# The emergence of a polar nematic phase: a chemist's insight into the ferroelectric nematic phase.

CRUICKSHANK, E.

2024



This document was downloaded from https://openair.rgu.ac.uk







www.chempluschem.org

# The Emergence of a Polar Nematic Phase: A Chemist's Insight into the Ferroelectric Nematic Phase

Ewan Cruickshank\*<sup>[a]</sup>





The discovery of a new polar nematic phase; the ferroelectric nematic, has generated a great deal of excitement in the field of liquid crystals. To date there have been around 150 materials reported exhibiting the ferroelectric nematic phase, in general, following three key archetypal structures with these compounds known as RM734, DIO and UUQU-4N. In this review, the relationship between the molecular structure and the stability of the ferroelectric nematic, N<sub>F</sub>, phase will be described from a chemist's perspective. This will look to highlight the wide variety of functionalities which have been incorporated into these archetypal structures and how these changes influence

#### 1. Introduction

The conventional nematic phase, N, has been ubiquitous with the development of liquid crystal display technology since the discovery of the nCB series by George Gray in the early 1970s.<sup>[1]</sup> It has been technologically the most important liquid crystalline phase, or mesophase, underpinning the multibillion dollar liquid crystal display market. The conventional nematic phase is the least ordered mesophase, in which the long axes of the rod-like molecules are aligned in more-or-less the same direction known as the director, n, Figure 1. The centres of masses of the rod-like molecules are randomly distributed and the phase is non-polar in nature. This is because of the inversion symmetry present within the phase meaning that  $\mathbf{n} =$ -n. While some features of the conventional nematic phase are maintained in the ferroelectric nematic phase, N<sub>F</sub>, critically, the inversion symmetry that was present is broken such that  $\mathbf{n} \neq$  $-\mathbf{n}$  and therefore the phase is polar, Figure 1.

The concept of a polar nematic phase can hardly be described as new, having been speculated upon as early as 1916 by Max Born who attempted to develop a mathematical theory for liquid crystals. He suggested that a polar fluid would exist if the dipoles of the constituent molecules were sufficiently large that the dipole-dipole interactions between them could overcome thermal fluctuations.<sup>[2]</sup> An underlying assumption within the model, that molecules had to possess a dipole moment before they could form any liquid crystalline phases, was proven to be incorrect by the emergence of nonpolar molecules which were liquid crystalline.<sup>[3]</sup> It was even commented on in a review of the history of liquid crystals that the theory proposed by Born had been 'relegated to the status of a historical footnote'.<sup>[4]</sup> However, some 100 years after Born's work, a polar nematic phase was finally experimentally observed in 2017. The phase was first reported by two independent groups in the molecules  $\mathsf{RM230}^{\scriptscriptstyle{[5]}}, \mathsf{RM734}^{\scriptscriptstyle{[6]}}$  and DIO,<sup>[7]</sup> Figure 2, although at the time they were described as

[a] Dr. E. Cruickshank
 School of Pharmacy and Life Sciences, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen,
 AB10 7GJ, UK
 E-mail: e.cruickshank2@rgu.ac.uk

© 2024 The Authors. ChemPlusChem published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. the transition temperatures of the mesophases present. The  $N_F$  phase appears to be stabilised particularly by reducing the length of terminal alkyl chains present and adding fluorines laterally along the length of the molecular backbone. This review will look to introduce the background of the ferroelectric nematic phase before then showing the molecular structures of a range of materials which exhibit the phase, describing their structure–property relationships and therefore giving an up–to–date account of the literature for this fascinating new meso-phase.

having what appeared to be multiple nematic phases rather than specifically the ferroelectric nematic phase. In 2020, however, when studying RM734, Chen et al. demonstrated that the additional nematic phase present was in fact ferroelectric and named this phase the ferroelectric nematic phase.<sup>[8]</sup> The discovery of the N<sub>F</sub> phase has seen a flurry of activity in this area and the phase has rapidly become arguably the hottest topic in liquid crystals. There is a good reason for this excitement around the phase, and that is because it shows a range of unique and interesting properties. Indeed, these include some which mean that the phase may have real application potential. Some of these properties include: being easy to align,<sup>[9,10]</sup> having a strong non-linear optical response;<sup>[11-15]</sup> high polarisation values;<sup>[7,8,16,17]</sup> large dielectric permittivity<sup>[18-26]</sup> (although there has been some debate around the exact magnitude of these permittivity values)^{[26,27]} and switching occurs for very low electric fields.<sup>[7,8,20,28-33]</sup>

While there has been a large upsurge in materials in the last couple of years that have been reported to exhibit the ferroelectric nematic phase, the majority of these compounds fall into a relatively small structure space. Indeed, bar a few examples which will be discussed, most N<sub>F</sub> compounds can be classified into three general archetypal structures which are based on the molecules RM734,<sup>[6]</sup> DIO<sup>[7]</sup> and UUQU-4N,<sup>[24]</sup> Figure 2. While their structures appear chemically to be somewhat different in nature, there does appear to be some features which are similar in all three materials. Firstly, these materials all possess a large longitudinal dipole moment which can drive the favourable interactions between the molecules required to observe the  $N_{\mbox{\scriptsize F}}$  phase. Secondly, these materials all have some degree of lateral bulk, whether that is in the form of an alkyloxy chain or lateral fluorination, and this is thought to inhibit the anti-parallel correlations that are often associated with low molar mass mesogens and are destabilizing for the N<sub>F</sub> phase. These rather empirical observations do have some basis in theory as they are in good accord with the computer simulations reported by Berardi et al.,[34,35] who modelled tapered molecules using a generalized Gay-Berne type of attractive-repulsive potential. The tapered molecules could exhibit a polar nematic phase which has been since described as the N<sub>F</sub> phase due to a balance between shape and attractive terms. Relatively small molecular changes to these archetypal materials have led to the development of a library of around 150 ferroelectric nematogens, most of which can be described



as low molar mass mesogens.<sup>[6,14,36-42,18-21,24,30,31,33]</sup> While there have been exceptions to these archetypal materials,<sup>[25,41,43,44]</sup> these have been quite limited and so there is still a great deal of understanding that can be gained in terms of structureproperty relationships involved in the formation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. This has been highlighted recently by reports of DIObased molecules also exhibiting a ferroelectric smectic A phase, Figure 1. $^{[31,38,45]}$  For many of the materials reviewed here, the polar character of the N<sub>F</sub> phase was described by the appearance of a strong dielectric relaxation mode, [14,19-21,24,25,33] the exact magnitude of which, as was mentioned previously, has come under some discussion recently,<sup>[27]</sup> but this review will not look to resolve this and will use the phase sequences as they have been reported in the literature. The other method used in the determination of the N<sub>F</sub> phase was polarised optical microscopy and the observation of characteristic banded textures, with examples of these shown in Figure 3. This review will instead look to summarise some of the structural modifications made to the materials exhibiting the N<sub>F</sub> phase, from a chemist's perspective, and will look to summarise what effect these changes have had on the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. For clarity, some of the compounds listed were initially reported as the N<sub>s</sub> phase, however,<sup>[11,23.46,47]</sup> with RM734 being assigned as the  $N_F$  phase,<sup>[8]</sup> that is the nomenclature which will be used throughout. The compounds in this review will be labelled numerically in sequence for clarity, rather than using the nomenclature provided in the literature they are referenced from. This work also looks to add additional insights into the N<sub>F</sub> phase following on from the excellent reviews on the subject by Mandle<sup>[39]</sup> and Sebastián et al.<sup>[40]</sup>

# 2. Materials Based on DIO

DIO, as was mentioned earlier, is one of the archetypal materials which exhibits the ferroelectric nematic phase. DIO has a structure characterised by a dioxane ring at one terminus and a fluorinated biphenyl at the other joined by an ester linking group which gives the molecule a large longitudinal dipole, calculated to be 9.4  $D_r^{[7,48]}$  and as was described previously this appears to be required for the  $N_F$  phase to form. The calculation of the molecular dipole in these types of compounds have



Dr Ewan Cruickshank is a Lecturer in the School of Pharmacy and Life Sciences at Robert Gordon University. Prior to joining RGU in 2023, he was a research fellow at the University of Aberdeen where he worked on the EPSRC funded New Horizons project: Ferroelectricity and the Nematic Liquid Crystal Phase. His PhD was completed at the University of Aberdeen where his thesis titled: Synthesis and Characterisation of Novel Thioether–Based Liquid Crystals and the Twist– Bend Nematic Phase was sustained in 2021. He was awarded the Luckhurst–Samulski Prize in 2019 for the best paper that year in the journal Liquid Crystals. been widely undertaken using density functional theory, however, in order to account for the different basis sets used, the calculation method for each dipole has been reported in the footnotes of the tables. DIO much like other N<sub>F</sub> materials has been reported to have a large polarisation value, in the case of DIO,  $4.4 \,\mu C \,cm^{-2}$ <sup>[7,8]</sup> DIO, labelled compound 1, shows a phase sequence on cooling of  $I-174\,^\circ C-N-84\,^\circ C-N_x-69\,^\circ C-N_F$  and it will be used as the baseline N<sub>F</sub> transition temperature which the other materials will be compared to. The N<sub>X</sub> phase listed here has been subsequently called the SmZ<sub>A</sub> phase and the proposed structure of this phase has been described in literature by Chen *et al.*<sup>[49]</sup> and later confirmed by Cruickshank *et al.*<sup>[50]</sup> Figure 4.

