23 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.15 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.04 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.17 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.35 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).



<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 163.99, 163.75, 162.52, 160.01, 155.94, 155.62, 145.10, 133.09, 132.26, 125.40, 123.36, 120.63, 115.37, 114.40, 114.11, 108.13, 64.80, 55.75, 14.45.



Elemental Analysis: Calculated: C 63.16%, H 4.38%, N 3.20%. Found: C 63.46%, H 4.09%, N 3.06%.  
Data consistent with reported literature.<sup>1</sup>


*(4-Nitrophenyl) 2-propoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoate (NT3.3)*

Yield: 0.131 g, 53%. RF: 0.65 (97:3 DCM:EtOAc)


T<sub>CH</sub> 130 °C T<sub>NI</sub> (105 °C) T<sub>NFN</sub> (93 °C).

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3085 (Aryl -H), 2965 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2844, 1738 (COOR), 1704, 1605 (Aryl C=C), 1521 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1433, 1349, 1258 (C-O-C), 1208, 1163, 1117, 1055, 1019, 881, 846, 760, 688, 670, 608, 579, 499, 416.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 8.36 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.12 (dd, J = 7.6, 3.0 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.06 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.58 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.24 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.15 (dd, J = 196.3, 7.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.04 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.07 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.75 (h, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).



<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 163.94, 163.69, 162.55, 160.13, 155.95, 155.59, 145.06, 133.13, 132.20, 125.37, 123.29, 120.59, 115.19, 114.35, 114.02, 108.01, 70.33, 55.71, 21.90, 10.37.



Elemental Analysis: Calculated: C 63.86%, H 4.82%, N 2.91%. Found: C 63.85%, H 4.56%, N 3.08%. Data consistent with reported literature.<sup>1</sup>

*(4-Nitrophenyl) 2-butoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoate (NT3.4)*


Yield: 0.175 g, 51%. RF: 0.16 (DCM)

T<sub>CH</sub> 120 °C T<sub>NI</sub> (82 °C) T<sub>NFN</sub> (76 °C).


IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3092 (Aryl -H), 2953 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2873, 1750 (COOR), 1725, 1605 (Aryl C=C), 1530 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1432, 1350, 1256 (C-O-C), 1201, 1168, 1127, 1077, 1004, 845, 759, 742, 687, 492.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 8.36 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.11 (d, J = 231.1 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.06 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.57 (dd, J = 484.1, 9.5 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.24 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.14 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.03 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.10 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.88 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.72 (p, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.45 (h, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.89 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).





<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 163.98, 163.75, 162.56, 160.22, 156.01, 155.64, 145.09, 133.19, 132.26, 125.43, 123.34, 120.62, 115.18, 114.40, 114.07, 108.03, 68.57, 55.75, 30.55, 18.65, 13.63.



Elemental Analysis: Calculated: C 64.51%, H 4.98%, N 3.01%. Found: C 65.01%, H 4.54%, N 2.96%.


*(4-Nitrophenyl) 2-pentoxo-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoate (NT3.5)*

Yield: 0.145 g, 54%. RF: 0.18 (DCM)


T<sub>CH</sub> 102 °C T<sub>NI</sub> (66 °C) T<sub>N<sub>F</sub>N</sub> (61 °C).

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3088 (Aryl -H), 2953 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2871, 1736 (COOR), 1713, 1603 (Aryl C=C), 1578, 1511 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1423, 1352, 1285, 1254 (C-O-C), 1205, 1163, 1112, 1074, 1043, 997, 881, 845, 760, 689, 612, 495, 408.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 8.36 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.11 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.05 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.57 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.24 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.15 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.03 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.10 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.73 (p, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.47 – 1.23 (m, 4H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.82 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).



<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 163.93, 163.68, 162.59, 160.12, 155.95, 155.60, 145.04, 133.11, 132.19, 125.35, 123.25, 120.59, 115.20, 114.34, 114.01, 108.00, 68.83, 55.70, 28.13, 27.55, 21.71, 13.86.



Elemental Analysis: Calculated: C 65.13%, H 5.26%, N 2.92%. Found: C 65.05%, H 5.37%, N 2.86%.


*(4-Nitrophenyl) 2-hexoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoate (NT3.6)*

Yield: 0.164 g, 58%. RF: 0.21 (DCM)


T<sub>CH</sub> 94 °C T<sub>NI</sub> (57 °C) T<sub>NFN</sub> (53 °C).