They suggested that the phase has only short-range order regarding molecular positions but shows a regular array of antiferroelectric domains along the direction perpendicular to the director. These regular domains give a kind of long-range periodicity, and this led to very weak Bragg-type diffraction signals being measured, and hence being referred to as the antiferroelectric SmZ<sub>A</sub> phase. Cruickshank et al.,<sup>[50]</sup> however suggested that the origin of the detected diffraction signal is not the packing of the molecules into distinct layers, but instead the nematic order within the blocks. They, therefore, differentiated this phase from regular smectic phases and instead kept its identification as a nematic phase. The phase was referred to as the  $N_x$  phase and will be the terminology used in this review for this phase. The modifications to the structure will start as small individual changes but these will quickly increase in complexity. Firstly, looking at the effect of changing the substituents on the middle monofluorinated aromatic ring, Table 1.<sup>[18,19]</sup> In Table 1 and elsewhere the liquid crystal phase sequences are given on cooling to keep consistency between the molecules. In order to highlight that most of the N<sub>F</sub> phase transitions were monotropic in nature and require supercooling, the melting points of the compounds have been listed where available. Li et al. reported that removing the fluorine meta to the ester saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase completely destabilised despite the material maintaining a large longitudinal dipole moment and the replacement of the fluorine atom by a lateral methoxy group saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase destabilised by 3°C. Increasing the length of the methoxy group in this position to ethoxy, propoxy and butoxy, compounds 3.2-3.4, again saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase completely destabilised. The addition of a second fluorine substituent meta to the ester of the middle aromatic ring sees the  $N_F$  phase greatly stabilised with T<sub>NFN</sub> increasing by 55 °C. While this may appear to suggest that merely increasing the magnitude of the molecular dipole will also increase the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase, it will become clear that this is an overly simplistic view.

There have also been changes made to the trifluorinated terminal aromatic ring, specifically replacing the central fluorine of the three, *para* to the bond to the other aromatic ring, with other polar functional groups, Table 2.<sup>[45,48]</sup> Nishimura *et al.*<sup>[48]</sup> reported that substituting the aforementioned fluorine with a CF<sub>3</sub> group saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase stabilised by 39 °C and the dipole moment increased to 11.0 D. Interestingly, the inclusion of this group also saw the emergence of a phase which the authors





Figure 1. Schematic representations of the: (left) conventional nematic phase, (middle) ferroelectric nematic phase and (right) ferroelectric smectic A phase.



Figure 2. Molecular structures of archetypal ferroelectric nematogens: (left) RM734 when n = 1 and RM230 when n = 2, (middle) DIO and (right) UUQU-4 N.

labelled as being smectic preceding the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Considering the transition temperature for this phase was higher than that of the N<sub>F</sub> phase, it can be presumed that this phase was the N<sub>X</sub> phase, which was described earlier. Matsukizono *et al.*<sup>[45]</sup> reported that replacing the fluorine with a hydrogen, compound **6**, saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase be completely destabilised similarly to what was seen in terms of the middle aromatic ring of DIO. Replacing that fluorine instead with a cyano moiety, compound **7**, saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase maintained with a decrease in the transition temperature of 4 °C compared to DIO and the emergence of a second polar nematic phase that the authors termed N'<sub>F</sub>. They differentiated this phase from the conventional N<sub>F</sub> phase because there was a change in the polarisation value of the phase, but the *D*–*E* curve still showed a large hysteresis indicative of its ferroelectric properties. This decrease is somewhat surprising considering the same material was reported by Li *et al.*<sup>[19]</sup> with a transition to the N<sub>F</sub> phase at 129 °C, Matsukizono *et al.*<sup>[45]</sup> suggested that the transition at 129 °C is to the N<sub>x</sub> phase rather than the N<sub>F</sub> phase and this was supported by the dielectric constants measured in this phase.



Figure 3. Representative examples of the banded textures observed for the ferroelectric nematic phase.



Figure 4. Schematic representation of the  $N_x$  phase, with the arrows representing the molecular dipoles and the point of the arrow marking the end of the molecule with a positive charge.



Table 1. The	Table 1. The molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole moments of compounds 1–4.										
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	$\Delta T_{\rm NF}$ / °C	μ/ D	Ref.					
1	F O F F O F F O F F	96	I–174–N–85–N <sub>x</sub> –69–N <sub>F</sub> –34–Cr	-	9.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	7,21					
2	F O F F O F F O F F	-	I-120-N	×	8.4 <sup>[b]</sup>	18					
3 1	F	-	I-91-N-66-N <sub>F</sub> -47-Cr	-3	8.4 <sup>[b]</sup>	18					
2	F	-	I–59–Cr	×	10.1 <sup>[b]</sup>	19					
3	F O F	-	I-48-Cr	×	10.0 <sup>[b]</sup>	19					
4	$C_{m}H_{2m+1}$	-	I–39–Cr	×	10.0 <sup>[b]</sup>	19					
4		-	I–147–N–124–N <sub>F</sub>	+55	9.4 <sup>(b)</sup>	18					
[a] Calculated	using B3LYP/6-31G (d). [b] Calculated using B3LYP/6-311 +	G(d,p).									

They also described the N'<sub>F</sub> phase being present in this compound, but in this case  $T_{NFNX}$  increased by 27 °C compared to DIO, suggesting that a terminal nitro group is favourable for the observation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase.

The extent and position of fluorination can also be altered for the terminal aromatic ring connected to the dioxane ring, Table  $3^{[38,45]}$  Kikuchi *et al.* showed that removing one or both of the fluorine atoms from the terminal aromatic ring connected to the dioxane ring saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase replaced by a ferroelectric smectic phase. The transition to the SmA<sub>F</sub> phase was seen at 106 °C for the ring with a single fluorine, compound 9, and at 146 °C when there were no fluorines, compound 10.3. The dielectric measurements and observed POM textures suggested that the lowest temperature phase in compound 10.3 was the same as the SmA<sub>F</sub> phase in compound 9, the material with one fluorine in the terminal ring connected to the dioxane ring. The authors also reported, in both compounds 9 and 10.3, additional smectic phases which had ferroelectric character, and this was based on there being differences in the dielectric measurements between the phases. Nishikawa *et al.*<sup>[51]</sup> reported that replacing the terminal alkyl chain with a hydrogen, compound **10.0**, the SmA<sub>F</sub> phase is still observed but the conventional nematic phase is extinguished so the transition is direct from the isotropic phase. Kikuchi *et al.*<sup>[38]</sup> also reported that changing one of the fluorine positions from 2,6-difluorophenyl to 3,6-difluorophenyl or 2,3-difluorophenyl would also see the complete destabilisation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. This was attributed to the large decrease in the magnitude of the average molecular dipole going from 9.4 D in DIO to 8.13 D and 8.31 D for compounds **11** and **12**, respectively. Similarly replacing the fluorine with a single chlorine also saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase being lost, as might be expected, but there was also no observation of the SmA<sub>F</sub> phase suggesting that the additional bulk associated with the chlorine also inhibited the formation of smectic phases.

In Table 4 the degree of fluorination in all three of the aromatic rings is varied compared to DIO. In all three compounds the  $N_{\rm F}$  phase is extinguished with the decrease in fluorination, however, compounds 14 and 15 did exhibit a

ChemPlusChem

Review doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202300726





smectic phase that appeared to be conventional albeit without a clear assignment. Most of the convenient or simple modifications that can be made to these structures destabilise the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Also included in Table 4, are compounds **17** and **18** reported by Nishimura *et al.*<sup>[48]</sup> who replaced the dioxane ring with a butoxy terminal chain and a dimethylamino group, respectively. Compound **17** had 2 fluorines *meta* to the terminal butoxy chain whereas compound **18** had no fluorination at those positions, both compounds, however, did not exhibit the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Nishimura *et al.*<sup>[48]</sup> also reported compound **19** with

the dioxane ring replaced by a cyclohexane moiety and a pentyl terminal chain. Li *et al.*<sup>[18]</sup> reported compound **20** which had a similar molecular design to compound **18** but with a methoxy terminal chain. However, neither of these materials exhibited the N<sub>F</sub> phase.

Li *et al.* reported a wide variety of DIO based compounds with differing terminal chain lengths, polar end groups and functionality substituted onto the aromatic rings as is summarised in Table 5.<sup>[19]</sup> There is a general trend that can be observed from these materials in terms of the length of the terminal

Review doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202300726



Table 3. The molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole moments of compounds 9–13.									
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/ °C	Phase Sequence/°C	$\Delta T_{\rm NF}/$ °C	μ/D	Ref.			
1	F O F F O F F O F F	96	I–174–N–85–N <sub>x</sub> –69–N <sub>F</sub> –34–Cr	-	9.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	7,21			
9		107	I–207–N–115–N <sub>x</sub> –106–SmA <sub>F</sub> –85– SmX <sub>F</sub>	×	9.4 <sup>(b)</sup>	38			
10 0	F J F	153	l–148–SmA <sub>F</sub> –117–SmX <sub>F</sub> –105–Cr	×	9.0 <sup>[c]</sup>	51			
	$H_{2n+1}C_n$								
11	F O F F O F F	123	I-196-N	×	8.4 <sup>[b]</sup>	38,45			
12		126	I-180-N	×	8.4 <sup>[b]</sup>	38,45			
13		72	I–137–N	×	9.2 <sup>(b)</sup>	38			

chain, which is that the shorter the chain length the greater the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. This can be seen with series **22–26** and **28**, despite the different lateral and terminal groups introduced

into the terminal biphenyl rings. Although it must be noted that compounds **21** and **22.0**, which have a hydrogen replacing the terminal alkyl chain, sees the N<sub>F</sub> phase extinguished and T<sub>NFI</sub>