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3070 (Aryl -H), 2952 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2856, 1734 (COOR), 1711, 1605 (Aryl C=C), 1575, 1520 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1431, 1347, 1259 (C-O-C), 1203, 1164, 1118, 1044, 1010, 885, 843, 759, 690, 606, 500, 408.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 8.36 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.11 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.04 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.57 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.24 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.15 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.03 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.10 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.72 (p, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.47 – 1.36 (m, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.32 – 1.15 (m, 4H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.80 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).



<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 163.95, 163.70, 162.65, 160.12, 155.96, 155.62, 145.05, 133.11, 132.21, 125.36, 123.25, 120.60, 115.23, 114.36, 114.02, 108.00, 68.86, 55.71, 30.83, 28.43, 25.05, 22.03, 13.82.



Elemental Analysis: Calculated: C 65.71%, H 5.51%, N 2.84%. Found: C 65.63%, H 5.56%, N 2.79%.

*(4-Nitrophenyl) 2-heptoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoate (NT3.7)*

Yield: 0.215 g, 52%. RF: 0.69 (DCM)

T<sub>CH</sub> 72 °C T<sub>NI</sub> (54 °C) T<sub>N<sub>F</sub>N</sub> (51 °C).


IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3066 (Aryl -H), 2927 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2855, 1733 (COOR), 1710, 1604 (Aryl C=C), 1580, 1512 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1431, 1347, 1252 (C-O-C), 1201, 1163, 1122, 1004, 883, 843, 759, 689, 607, 499, 408.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 8.36 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.11 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.04 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.57 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.24 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.15 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.04 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.10 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.72 (p, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.41 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.33 – 1.12 (m, 6H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.79 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).





<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 163.94, 163.69, 162.69, 160.10, 155.95, 155.62, 145.03, 133.11, 132.20, 125.35, 123.23, 120.59, 115.24, 114.35, 114.03, 108.00, 68.84, 55.71, 31.21, 28.48, 28.31, 25.36, 21.98, 13.89.



Elemental Analysis: Calculated: C 66.26%, H 5.76%, N 2.76%. Found: C 66.52%, H 5.27%, N 2.72%.

Table SI6: Quantities of reagents used in the synthesis of (3-fluoro-4-nitrophenyl) 2-alkoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoates, NT3F.m.

| Product name | S5.m                               | 3-Fluoro-4-nitrophenol              | DCC                                |
|--------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| NT3F.1       | 0.300 g, $9.92 \times 10^{-4}$ mol | 0.187 g, $1.19 \times 10^{-4}$ mol  | 0.307 g, $1.49 \times 10^{-3}$ mol |
| NT3F.2       | 0.300 g, $8.85 \times 10^{-4}$ mol | 0.112 g, $8.04 \times 10^{-4}$ mol  | 0.207 g, $1.01 \times 10^{-3}$ mol |
| NT3F.3       | 0.200 g, $6.05 \times 10^{-4}$ mol | 0.0860 g, $5.50 \times 10^{-4}$ mol | 0.142 g, $6.87 \times 10^{-4}$ mol |
| NT3F.4       | 0.150 g, $4.36 \times 10^{-4}$ mol | 0.0622 g, $3.95 \times 10^{-4}$ mol | 0.101 g, $4.93 \times 10^{-4}$ mol |
| NT3F.5       | 0.200 g, $5.58 \times 10^{-4}$ mol | 0.0791 g, $5.07 \times 10^{-4}$ mol | 0.131 g, $6.33 \times 10^{-4}$ mol |
| NT3F.6       | 0.300 g, $5.73 \times 10^{-4}$ mol | 0.0816 g, $5.20 \times 10^{-4}$ mol | 0.134 g, $6.51 \times 10^{-3}$ mol |
| NT3F.7       | 0.300 g, $7.76 \times 10^{-4}$ mol | 0.110 g, $7.06 \times 10^{-4}$ mol  | 0.182 g, $8.82 \times 10^{-4}$ mol |


*(3-Fluoro-4-nitrophenyl) 2-ethoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoate (NT3F.1)*

Yield: 0.285 g, 65.1%. RF: 0.31 (DCM)


T<sub>CH</sub> 180 °C T<sub>N<sub>F</sub>N</sub> (141 °C). T<sub>NI</sub> (156 °C).