ChemPlusChem

Review doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202300726



Table 4. The	molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole mo	ments of compound	ls 14–20.			
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/	Phase Sequence/°C	∆T <sub>NF</sub> / °C	μ/D	Ref.
1	F O F F O F F	96	l–174–N–85–NX–69–NF– 34–Cr	-	9.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	7,21
14		128	I–186–N–84–SmX	×	6.9 <sup>[b]</sup>	45
15		124	I–185–N–147–SmX	×	8.2 <sup>[b]</sup>	45
16		122	I–194–N	×	5.9 <sup>[b]</sup>	45
17		98	I–112–N	×	8.2 <sup>[c]</sup>	48
18		189	I–164–Cr	x	5.9 <sup>[c]</sup>	48
19		117	I–233–N–129–Sm	×	6.4 <sup>(b)</sup>	45

ChemPlusChem 2024, 89, e202300726 (8 of 42)





decrease by 4°C compared to compound 22.1, respectively. While the materials with the methyl terminal chains attached to the dioxane ring have the lowest average molecular dipoles of each of the series that they are in, this seems to be favourable for the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Indeed, all the compounds with the shortest terminal chain lengths exhibit the N<sub>F</sub> phase, some even showing a direct N<sub>F</sub>-I transition, and this is not the case for those with longer terminal chains. Having a shorter terminal chain means that there will be less electron density inductively donated to the 2,6-difluorinated aromatic ring. This observation is consistent with the predictions of a molecular model developed by Madhusudana to describe the N<sub>F</sub> phase.<sup>[52]</sup> Within the framework of the model, the calamitic molecules are represented by regions of alternating positive and negative charges separated by the ester group and dictated by the electron-withdrawing or donating nature of the functional groups substituted onto the aromatic rings, Figure 5. The terminus of the rod with the dioxane ring is positively charged and the other is negative, which gives the large longitudinal dipole moment. If these rods exist in close proximity, then the neighbouring molecules are sensitive to the electronic charge of each other and these interactions inhibit the formation of anti-parallel structures, Figure 5. Specifically, this suggests that



**Figure 5.** Schematic representation of (left) a rod–like molecule with alternating charge, (middle) the molecules orientated in an anti-parallel manner and (right) the molecules orientated in a parallel manner.

the parallel alignment of the molecules, Figure 5, is enhanced by minimising the amplitude of the charge density wave at either end of the molecule and this is achieved in this case by reducing the length of the alkyl chain. However, the opposite is the case when the chain length is increased: the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase is diminished even to the extent that for some of the series it is extinguished. It would be remiss not to highlight compound **25.1** which shows a direct N<sub>F</sub>–I transition at 226 °C which is over 150 °C higher than DIO and one of the highest N<sub>F</sub> transition temperatures reported to date. The other structural features which led to this very stable N<sub>F</sub> phase will be discussed later.

By comparing the materials with a terminal chain beyond the dioxane ring, which is three carbons long, the effect of the other modifications to the structure can be isolated from the influence of changing the terminal alkyl chain and directly compared to the archetypal compound DIO, Table 5. In compound 22.3, the fluorine atom meta to the ester is substituted for a polar nitro group and there is, as might be expected, an increase in the average molecular dipole of around 2 D. However, the additional lateral bulk associated with the nitro group mitigates the enhanced polarity it brings such that the N<sub>F</sub> phase is slightly destabilised compared to DIO, seeing  $T_{\mbox{\tiny NFN}}$  drop by 3 °C. In compounds 23.3 and 24.3, which have terminal nitro groups the N<sub>F</sub> phase is completely destabilised and only a conventional nematic phase is observed. This maybe slightly surprising given both compounds 23.1 and 24.1 show an increase in the stability of the  $N_{\mbox{\tiny F}}$  phase compared to compound 22.1. While both compounds 23.3 and 24.3 have enhanced dipoles when compared to DIO at 12.2 D and 13.2 D respectively, the removal of the fluorines ortho to the terminal nitro group is presumably unfavourable for the parallel packing of the molecules as the terminal chain length increases and hence why the N<sub>F</sub> phase is not observed. Replacing the central terminal fluorine with a cyano group and adding an additional fluorine meta to the ester as seen in compound 25.3, sees a remarkable increase in the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase with T<sub>NFNX</sub> increasing by 107 °C in relation to DIO and with the average dipole moment increasing to 14.6 D. Normally introducing a cyano group to a biphenyl would see a promotion in antiparallel correlations between the mesogenic units and so would see the N<sub>F</sub> phase be destabilised. However, in this case, the N<sub>F</sub> ChemPlusChem

Review doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202300726



Table 5. The molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole moments of compounds 21–37.										
Compoun	d Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	∆T <sub>NF</sub> / °C	μ/D	Ref.				
1		96	I–174–N–85–N <sub>x</sub> –69–N <sub>F</sub> –34– Cr	-	9.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	7,21				
21	F O F F O F F O F F	144	l–124–Cr	-	9.9 <sup>[b]</sup>	51				
22 0	F	135	I–83–N <sub>F</sub> –67–Cr	+14	12.2 <sup>[b]</sup>	51				
1	F	-	I-87-N <sub>F</sub>	+18	11.2 <sup>[b]</sup>	19				
2	F O F	-	I–65–N <sub>F</sub> –63–Cr	-4	11.3 <sup>[b]</sup>	19				
3		-	I-68-N <sub>F</sub>	-3	11.4 <sup>[b]</sup>	19				
5	$H_{2n+1}C_n$	-	I-63-N	×	11.5 <sup>bj</sup>	19				
23 1	NO <sub>2</sub>	-	I-243-N-119-N <sub>F</sub> -100-Cr	+ 50	12.0 <sup>[b]</sup>	19				
2		-	I-265-N-56-Cr	×	12.2 <sup>[b]</sup>	19				
3		-	I-270-N-22-Cr	×	12.2 <sup>[b]</sup>	19				
5	$H_{2n+1}C_n$ $O$ $F$ $F$	-	I–240–N–35–Cr	×	12.3 <sup>(b)</sup>	19				
24 1	NO <sub>2</sub>	-	I–120–N <sub>F</sub> –101–Cr	+51	13.0 <sup>[b]</sup>	19				
2		-	I-120-N-58-Cr	×	13.1 <sup>[b]</sup>	19				
3		-	I-163-N-74-Cr	×	13.2 <sup>[b]</sup>	19				
5		-	I-152-N	×	13.2 <sup>[b]</sup>	19				
	$H_{2n+1}C_n$									
25 1	F I N	-	I-226-N <sub>F</sub> -140-Cr	+ 157	14.4 <sup>[b]</sup>	19				
3	F C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	-	N–189–N <sub>x</sub> –175–N <sub>F</sub> –115– SmA <sub>F</sub> –65–Cr	+107	14.6 <sup>[b]</sup>	19,31				
5		-	I–218–N–104–N <sub>F</sub> –28–Cr	+35	14.8 <sup>[b]</sup>	19				
	$H_{2n+1}C_n$									



Table	Table 5. continued										
Comp	ound	Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	ΔT <sub>NF</sub> / °C	μ/D	Ref.				
26	1 3 5	$F$ $O$ $CF_3$	-	I–91–N <sub>F</sub> I–113–N–86–N <sub>F</sub> I–111–N–55–N <sub>F</sub>	+ 22 + 17 -14	13.8 <sup>(b)</sup> 14.0 <sup>(b)</sup> 14.1 <sup>(b)</sup>	19 19 19				
27		$H_{2n+1}C_n \sim$	-	I–155–N−138–N <sub>F</sub> –113–Cr	+ 69	12.8 <sup>(b)</sup>	19				
28	0 3	F = O + O + F = O + O + O + O + O + O + O + O + O + O	135 -	I–151–N <sub>F</sub> –21–G I–157–N−126–N <sub>F</sub>	+ 82 + 57	14.9 <sup>(b)</sup> 14.7 <sup>(b)</sup>	51 19				
29			-	I–132–N–96–N <sub>F</sub> –22–Cr	+ 27	13.9 <sup>(b)</sup>	19				
30			-	I–50–Cr	×	9.1 <sup>(b)</sup>	18				
31		F F F O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	-	I–111–Cr	×	9.7 <sup>[b]</sup>	18				





phase was stabilised, and this is presumably due to the extensive fluorination along the length of the molecule. Song *et al.*<sup>[31]</sup> reassigned the phase sequence of compound **25.3** (previously listed as only exhibiting the conventional nematic

and ferroelectric nematic phases) and reported that this material also exhibited a SmA<sub>F</sub> phase on cooling. This was particularly interesting since the other examples of materials possessing the SmA<sub>F</sub> phase described earlier had incorporated

ChemPlusChem 2024, 89, e202300726 (12 of 42)



fewer lateral fluorines in a DIO based structure. Adding exclusively the extra fluorine saw  $T_{NFN}$  increase by 55 °C, compared to the value reported by Li et al.<sup>[19]</sup> for compound 7, but some 110 °C higher than the value reported by Matsukizono et al.<sup>[45]</sup> When the fluorine meta to the ester of DIO is replaced by a CF<sub>3</sub> group but the terminal cyano group is maintained, as seen in compound 26.3,  $T_{\text{\tiny NFI}}$  increased by only 22  $^\circ\text{C}$  compared to DIO. This much smaller increase in transition temperature seen for compound 26.3 compared to 25.3 can presumably be attributed to the much larger lateral bulk endowed by the CF<sub>3</sub> group and the removal of the other meta fluorine. For compound 27 with a terminal  $CF_3$  group, the increase in  $T_{NFN}$ compared to DIO is 69°C and to compound 5 is 30°C, and this suggests that having highly fluorinated biphenyl moieties capped by a polar group is particularly favourably for observing the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Taking compound **26.3** and exchanging the CF<sub>3</sub> group for a nitro group in the meta position to the ester as shown by compound 28.3, sees  $T_{\text{\tiny NFN}}$  increase by 57  $^\circ\text{C}$  compared to DIO and by 40°C compared to compound 26.3 even with the bulk of the nitro group being present. Comparing DIO and compound 22.3 there was a decrease of 3 °C with the addition of a lateral nitro group, which suggested that this lateral moiety was not optimal for enhancing the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase overall. However, the inclusion of the terminal cyano group in the case of compound 26 and the temperature trend seen, suggests that the interactions present in the formation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase are rather complex. Li et al.<sup>[19]</sup> also reported compound 29 where the ester linker is replaced by a difluoromethyl ether linker, a feature associated with another archetypal compound, namely UUQU-4N which will be discussed in greater detail later in the review. This material when compared to compound 25.3, which is the corresponding material with an ester linker, sees  $T_{\mbox{\tiny NFN}}$  drop by 80  $^\circ C$  and a reduction in the average molecular dipole of 0.7 D. Li et al.[18] had also previously reported a set of DIO based materials with a methyl terminal and which contained this difluoromethyl ether linker as shown by compounds 30-32. Compounds 30 and 31 have structures which contain both an ester linker and a difluoromethyl ether linker. While all three compounds show a range of different aromatic arrangements at the ether link, in all of these materials the N<sub>F</sub> phase is extinguished. Li *et al.*<sup>[19]</sup> also reported a further set of materials with a difluoromethyl ether linker with differing lateral and terminal groups attached to the aromatic rings. The N<sub>F</sub> phase in compounds 33-37 was completely destabilised, even in the cases when the dioxane ring was joined to a shorter terminal chain. This would appear indicative that the difluoromethyl ether linker has a destabilising effect on the formation of the  $N_F$  phase.

The previous examples related to DIO have all had three aromatic rings incorporated into the molecular backbone, in Table 6 materials with only two aromatic rings are shown.<sup>[18,48]</sup> None of these compounds exhibit the N<sub>F</sub> phase, regardless of the composition of the substituents on the aromatic rings. Clearly removing one aromatic ring reduces the shape anisotropy of these materials compared to the compounds with three rings, as well as reducing the intermolecular interactions

between the mesogenic units, and hence the liquid crystalline phase behaviour is suppressed.

When summarising the effect different modifications have on the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase for the materials based on DIO a few general trends become apparent. Shortening the length of the terminal carbon chain connected to the dioxane ring promotes the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase, while extending its length does the opposite and such an effect can be rationalised using the model proposed for the  $N_{\rm F}$  phase by Madhusudana.<sup>[52]</sup> Additional lateral fluorination in the aromatic ring of the biphenyl moiety connected to the ester, also appears to enhance the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Within Madhusudana's model, the addition of the fluorine atom increases the amplitude of the charge density on the associated aromatic ring and in relative terms decreases that associated with the terminal rings. Such a change is predicted to increase the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Removing any of the fluorines in the DIO system appears to be favourable for the formation of the SmA<sub>F</sub> phase by removing lateral bulk which helps to promote the interactions between the mesogenic units which is favourable for more ordered phases. Replacing lateral fluorine atoms with more bulky polar lateral substituents such as CF<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> saw a slight decrease in the N<sub>F</sub> transition temperatures. Replacing one of these fluorines with a methoxy group saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase again slightly destabilised and further lengthening this alkyloxy chain saw the phase be extinguished. However, replacing the central terminal fluorine for a CF<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> or CN group (if the value reported by Li et al.[19] is used) seems to stabilise the formation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. This is presumably due to the enhancement in the strength of the dipole in the terminal ring in combination with the fluorines ortho to the terminal group spreading the negative charge of the terminal group as well as preventing unfavourable anti-parallel correlations. Most of the other modifications that were made to the archetypal DIO structure had a negative impact on the N<sub>F</sub> transition temperatures highlighting the challenge in the molecular design of these compounds. Therefore, the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase in these DIO-based materials was based on the combination of changes made to the structure and if shape or electronic effects were more dominant. Indeed, this is shown by the relative stabilities of the N<sub>F</sub> and N phases being governed by a subtle interplay of steric and electronic factors, and this will be exemplified in the future discussions around the other archetypal N<sub>F</sub> materials.

# 3. Materials Based on UUQU-4N

UUQU-4N is the second archetypal ferronematogen that will be discussed. This family of materials reported in the literature, is currently far smaller in scale than both the DIO and RM734 families. UUQU-4N was a particularly exciting compound when it was reported in 2021 by Manabe *et al.*<sup>[24]</sup> as it exhibits the N<sub>F</sub> phase at around room temperature but without the very high melting points described elsewhere. UUQU-4N is characterised by having at one terminus an alkyl chain linked to a fluorinated biphenyl, and at the other a 2,6-difluorocyanophenyl. The two



Table 6. The molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole moments of compounds 38–44.									
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	$\Delta T_{\rm NF}/^{\circ}{\rm C}$	μ/D	Ref.			
1	F O F F O F F O F F	96	I–174–N–85–N <sub>x</sub> –69–N <sub>F</sub> –34–Cr	-	9.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	7,21			
38		91	I-98-N	×	6.4 <sup>[b]</sup>	48			
39		79	I–151–N	×	9.2 <sup>(b)</sup>	48			
40		68	l–16–Cr	×	8.5 <sup>(b)</sup>	48			
41		83	I–93–N–54–Sm	×	12.1 <sup>(b)</sup>	48			
42		165	l–148–Cr	×	11.8 <sup>(b)</sup>	48			
43		-	I–67–Cr	×	10.2 <sup>[c]</sup>	18			
44	F O F O F O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	- 16 (d n) [c] Calculate	I-24-N	×	10.4 <sup>[c]</sup>	18			
[a] Calculated	using B3LYP/6-31G (d). [b] Calculated using $\omega$ B97X–D/6-31	IG (d,p). [c] Calculate	ea using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p).						



termini are linked by a difluoromethyl ether group, and this group was described earlier in relation to some of the compounds based on the DIO structure. However, despite usually not containing an ester like DIO, the extensive fluorination of the molecules provided a suitably large longitudinal molecular dipole, which was calculated to be 11.3 D<sup>[24]</sup> and allowing the  $N_F$  phase to form. UUQU-4N is labelled compound 45 and shows a phase sequence on cooling of I-19.6 °C-N<sub>F</sub> and this will be used as the representative temperature the rest of the materials will be compared to. The effect of making modifications to the UUQU-4N structure and how that changes the stability of the  $N_F$  phase is shown in Table 7.<sup>[9,53,54]</sup> Nishikawa et al.[51] reported that reducing the length of the terminal alkyl chain and replacing the difluoromethyl ether linker with an ester, as seen in compounds 46.2 and 46.3, saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase stabilised dramatically compared to compound 45. The stabilisation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase when the terminal alkyl chain is short is supported by T<sub>NFI</sub> increasing by 25 °C when the propyl chain in compound 46.3 is reduced to an ethyl chain in compound 46.2. Chen et al.[53] reported compound 47.2, which has an ethyl terminal chain sees the  $N_{\mbox{\tiny F}}$  phase stabilised by just under 50°C compared to the parent compound. Interestingly, the phase sequence is also completely changed with the N<sub>F</sub> phase preceded by the N<sub>x</sub> phase and the conventional nematic phase. This suggests, however, that the terminal oxane ring is more favourable for stabilising the N<sub>F</sub> phase than just the butyl chain on its own. Chen et al.[53] also reported compound 47.7 with a heptyl terminal chain but in this case the N<sub>F</sub> phase was completely destabilised with only the conventional nematic and N<sub>x</sub> phases present. This observation is in good agreement with the effect that the terminal chain had on the stability of the  $N_{\text{F}}$  phase for the materials based on the DIO structure i.e., that increasing the terminal chain length destabilised the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Compound 47.3 with the same structure as compounds 47.2 and 47.7 but with a propyl terminal chain was reported by Kirsch et al.[54] but this report preceded the experimental discovery of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. The smectic C phase reported for compound 47.3 may in fact be the  $N_x$  phase described earlier, since this compound was reported in 2008 prior to the reports of the  $N_F$  and  $N_X$  phases, and so if the  $N_F$ phase was present, it would be at a lower temperature than this and therefore has been destabilised compared to compound 47.2. They also reported compound 48 where the terminal cyano group was replaced by a fluorine, and there was a clear decrease in  $T_{NI}$  compared to compound 47.3 but there was no mention of a phase change which could be potentially assigned as the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Kirsch et al.<sup>[54]</sup> additionally described compounds 49 and 50 where the terminal fluorinated phenyl was replaced by a fluorinated biphenyl which is a feature more commonly seen with DIO. These materials again appeared to have the N<sub>F</sub> phase absent, however, it must again be stressed that their report predates that of the  $N_F$  phase. The structures do appear to have the characteristics that would allow for the observation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Li et al.<sup>[19]</sup> reported compound 51 in which the difluoromethyl ether linker was replaced by an ester linker and the terminal 2,6-difluorocyanophenyl replaced

by a nitrophenyl moiety and this material did not show the  $N_{\mbox{\tiny F}}$  phase.

Unlike with DIO there has not been such an extensive variety of modifications to the UUQU-4N structure reported in the literature to date. However, there are still some general observations that can be made on the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase with regards to these structures. Replacing the terminal alkyl chain by an alkyl terminated oxane ring appears to stabilise the N<sub>F</sub> phase compared to UUQU-4N, presumably due to a change in electron density associated with the fluorinated biphenyl moiety. Much like with the DIO-based compounds, extending the terminal alkyl length connected to the oxane ring reduces the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase is completely suppressed.