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1763 (COOR), 1723, 1716, 1604 (Aryl C=C), 1584, 1531 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1513, 1497, 1472, 1454, 1412, 1350, 1320, 1288, 1259 (C-O-C), 1193, 1141, 1119, 1093, 1028, 1007, 877, 760, 745, 680, 624, 545, 510, 423.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 8.30 (t, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H, Ar), 8.11 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.73 (dd, J = 12.1 Hz, 2.3 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.43 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.26 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.15 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.07 (dd, J = 8.6 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H, Ar), 3.90 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>).



<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 164.44, 164.12, 162.16, 161.47, 156.71, 156.17 (d,  $J = 11.9$  Hz), 154.45 (d,  $J = 262.2$  Hz), 135.03 (d,  $J = 6.7$  Hz), 133.83, 132.68, 127.97 (d,  $J = 1.6$  Hz), 121.02, 119.74 (d,  $J = 3.6$  Hz), 115.02, 114.84, 114.62, 113.29 (d,  $J = 23.5$  Hz), 107.81, 56.99, 56.18.




M/Z:  $[M+Na]^+$  Calculated mass for  $C_{22}H_{16}NO_8FN$ : 464.0758. Found: 464.0777. Difference: 4.1 ppm.

EC263

INF080622a40 3 (0.062) AM (Med,2, Ht,5000.0,0.00,1.00); Cm (3:5)

1: TOF MS ES+ 83.9




*(3-Fluoro-4-nitrophenyl) 2-ethoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoate (NT3F.2)*

Yield: 0.156 g, 32%. RF: 0.63 (97:3 DCM:EtOAc)


T<sub>Ch</sub> 179 °C T<sub>NFI</sub> (118 °C).

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3062 (Aryl -H), 2995 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2848, 1740 (COOR), 1714, 1601 (Aryl C=C), 1524 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1484, 1433, 1358, 1290, 1255 (C-O-C), 1229 (C-F), 1173, 1143, 1043, 1024, 883, 877, 757, 684, 612, 530, 507, 420.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 8.31 (t, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H, Ar), 8.11 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.07 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.71 (dd, J = 12.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.42 (dt, J = 9.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.24 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.15 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.05 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.17 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.35 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).



<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 164.00, 163.73, 161.91, 160.25, 156.16, 155.79 (d, J = 17.8 Hz), 154.05 (d, J = 264.6 Hz), 134.61 (d, J = 6.9 Hz), 133.33, 132.28, 127.61 (d, J = 1.4 Hz), 120.60, 119.22 (d, J = 3.6 Hz), 114.80, 114.41, 114.13, 112.81 (d, J = 23.7 Hz), 108.16, 64.80, 55.76, 14.44.




M/Z:  $[M+Na]^+$  Calculated mass for  $C_{23}H_{18}NO_8FNa$ : 478.0914. Found: 478.0938. Difference: 5 ppm.

**3S6F.C2**

INF080622a25 3 (0.062) AM (Med,2, Ht,5000.0,0.00,1.00); Cm (2:13)

1: TOF MS ES+  
129






*(3-Fluoro-4-nitrophenyl) 2-propoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoate (NT3F.3)*

Yield: 0.116 g, 44%. RF: 0.71 (97:3 DCM:EtOAc)


T<sub>Ch</sub> 135 °C T<sub>NFI</sub> (92 °C).

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3063 (Aryl -H), 2982 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2848, 1738 (COOR), 1716, 1602 (Aryl C=C), 1525 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1428, 1356, 1287, 1254 (C-O-C), 1225 (C-F), 1168, 1114, 1040, 1018, 880, 841, 760, 685, 612, 531, 412.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 8.31 (t, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H, Ar), 8.11 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.07 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.71 (dd, J = 12.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.41 (dt, J = 9.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.24 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.15 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.05 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.07 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.75 (h, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.99 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).



<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 163.98, 163.70, 161.97, 160.40, 155.78 (d, J = 11.0 Hz), 154.02 (d, J = 262.7 Hz), 134.60 (d, J = 7.3 Hz), 133.37, 132.24, 127.60 (d, J = 2.0 Hz), 120.59, 119.18 (d, J = 3.8 Hz), 114.68, 114.38, 114.05, 112.71 (d, J = 23.7 Hz), 108.04, 70.38, 55.73, 21.91, 10.40.




M/Z:  $[M+Na]^+$  Calculated mass for  $C_{24}H_{20}NO_8FNa$ : 492.1071. Found: 492.1084. Difference: 2.6 ppm.