#### 4. Materials Based on RM734

RM734, as the introduction described was the first material to be proven to exhibit the ferroelectric nematic phase and its family contains the largest number of compounds of the three N<sub>F</sub> archetypes. RM734 has a structure characterised by a three ring system connected by ester linkers with a nitro group at one terminus and two alkyloxy groups at the other and this gives the molecule its very large average dipole moment, calculated to be 11.4 D.<sup>[6,8,21]</sup> RM734, much like DIO, showed a large polarisation value of around 6  $\mu$ C cm<sup>-2</sup>.<sup>[8]</sup> RM734, labelled compound 52, shows a phase sequence on cooling of I-188°C–N-133°C–N<sub>F</sub> and will be used as the baseline N<sub>F</sub> transition temperature that the other materials in this family will be compared to. While RM734 was not the first material in this family to show a nematic-to-nematic phase transition as strictly that was RM230 (compound 53.2),<sup>[5]</sup> RM734 has been the most extensively studied. The first set of tables will look to study small modifications to the RM734 molecular structure, and these will increase in complexity later in this review. Table 8 looks at the effect of changing the length of the terminal alkyloxy chain and Mandle et al.<sup>[6]</sup> reported that as the chain increased in length, the stability of the  $N_{\scriptscriptstyle F}$  phase diminished until it was completely lost. Increasing the terminal methoxy to an ethoxy saw T<sub>NFN</sub> decrease by around 50 °C and for propoxy the phase was not seen in the compound's neat state but only as a virtual transition temperature, such that  $T_{\mbox{\tiny NFN}}$  would have been some 125 °C lower than RM734. The destabilisation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase can again related to the model of the N<sub>F</sub> phase posed by Madhusudana since increasing the terminal chain length will increase electron density within that terminal aromatic ring which is unfavourable for the  $N_{\text{F}}\xspace$  phase.  $^{[52]}\xspace$  Table 8 also shows compounds 54 and 55 which have a diether terminal chain and these were reported by Li et al.[18] Much like the other compounds with longer terminal chain lengths, this material did not exhibit the N<sub>F</sub> phase.

There were also changes made to the extent of fluorination on the backbone of the RM734 molecule at a variety of positions, and these are summarised in Table 9. Mandle *et al.*<sup>[6]</sup> reported that introducing a fluorine *ortho* to the terminal nitro group of RM734 increased the longitudinal dipole moment to ChemPlusChem

Review doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202300726



Table 7. The molecular structure, phase sequence and average dipole moment of compounds 45–51.									
Comp	ound	Structure	Melting Point/ °C	Phase Sequence/°C	ΔT <sub>NF</sub> / °C	μ/D	Ref.		
45			96	I–20–N <sub>F</sub>	-	11.3 <sup>[a]</sup>	24		
46	2 3	F O F N	136 104	I–150–N <sub>F</sub> –121–Cr I–125–N <sub>F</sub> –69–Cr	+ 130 + 105	12.6 <sup>[b]</sup> 12.7 <sup>[b]</sup>	51 51		
47	2 3 7	$H_{2n+1}C_n$ $F$	- 74 -	I–128–N–83–N <sub>X</sub> –69– N <sub>F</sub> I–144–N–67–SmC I–128–N–33–N <sub>X</sub>	+ 49 × ×	- -	53 54 53		
48		H <sub>2n+1</sub> C <sub>n</sub> F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	83	I-83-N	×	_	54		
49			98	I–193–N	×	-	54		
50			96	I-241-N	×	-	54		





12.1 D and  $T_{NFN}$  increased by 7 °C, despite the additional lateral bulk provided by the fluorine. The increase in the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase associated with the addition of the fluorine atom may be attributed to changes in the molecular dipole moment and molecular polarizability but this can also be related to the model proposed by Madhusudana.<sup>[52]</sup> The parallel alignment of these rods is enhanced by reducing the amplitude of the charge density wave at either end of the molecule, including the nitro containing ring, and this was presumably achieved by the addition of the lateral fluorine substituent that removes electron density from the nitro group. The same modification to compound **53.2** to give compound **56.2** sees an even larger stabilisation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase increasing it by 31 °C. However, in both cases there was a decrease in  $T_{NI}$  of around 32 °C indictive

of the decrease in shape anisotropy caused by the addition of a lateral fluorine. Mandle<sup>[55]</sup> also reported that adding a second fluorine *ortho* to the terminal nitro group as seen in compound **57** stabilised the N<sub>F</sub> phase by a further 8 °C compared to compound **56.1**. Furthermore, this addition saw the conventional nematic phase destabilised, and a direct N<sub>F</sub>–I transition was observed. Brown *et al.*<sup>[21]</sup> reported that adding a fluorine instead to the middle aromatic ring, as seen in compound **58**, has a similar effect as adding it to the terminal ring, with the N<sub>F</sub> phase seeing an increase in its transition temperature of 10 °C and this was supported by Li *et al.*<sup>[19]</sup> and Saha *et al.*, who also reported a spontaneous polarisation value of 6.9 cm<sup>-2</sup> which is even higher than that found for RM734.<sup>[20]</sup>

Table 8. The	molecular structure, phase sequence and average dipole moment of	f compounds 50–53.				
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	$\Delta T_{\rm NF}/^{\circ}{\rm C}$	μ/D	Ref.
52	O O O NO2	140	l–188–N–133–N <sub>F</sub>	-	11.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	6,8
53 2	0 NO <sub>2</sub>	139	I-182-N-86-N <sub>F</sub>	-47	11.7 <sup>[a]</sup>	5,6
3		135	I–161–N	×	-	6
4		111	I-160-N	×	-	6
5	0	99	I–150–N	×	-	6
6	$H_{2n+1}C_n \sim O$	110	I-149-N	×	-	6
54		-	l–152–N–117–Cr	×	9.6 <sup>[b]</sup>	18
55	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	-	I–128–N	×	12.3 <sup>[b]</sup>	18
[a] Calculated	l using B3LYP/6-31G (d). [b] Calculated using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p).					

© 2024 The Authors. ChemPlusChem published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



Table 9. The molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole moments of compounds 56–60.									
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	$\Delta T_{\rm NF}$ / °C	μ/D	Ref.			
52	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	140	l–188–N–133–N <sub>F</sub>	-	11.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	6,8			
56 1	Ę	165	I-155-N-140-N <sub>F</sub>	+7	12.1 <sup>[a]</sup>	6			
2	$H_{2n+1}C_{n>0}$	142	I–150–N–117–N <sub>F</sub>	-16	12.5 <sup>[a]</sup>	6			
57	C C F NO <sub>2</sub>	174	I–148–N <sub>F</sub>	+ 15	-	55			
58		161	I–165–N–143–N <sub>F</sub>	+10	12.0 <sup>[a]</sup>	19–21			
59		151	I–139–N <sub>F</sub>	+6	13.1 <sup>[a]</sup>	21,55			
60	F O NO <sub>2</sub>	-	I–141–N <sub>F</sub> −121–Cr	+8	13.0 <sup>[b]</sup>	19			
[a] Calculated u	using B3LYP/6-31G (d). [b] Calculated using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p	).							

ferroelectric nematic phases and not just the single polar phase described elsewhere. Adding a second lateral fluorine to compound **58**, *ortho* to the terminal nitro group to give compound **59**, did lead to the N<sub>F</sub> phase still being stabilised compared to RM734, and exhibiting a direct N<sub>F</sub>–I transition. However, there was a slight decrease in the N<sub>F</sub> transition temperature compared to the two materials that only had a single lateral fluorine substituent. Li *et al.*<sup>[19]</sup> also described compound **60** which had a second fluorine substituent on the middle aromatic ring and much like compound **59**, showed a stabilisation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase compared to RM734 but T<sub>NFI</sub> was lower than that found for the singly substituted material, compound **58**.

The influence of increasing the lateral alkyloxy chain length in the terminal aromatic ring is described in Table 10. Pociecha *et al.*,<sup>[14]</sup> Li *et al.*<sup>[18]</sup> and Cruickshank *et al.*<sup>[37]</sup> have all reported on the effect of extending the lateral alkyloxy chain length of RM734 in series **61**. T<sub>NFN</sub> decreases with the increase of the lateral chain length, albeit less quickly than that seen for increasing the terminal alkyloxy chain length, until it reaches a limiting value when m=6, Figure 6. Weissflog and Demus<sup>[56,57]</sup> reported a similar trend for conventional low molar mass mesogens which contained a lateral alkyl chain and suggested that the trend seen was because the lateral alkyl chain adopted conformations in which it lay parallel to the molecular axis, Figure 7. The decrease in the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase can

ChemPlusChem 2024, 89, e202300726 (18 of 42)



Table	Table 10. The molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole moments of compounds 61–67.							
Comp	oound	Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	$\Delta T_{\rm NF}/^{\circ}{\rm C}$	μ/D	Ref.	
		NO <sub>2</sub>	140	I-188-N-133-N <sub>F</sub>	-	11.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	6,8	
61	2	0~~0	159	I-131-N-106-N <sub>F</sub>	-27	11.2 <sup>[a]</sup>	14,37	
	3	0 / NO <sub>2</sub>	147	I-97-N-85-N <sub>F</sub>	-48	11.2 <sup>[a]</sup>	14,18,37	
	5		132	$I = 61 = N = 54 = N_{\rm F}$	_00 _79	11.2 11.2 <sup>[a]</sup>	14 18 37	
	5		101	$1-01-10-34-10_{\rm F}$ 1-50-N-44-N	- 79	11.2 11.2 <sup>[a]</sup>	1/1 37	
	7		101	$I = 50 = N = 44 = N_F$	-89	11.2 11.2 <sup>[a]</sup>	37	
	8	0 <sup>-0</sup> m <sup>-1</sup> 2m+1	173	I_51_N_44_N_	_89	11 2 <sup>[a]</sup>	37	
	0		125	I_49_N_42_N	_01	11.2 11.2 <sup>[a]</sup>	37	
62	2	So NO2	143	I=143=N=91=N-		_	6	
02	3		143	I-143-N-78-N-	-55	_	6	
		$\bigcap_{0} \bigcap_{m} C_m H_{2m+1}$						
63	1	0 NO <sub>2</sub>	188	I–170–N <sub>F</sub>	+ 37	$\approx 14$	58	
	2		150	I–136–N <sub>F</sub>	+3	$\approx 14$	58	
	3		156	I–116–N <sub>F</sub>	-17	$\approx 14$	58	
	4		144	I–96–N <sub>F</sub>	-37	$\approx 14$	58	
	5	N O <sup>CmH2m+1</sup>	120	I-82-N <sub>F</sub>	-51	$\approx 14$	58	
	6		104	I–65–N <sub>F</sub>	-68	$\approx$ 14	58	
64	2	_	161	I–109–N <sub>F</sub>	-27	12.2 <sup>[a]</sup>	37	
	3		129	I–88–N <sub>F</sub>	-48	12.2 <sup>[a]</sup>	37	
	4		119	I–69–N <sub>F</sub>	-68	12.2 <sup>[a]</sup>	37	
	5		105	I–56–N <sub>F</sub>	-79	12.2 <sup>[a]</sup>	37	
	6		77	I–48–N <sub>F</sub>	-89	12.2 <sup>[a]</sup>	14,37	
	7	$C_m H_{2m+1}$	93	I–47–N <sub>F</sub>	-89	12.1 <sup>a</sup>	37	
	8	0 0	111	I-48-N <sub>F</sub>	-89	12.2 <sup>[a]</sup>	37	
	9		105	I-46-N <sub>F</sub>	-91	12.1 <sup>(a)</sup>	37	
65	1		230	I-363-N-141-N <sub>F</sub> <sup>(3)</sup>	+8	11.7 <sup>to</sup>	42,55	
	2	NO <sub>2</sub>	214	I-293-N-128-N <sub>F</sub>	-5	≈ I 2 <sup>(-)</sup>	42	
	3		195	1-259-N-111-N <sub>F</sub>	-22	≈ I 2 <sup></sup>	42	
	4		155	1-233-IN-93-IN <sub>F</sub>	- 38	≈ 12 <sup></sup>	42	
	5		150	$1-210-10-02-10_{\rm F}$	-51	$\approx 12^{10}$	42	
	7		150	I-200-N-70-N <sub>F</sub>	-64	$\sim$ 12 $\sim$ 12 <sup>[a]</sup>	42	
	8	$O^{-C_mH_{2m+1}}$	101	I_199_N_69_N	-04 -64	$\sim 12$ $\sim 10^{[a]}$	42 42	
	0		191	1 191 N 65 N	69	$\sim 12$ $\sim 10^{[a]}$	42	
66	9 1	F	-	1-78-Cr	-00 X	~ 1∠ 9 0 <sup>[b]</sup>	72 18	
	2	∽ F	_	I-97-Cr	×	8.9 <sup>[b]</sup>	18	
	3	, ĭ Ĺ ĺ	_	I-101-Cr	×	8.9 <sup>[b]</sup>	18	
	4	O F ℃ F	_	I-11-N	×	8.9 <sup>[b]</sup>	18	
	5		_	I–16–N	×	8.9 <sup>[b]</sup>	18	
	-	$\sim_{O} \sim_{O} \sim_{O} \sim_{O} \sim_{D} \sim_{D$				-		
L								





presumably be related to the reduction in structural anisotropy as the lateral chain length is increased and so when the chain becomes more parallel to the long molecular axis, as described by Weissflog and Demus, the destabilisation of the  $N_F$  phase is mitigated.

Mandle *et al.*<sup>[6]</sup> also reported that  $T_{NFN}$  decreased with increasing lateral chain length for series **62** which had an ethoxy terminal chain but at lower temperatures than seen for the equivalent methoxy terminated homologues. Cigl *et al.*<sup>[58]</sup> reported another series, series **63**, in which the lateral alkyloxy



Figure 6. Dependence of the transition temperatures on the number of carbon atoms in the lateral alkyloxy chain, m, for series 61, the values of  $T_{NI}$  are represented by filled circles,  $T_{NFN}$  by filled squares and the melting points are connected by the broken line with dashes.



Figure 7. A comparison of the molecular shapes for members of series 61: (a) compound 61.6 and (b) compound 61.9. In (b) the lateral chain contains gauche linkages causing it to lie more along the molecular long axis, as similarly was described by Weissflog and Demus,<sup>[56,57]</sup> and the molecular breadth becomes insensitive to chain length. If the chain continued to extend in an all-trans manner as shown in (a) then the transition temperatures should continue to fall for compounds 61.7 to 61.9.



chain length was extended but, in this case, the terminal methoxy group was replaced by a dimethylamino group. The  $N_F$  phase was stabilised by around 30 °C compared to the values seen for series 61, but on this occasion the transition seen was directly from the isotropic phase. Furthermore, these materials had molecular dipoles that were around 3 D higher than those calculated for series 61. Compound 63.1 was also reported by Mandle<sup>[55]</sup> and the transition temperatures were in good agreement with those reported by Ciql et al. In Table 10, series 64, reported by Pociecha et al.<sup>[14]</sup> and Cruickshank et al.<sup>[37]</sup> is described in which again the lateral alkyloxy chain is extended much like series 61 but here a fluorine is added ortho to the terminal nitro group much like some of the materials shown in Table 9. The temperature trend for series 64 was similar to that described for series 61 but with the N<sub>F</sub> phase stabilised in comparison. The addition of the fluorine ortho to the nitro group did see  $T_{NI}$  be destabilised in this series due to the reduction of shape anisotropy and it therefore exhibited mostly direct N<sub>F</sub>-I transitions. The members of series 64 have dipole moments 1 D larger than series 61, similar to other material with the same modification. However, the increase in the N<sub>F</sub> phase transition temperatures was attributed to the electronic distribution of the molecules and how that related to the model proposed by Madhusudana.<sup>[52]</sup> Cruickshank et al.<sup>[42]</sup> also made another modification to the terminal aromatic ring containing the nitro group by replacing it with a nitrobiphenyl moiety as shown by series 65 in Table 10. Having a four aromatic ring system saw T<sub>NI</sub> increase dramatically, by over 150°C on average compared to series 61, and presumably this increase may be attributed to the greater shape anisotropy introduced by the additional aromatic ring but also to the enhanced intermolecular interactions between the mesogenic units. The values of  $T_{\mbox{\tiny NFN}}$ were also higher than those seen for series 61, however, this increase was only 24 °C which is much smaller than the increase in stability seen for the conventional nematic phase. The longitudinal dipole moment of compound 65.1 is 11.7 D which is 0.31 D larger than RM734 and this is a small change considering the increase in the transition temperatures and again suggests that additional effects must be considered as the driving force for the formation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. The increase in T<sub>NFN</sub> may be attributed to the change in the molecular shape with the addition of the extra aromatic ring and so the structural anisotropy is enhanced. The increase may also be explained by the additional ring spreading the charge distribution of the terminal moiety such that the amplitude at the molecular terminus is reduced and so following Madhusudana's model the N<sub>F</sub> phase is stabilised.<sup>[52]</sup> Compound 65.1 was also reported by Mandle,<sup>[55]</sup> who observed a value of T<sub>NFN</sub> around 20 °C higher than that reported by Cruickshank et al.[42] Series 66 and 67 in which the terminal nitrophenyl moiety was replaced by a 3,4,5- trifluorophenyl moiety and a cyanophenyl moiety, respectively, were reported by Li et al.,[18] but none of the members of either series exhibited the N<sub>F</sub> phase regardless of the length of the lateral alkyloxy chain. Compound 67.1 had been previously reported by Mandle et al.<sup>[6]</sup> and the transition temperatures were in good agreement. It was suggested by Mandle et al.<sup>[23]</sup> that although compound 67.1 and RM734 have similar overall longitudinal molecular dipoles, compound **67.1** is unable to pack as efferently into the parallel orientation required for the  $N_F$  phase and presumably can instead form anti-parallel correlations.

The influence of changing the substituents on the terminal alkyloxy ring on the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase is summarised in Table 11. Mandle et al.<sup>[6,47]</sup> reported compounds 68.2, 69.2 and 70 in which the lateral alkyloxy chain of compound 53.2 was replaced by a hydrogen, hydroxy and methyl group, respectively and these materials all saw the  $N_{\mbox{\tiny F}}$  phase be completely destabilised and only a virtual transition temperature was reported. Compound 68.2 highlights the importance of having some degree of lateral bulk on the formation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase,  $T_{NI}$  was around 80 °C higher than RM734 due to the enhancement in shape anisotropy but the N<sub>F</sub> phase was not observed. Li et al.[18] also reported that compound 68.2 did not exhibit the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Unsurprisingly increasing the terminal chain length in both series 67 and 69, as reported by Mandle et al,<sup>[47]</sup> did not allow for the N<sub>F</sub> phase to be observed. Li et al.<sup>[18]</sup> replaced the lateral alkyloxy chain with a diether chain and both compounds 71 and 72 did not exhibit the  $N_F$  phase despite having a sufficiently large molecular dipole. When a racemic 2-methylbutoxy group was added laterally to replace the lateral methoxy group, to give compound 73 the N<sub>F</sub> phase was destabilised by almost 80°C, as was reported by Szydlowska *et al.*[59]

As Table 11 shows, a chiral 2-methylbutoxy group can be added to the RM734 template, as described by Pociecha et al.[14] for compound 74. The transition temperatures of compounds 73 and 74 were the same but the conventional nematic phase was replaced by a chiral nematic phase and the ferroelectric nematic phase by its chiral counterpart. The chiral ferroelectric nematic phase, also termed the helielectric nematic phase, possessed a helix with the opposite twist sense than the preceding chiral nematic phase and maintained a pitch which was a few microns in length. Zhao et al.,<sup>[60]</sup> also reported the same material but did not observe the NF\* phase in a pure sample but did in mixtures and they called the phase the helielectric nematic phase. Zhao et al.[60] also reported compounds 75, 76 and 77, again which had chiral 2-methylbutoxy groups added to the molecule, but these also did not exhibit the N<sub>F</sub>\* phase in any of the pure compounds. Adding a fluorine ortho to the terminal nitro group of compound 73 to give compound 78, saw the chiral nematic phase extinguished and a direct N<sub>F</sub>\*-I transition observed instead. The N<sub>F</sub> phase was at an identical temperature to compound 73, however,  $T_{\text{NFI}}$  was still much lower than T<sub>NFN</sub> of RM734.