**3S6F.C3**

INF080622a43 3 (0.062) AM (Med,2, Ht,5000,0,0,00,1.00); Cm (1:7)

1: TOF MS ES+  
25.5




*(3-Fluoro-4-nitrophenyl) 2-butoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoate (NT3F.4)*

Yield: 0.041 g, 22%. RF: 0.65 (97:3 DCM:EtOAc)


T<sub>Ch</sub> 124 °C T<sub>NFI</sub> (79 °C).

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3093 (Aryl -H), 2960 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2874, 1736 (COOR), 1603 (Aryl C=C), 1531, 1510 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1348, 1254 (C-O-C), 1213 (C-F), 1158, 1127, 1004, 842, 759, 687, 604, 509, 408.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 8.31 (t, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H, Ar), 8.11 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.07 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.70 (dd, J = 12.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.41 (ddd, J = 9.0, 2.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.25 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.14 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.04 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.10 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.72 (p, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.46 (h, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.90 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).



<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 163.95, 163.66, 161.90, 160.39, 156.16, 155.77 (d, J = 12.5 Hz), 153.99 (d, J = 262.7 Hz), 134.53 (d, J = 6.9 Hz), 133.31, 132.21, 127.56, 120.57, 119.16 (d, J = 3.8 Hz), 114.65, 114.35, 114.02, 112.71 (d, J = 23.7 Hz), 108.02, 68.57, 55.71, 30.49, 18.59, 13.57.




M/Z: [M+H]<sup>+</sup> Calculated mass for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>8</sub>F: 484.1418. Found: 484.1408. Difference: 2.1 ppm.  
 [2M+Na]<sup>+</sup> Calculated mass for C<sub>50</sub>H<sub>44</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>16</sub>F<sub>2</sub>Na: 989.2557. Found: 989.2590. Difference: 3.3 ppm.

**FNT3S6.C4**

INF200922a15 4 (0.044) AM (Med,2, Ht,5000.0,0.00,1.00); Cm (1:19)

1: TOF MS ES+  
309




*(3-Fluoro-4-nitrophenyl) 2-pentoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoate (NT3F.5)*

Yield: 0.122 g, 44%. RF: 0.70 (97:3 DCM:EtOAc)


T<sub>CH</sub> 104 °C T<sub>NFI</sub> (68 °C).

IR  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ : 3085 (Aryl -H), 2941 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2873, 1759, 1735 (COOR), 1602 (Aryl C=C), 1511 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1428, 1350, 1252 (C-O-C), 1213 (C-F), 1147, 1122, 1003, 841, 759, 682, 607, 567, 509, 408.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 8.31 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, Ar), 8.11 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.06 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.70 (dd, J = 12.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.41 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.25 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.15 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.04 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.10 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.74 (p, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.36 (m, 4H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.83 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).



<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  ppm: 163.97, 163.70, 162.03, 160.38, 156.18, 155.74 (d, J = 11.1 Hz), 154.01 (d, J = 260.8 Hz), 134.58 (d, J = 7.4 Hz), 133.34, 132.23, 127.59, 120.59, 119.14 (d, J = 3.6 Hz), 114.71, 114.37, 114.05, 112.69 (d, J = 23.7 Hz), 108.03, 68.90, 55.73, 28.15, 27.59, 21.76, 13.88.




M/Z:  $[2M+Na]^+$  Calculated mass for  $C_{22}H_{48}N_2O_{16}F_2Na$ : 1017.2870. Found: 1017.2914. Difference: 4.3 ppm.

**FNT3S6.C5**

INF200922a16 1 (0.039) AM (Med,2, Ht,5000.0,0.00,1.00); Cm (1:14)

1: TOF MS ES+  
168






*(3-Fluoro-4-nitrophenyl) 2-hexoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoate (NT3F.6)*

Yield: 0.159 g, 59%. RF: 0.23 (DCM)


T<sub>CH</sub> 80 °C T<sub>N<sub>F</sub>I</sub> (58 °C).

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3095 (Aryl -H), 2942 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2874, 1761, 1731 (COOR), 1603 (Aryl C=C), 1511 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1428, 1352, 1251 (C-O-C), 1216 (C-F), 1167, 1122, 1003, 841, 760, 682, 608, 508, 408.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 8.32 (t, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H, Ar), 8.12 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.06 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.70 (dd, J = 11.9, 2.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.41 (ddd, J = 9.1, 2.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.25 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.16 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.05 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.10 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.90 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.73 (p, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.49 – 1.38 (m, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.33 – 1.17 (m, 4H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.86 – 0.78 (m, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).



<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 163.95, 163.67, 162.05, 160.33, 156.57 (d, J = 264.3 Hz), 156.15, 155.76 (d, J = 11.6 Hz), 134.56 (d, J = 7.2 Hz), 133.30, 132.21, 127.57 (d, J = 2.0 Hz), 120.57, 119.14 (d, J = 4.1 Hz), 114.72, 114.36, 114.04, 112.54 (d, J = 25.2 Hz), 108.02, 68.89, 55.71, 30.84, 28.41, 25.05, 22.04, 13.81.




M/Z: [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> Calculated mass for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO<sub>8</sub>FNa: 534.1540. Found: 534.1525. Difference: 2.8 ppm.  
 [2M+Na]<sup>+</sup> Calculated mass for C<sub>54</sub>H<sub>52</sub>NO<sub>16</sub>F<sub>2</sub>Na: 1045.3183. Found: 1045.3179. Difference: 0.4 ppm.

**FNT3S6F.C6**

INF190722a3 5 (0.046) AM (Med,2, Ht,5000.0,0.00,1.00); Cm (5:26)

1: TOF MS ES+  
146




*(3-Fluoro-4-nitrophenyl) 2-heptoxy-4-(4-methoxybenzoyl)oxybenzoate (NT3F.7)*

Yield: 0.161 g, 40%. RF: 0.52 (DCM)


T<sub>CH</sub> 73 °C T<sub>N<sub>F</sub>I</sub> (55 °C).

IR cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3083 (Aryl -H), 2919 (-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2855, 1761, 1730 (COOR), 1602 (Aryl C=C), 1512 (NO<sub>2</sub>), 1427, 1353, 1254 (C-O-C), 1216 (C-F), 1168, 1147, 1122, 1004, 877, 841, 760, 681, 608, 508, 409.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 8.32 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, Ar), 8.12 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.06 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.70 (dd, J = 12.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.42 (ddd, J = 9.1, 2.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.25 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.16 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.05 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.10 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.73 (p, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.42 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.34 – 1.15 (m, 6H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.81 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).



<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) δ ppm: 163.94, 163.66, 162.10, 160.31, 156.14, 155.77 (d, J = 82.3 Hz), 153.98 (d, J = 263.9 Hz), 134.57 (d, J = 7.6 Hz), 133.30, 132.19, 127.53 (d, J = 1.7 Hz), 120.56, 119.08 (d, J = 3.4 Hz), 114.72, 114.34, 114.03, 112.75 (d, J = 23.6 Hz), 108.01, 68.87, 55.70, 31.22, 28.46, 28.320, 25.36, 21.98, 13.88.




M/Z: [M+H]<sup>+</sup> Calculated mass for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>8</sub>F: 526.1877. Found: 526.1885. Difference: 1.5 ppm.


FNT3S6.C7

INF200922a17 7 (0.050) AM (Med,2, Ht,5000.0,0.00,1.00); Cm (2:12)

1: TOF MS ES+  
122



## Additional Experimental Results



**Figure S11:** (a) Real and (b) imaginary parts of the complex dielectric permittivity measured vs temperature and frequency for NT3F.7, in a 9.7- $\mu\text{m}$ -thick cell with ITO electrodes and no alignment layer.

**Table S17:** Calculated molecular dipole moments for the lowest energy conformations of the NT3.*m* and NT3F.*m* series. Calculated at the B3LYP/6-31(d) level of theory.

| <i>m</i> | NT3. <i>m</i><br>$\mu / \text{D}$ | NT3F. <i>m</i><br>$\mu / \text{D}$ |
|----------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1        | 11.59                             | 12.64                              |
| 2        | 11.60                             | 12.64                              |
| 3        | 11.63                             | 12.68                              |
| 4        | 11.69                             | 12.79                              |
| 5        | 11.72                             | 12.82                              |
| 6        | 11.74                             | 12.84                              |
| 7        | 11.72                             | 12.86                              |

## References

1. J. Li, H. Nishikawa, J. Kougo, J. Zhou, S. Dai, W. Tang, X. Zhao, Y. Hisai, M. Huang, S. Aya. *Sci. Adv.* (2021), **7**, eabf5047.