The influence that changing the substituents has on the middle aromatic ring on the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase is described in Table 12. Tufaha *et al.*<sup>[33]</sup> described the effect of having the lateral alkyloxy chain on the middle ring instead of the terminal ring with the methoxy group in series **79**. The temperature trend observed was similar to that found for series **61** with the value of  $T_{NFN}$  decreasing as the length of the lateral alkyloxy chain increased. Indeed, compound **79.1** is an isomer of RM734 but with the lateral methoxy being in the middle ring rather than the terminal aromatic ring and they



Table '	Table 11. The molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole moments of compounds 68–78.									
Compo	ound	Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	$\Delta T_{\rm NF}/^{\circ}{\rm C}$	μ/D	Ref.			
52		O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	140	I-188-N-133-N <sub>F</sub>	_	11.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	6,8			
68	1	○ 0	204	I_267_N	~	10 3 <sup>[a]</sup>	47			
00	י ר		155	1-207-N	X	10.5 ~ 10 <sup>[a]</sup>	41 6 47			
	2		135	I-270-N	~	$\approx 10^{13}$	47			
	2	$H_{2n+1}C_n \sim O$	140	I=27J=N	^	$\sim 10$	47			
69	1	NO <sub>2</sub>	210	I-240-N <sup>[a]</sup>	×	11.7 <sup>[a]</sup>	47			
	2	, i l	165	I-240-N <sup>[a]</sup>	×	pprox 12 <sup>[a]</sup>	6,47			
	3	$H_{2n+1}C_n \sim O$ OH	137	I-240-N <sup>[a]</sup>	×	$\approx 12^{[a]}$	47			
70			139	I-186-N	×	-	6			
71			-	I–152–N–117–Cr	×	12.2 <sup>[b]</sup>	18			
72		O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	-	I–128–N	×	11.6 <sup>(b)</sup>	18			
73		NO <sub>2</sub>	141	I-56-N-55-N <sub>F</sub>	-78	11.1 <sup>(b)</sup>	59			
74		NO <sub>2</sub>	140	I-56-N*-55-N <sub>F</sub> *	-78	11.1 <sup>(a)</sup>	14			
75			138	I–42–N*	×	-	60			





show similar transition temperatures. Series 79 had average dipole moments that were around 0.5 D larger than that of series 61, the angle between the molecular axis and the molecular dipole was larger and on average showed higher values of  $T_{\text{NFN}}$ . Changing the position of the alkyloxy chain also changed the electronic distribution within the molecule such that the alkyloxy chain was no longer donating electrons to the terminal aromatic ring. This meant the amplitude of the charge density wave at the molecular terminus was reduced and this was favourable for the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase in accord with the predictions of the model proposed by Madhusudana.<sup>[52]</sup> Li et al.[18] also reported 79.1-79.3, with the transition temperatures for 79.1 being in good agreement, however there was a variance in the values of the other two compounds, with  $T_{NFN}$  of **79.2**=97 °C and **79.3**=105 °C. Tufaha *et al.*<sup>[33]</sup> also reported series 80 in which a fluorine was added ortho to the terminal nitro group and, much like was described earlier, in all members of the series the N<sub>F</sub> phase was stabilised compared to series 79 and, except compound 82.1, exhibited direct N<sub>F</sub>-I transitions. The relative stabilisation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase in this series can be justified much like series 65 using Madhusudana's model.<sup>[52]</sup> Li et al., reported series 81 and 82 in which the terminal nitro group was replaced by cyano and CF<sub>3</sub> groups, respectively. None of the members of either series exhibited the N<sub>F</sub> phase.

The effect of other simple changes to the RM734 structure on the stability of the  $N_F$  phase is described in Table 13. Mandle<sup>[55]</sup> used a neural network in order to generate structures that might exhibit the  $N_F$  phase, suggesting that this method particularly favoured highly polarisable materials such as compounds **83** and **84**. Interestingly, while compound **83** 

showed an enhancement in  $T_{\mbox{\tiny NFNX}}$  compared to RM734, compound 84 saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase completely destabilised. Mandle et al.<sup>[6]</sup> had also previously reported other materials with large longitudinal dipole moments that did not appear to exhibit the N<sub>F</sub> phase as shown by compounds 85-87. Compound 86 not appearing to show the N<sub>F</sub> phase was somewhat surprising considering a number of derivatives of this compound that will be described later do have the phase present, however, this may suggest that additional modifications are required to allow the molecules to pack effectively in a parallel manner. These observations also further highlighted that purely a large longitudinal dipole was not sufficient to observe the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Mandle et al.<sup>[6]</sup> also reported compound 88 in which the terminal chain of compound 67.1 was extended from a methoxy to an ethoxy and again the N<sub>F</sub> phase was not observed. Likewise, the  $N_{\mbox{\tiny F}}$  phase was extinguished when the direction of the ester linker attached to the terminal nitrophenyl moiety was reversed to give compound 89. Mandle et al.<sup>[6]</sup> also reported that moving the lateral methoxy group of compound 53.2 from ortho to the ester to the meta position to give compound 90 was a further way to extinguish the N<sub>F</sub> phase. This is presumably because the nitro group in this position will disrupt the ability of the molecules to pack together favourably to form the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Mandle et al.<sup>[47]</sup> later described the effect of moving the position of the terminal nitro group from the para position to the meta position of the aromatic ring and found this lowered the dipole moment by 2 D as well as extinguishing the N<sub>F</sub> phase. They also described the effect of moving the lateral hydroxy group of series 69 from the terminal aromatic ring with the alkyloxy chain to the middle aromatic



Table 12	Fable 12. The molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole moments of compounds 79–82.									
Compou	Ind	Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	$\Delta T_{\rm NF}/^{\circ}{\rm C}$	μ/D	Ref.			
52		O O NO <sub>2</sub>	140	I–188–N–133–N <sub>F</sub>	-	11.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	6,8			
79	1		192	I-189-N-126-N <sub>F</sub>	-7	11.6 <sup>[a]</sup>	18,33			
	2	0 NO <sub>2</sub>	164	I-137-N-104-N <sub>F</sub>	-29	11.6 <sup>[a]</sup>	33			
	3		130	I–105–N–93–N <sub>F</sub>	-40	11.6 <sup>[a]</sup>	33			
	4		120	I-82-N-76-N <sub>F</sub>	-57	11.6 <sup>[a]</sup>	33			
	5		102	I-66-N-61-N <sub>F</sub>	-61	11.7 <sup>[a]</sup>	33			
	6	0	94	I–57–N–53–N <sub>F</sub>	-80	11.7 <sup>[a]</sup>	33			
	7		72	I-54-N-51-N <sub>F</sub>	-82	11.7 <sup>[a]</sup>	33			
80	1	F	180	I-156-N-141-N <sub>F</sub>	+9	12.6 <sup>[a]</sup>	33			
	2	NO <sub>2</sub>	179	I-118-N <sub>F</sub>	-15	12.6 <sup>[a]</sup>	33			
	3		135	I-92-N <sub>F</sub>	-41	12.7 <sup>[a]</sup>	33			
	4		124	I–79–N <sub>F</sub>	-54	12.8 <sup>[a]</sup>	33			
	5	0 <sup>-C</sup> mH <sub>2m+1</sub>	104	I-68-N <sub>F</sub>	-65	12.8 <sup>[a]</sup>	33			
	6		80	I–58–N <sub>F</sub>	-75	12.8 <sup>[a]</sup>	33			
	7		73	I–55–N <sub>F</sub>	-78	12.9 <sup>[a]</sup>	33			
81	1	N	-	I-186-N-140-Cr	×	10.5 <sup>[b]</sup>	18			
	2		-	I-134-N-92-Cr	×	10.3 <sup>[b]</sup>	18			
	3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-	I-94-N	×	10.3 <sup>[b]</sup>	18			
82	1	O CF <sub>3</sub>	-	I–105–Cr	×	8.0 <sup>[b]</sup>	18			
	2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-	I–97–Cr	×	7.5 <sup>(b)</sup>	18			
[a] Calcu	lated usi	ng B3LYP/6-31G (d). [b] Calculated using B3LYP/6-311+	G(d,p).							

ring, series 92, or the nitro containing terminal ring, series 93, with none of the members of either series exhibiting the  $N_F$ phase. Cruickshank et al. reported the evolution of phase behaviour from the  $N_F$  phase to  $N_X$  phase in RM734-type compounds for series 94 and 95.<sup>[50]</sup> In both series as the terminal chain was increased in length the N<sub>F</sub> phase was destabilised to a greater extent than the N and  $N_{\chi}$  phases and at longer chain lengths the N<sub>F</sub> phase was totally extinguished. Interestingly, series 95 with the alkyl terminal chain sees the N<sub>F</sub> and N<sub>x</sub> phases destabilised less than the equivalent members of series 94 which have an alkyloxy terminal chain. This was explained by the alkyloxy chain increasing the electron density at that terminal of the molecule and thus increasing the amplitude of the surface charge density wave which Madhusudana reported was unfavourable for the formation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase.<sup>[52]</sup> Cruickshank et al. also used series 95 to confirm the antiferroelectric structure of the  $N_{\chi}$  phase which had been

reported that replacing either the terminal or lateral chain by a diether chain did not see the  $N_{\text{\tiny F}}$  phase be observed, as was described previously. Replacing both chains with diether chains as seen in compound 96 did see the N<sub>F</sub> phase be exhibited, however, the phase was destabilised by 56°C compared to RM734. They also reported in the same work that moving the lateral methoxy group in RM734 to ortho to the terminal nitro group as seen in compound 97.1 saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase no longer be observed. Li et al.<sup>[19]</sup> later reported that increasing the length of this lateral chain did not see the N<sub>F</sub> phase stabilised. This demonstrates that while it is important to have some degree of lateral bulk in these materials, the position of these groups is also key for the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. In compound 98, the lateral methoxy group is removed much like compound 68.1 but in this case the ester linker joining the nitrophenyl ring to the central aromatic ring is replaced by a methyl substituted

similarly described previously by Chen et al.<sup>[49]</sup> Li et al.<sup>[18]</sup>



Table 13. The molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole moments of compounds 83–104.									
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	$\Delta T_{\rm NF}/^{\circ}{\rm C}$	μ/D	Ref.			
52		140	I–188–N–133–N <sub>F</sub>	-	11.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	6,8			
83		165	I–193–N–160–N <sub>X</sub> –154–N <sub>F</sub>	+21	-	55			
84	O O O NCS	153	I–163–N	×	-	55			
85	P N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	173	I–170–N	×	12.0 <sup>[a]</sup>	6			
86		197	I–153–N	×	12.8 <sup>[a]</sup>	6			
87	SF5	163	I-93-N	×	10.5 <sup>[a]</sup>	6			
88		117	I-204-N	×	11.5 <sup>[a]</sup>	6			
89		181	I–190–N	×	8.3 <sup>[a]</sup>	6			



Table 13. continued								
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	$\Delta T_{\rm NF}$ / °C	μ/D	Ref.		
90	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	172	I-182-N	×	-	6		
91		160	Cr-160-I	×	9.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	47		
92 1	0 NO <sub>2</sub>	205	I–183–N	×	11.9 <sup>[a]</sup>	47		
2		186	I-189-N	×	pprox 12 <sup>[a]</sup>	47		
3	H <sub>2n+1</sub> C <sub>n O</sub> OH	166	I–190–N	×	$\approx 12^{[a]}$	47		
93 1	O NO <sub>2</sub>	163	I–155–N	×	11.6 <sup>[a]</sup>	47		
2		150	I–180–N	×	$\approx\!12^{\scriptscriptstyle [a]}$	47		
3	$H_{2n+1}C_{n} O O O OH$	137	I–197–N	×	$pprox 12^{[a]}$	47		
94 1	NO <sub>2</sub>	179	I–157–N–142–N <sub>F</sub>	+9	pprox 13 <sup>[a]</sup>	50		
2		164	I–153–N–118–N <sub>x</sub> –104–N <sub>F</sub>	-29	pprox 13 <sup>[a]</sup>	50		
3	O V V F	145	I–133–N–79–N <sub>x</sub>	×	pprox 13 <sup>[a]</sup>	50		
4	0~0	128	I-130-N-38-N <sub>x</sub>	×	pprox 13 <sup>[a]</sup>	50		
5	$H_{2n+1}C_n \sim O$	92	I–118–N	×	pprox 13 <sup>[a]</sup>	50		
95 1		169	I–156–N <sub>F</sub>	+23	pprox 12 <sup>[a]</sup>	50		
2		146	I-132-N <sub>F</sub>	-1	$pprox 12^{[a]}$	50		
3	Q F	150	I-123-N-118-N <sub>x</sub> -116-N <sub>F</sub>	-17	$\approx\!12^{\scriptscriptstyle [a]}$	50		
4		120	I–105–N–92–N <sub>x</sub> –79–N <sub>F</sub>	-54	$\approx\!12^{\scriptscriptstyle [a]}$	50		
5	Heur	114	I-109-N-85-N <sub>x</sub>	×	$\approx\!12^{\scriptscriptstyle [a]}$	50		
6	112n+10n	104	I–97–N–69–N <sub>x</sub>	×	$\approx\!12^{\scriptscriptstyle [a]}$	50		
96		-	I–119–N–76–N <sub>F</sub>	-57	10.9 <sup>[b]</sup>	18		
97 1	~ NO-	_	l_162_Cr	×	9 3 <sup>[b]</sup>	18		
27 1		_	I-102-Ci	Ŷ	9.5 10 6 <sup>[b]</sup>	19		
3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-	I–112–Cr	×	10.7 <sup>[b]</sup>	19		



Table 13. continued									
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	$\Delta T_{\rm NF}/^{\circ}{\rm C}$	μ/D	Ref.			
98	O NO2	-	l–106–Cr	×	4.5 <sup>[b]</sup>	18			
99		-	I–99–N <sub>F</sub>	-34	12.2 <sup>[b]</sup>	19			
100		-	I–21–Cr	×	10.8 <sup>(b)</sup>	19			
101		-	l–120–Cr	×	12.3 <sup>(b)</sup>	19			
102		-	I–55–Cr	×	12.6 <sup>[b]</sup>	19			
103	NO <sub>2</sub>	-	I–108–N <sub>F</sub>	-25	11.3 <sup>(b)</sup>	19			
104		150	Cr–150–l	×	10.7 <sup>[b]</sup>	19			
[a] Calculated	using B3LYP/6-31G (d). [b] Calculated using B3LYP/6-311 + G(d)	,p).							

amide group. The molecular dipole calculated for compound **98** is almost 6 D smaller than compound **68.1**, there is presumably due to the conformation preference of the tertiary amide functionality,<sup>[61]</sup> and similarly does not show the N<sub>F</sub> phase. The addition of a lateral methoxy group to the central aromatic ring of RM734 to give compound **99** as reported by Li *et al.*<sup>[19]</sup> saw the average molecular dipole increase by around 1 D and a direct N<sub>F</sub>–I transition seen 34 °C below the value of T<sub>NFN</sub> of RM734. The observation of a direct N<sub>F</sub>–I transition can be

attributed to the significant destabilisation of the convention nematic phase upon the addition of a second lateral methoxy group due to the decrease in structural anisotropy. The decrease seen in terms of the stability of the ferroelectric nematic phase is much smaller than that seen for  $T_{\rm NI}$  and suggests that the conventional nematic phase is more sensitive to shape effects than the N<sub>F</sub> phase. They also reported compounds **100** and **101** in which one of the lateral methoxy groups was instead substituted onto the terminal aromatic ring

ChemPlusChem 2024, 89, e202300726 (27 of 42)



ortho to the nitro group and in both these compounds the  $N_F$ phase was lost much like was seen for compound 97.1. Li et al.<sup>[19]</sup> also reported that having a lateral methoxy group on all three of the aromatic rings was not conducive for the observation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase as seen for compound 102. Replacing the methoxy group attached to the middle ring of compound 99 by a methyl group to give compound 103, the direct transition between the N<sub>F</sub> phase and isotropic phase was maintained. The value of  $T_{NFI}$  for compound 103 was higher than compound 99 but was less than RM734, and this presumably was related to both the volume of the lateral substituents as well as their electronic properties. Li et al.<sup>[19]</sup> also reported that then adding a second lateral methyl group as seen in compound 104 has a destabilising effect on the  $N_F$ phase such that it was no longer observed. Since compound 60 showed the N<sub>F</sub> phase despite two lateral groups substituted into the middle ring, there is therefore a suggestion that electron withdrawing groups are more favourable substituents in this ring for the stabilisation of the  $N_F$  phase than electron donating groups.

In Table 14 more complex changes are made to the RM734 structure while maintaining the terminal nitro group. Li et al.[18] reported that exchanging the ester linker joining the middle and terminal nitrophenyl aromatic rings with an azo moiety, regardless of the lateral substituents involved, saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase extinguished. Li et al.<sup>[19]</sup> later reported a wide range of materials which often possessed additional lateral fluorination compared to RM734 as well as other modifications. Compound 108 had a structure much like compound 99 but with the lateral methoxy group in the terminal ring replaced by a fluorine substituent. The N<sub>F</sub> phase is stabilised compared to RM734, despite the decrease in shape anisotropy associated with the fluorine. This was presumably because the fluorine is electron withdrawing and so it decreases the magnitude of the charge density wave associated with the molecule, in the terminal aromatic ring with the methoxy group, and thus stabilises the N<sub>F</sub> phase according to Madhusudana's model. Li et al.<sup>[19]</sup> also reported that compound 109, which had a second fluorine atom ortho to the ester group showed a stabilisation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. The additional fluorine destabilised the nematic phase as has been described earlier and so the material exhibited a direct N<sub>F</sub>-I transition which was  $2\,^\circ C$  higher than the value of  $T_{\scriptscriptstyle NFN}$  seen for compound 108. They also described compound 110 in which the methoxy group in the middle ring was replaced by a CF<sub>3</sub> group. There was a large decrease in the N<sub>F</sub> transition temperature both compared to RM734 being 41 °C lower. Interestingly, while compound 109 exhibited a direct N<sub>F</sub>-I transition the addition of the CF<sub>3</sub> group saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase destabilised compared to the conventional nematic phase which had remerged. This suggests that the CF<sub>3</sub> group decreases the shape anisotropy of the molecules and, in this position, is relatively unfavourable in terms of allowing the molecules to pack together in a parallel manner and hence the N<sub>F</sub> phase is more strongly destabilised than the nematic phase. Taking compound **110** and removing one of the fluorines ortho to the ester group as seen in compound 111 saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase completely destabilised despite having a similar dipole moment to compound 110. Li et al.<sup>[19]</sup> also reported compound 112 which had a structure similar to compound 111 but with the terminal methoxy group replaced by a methyl group and the lateral CF<sub>3</sub> group in the middle ring replaced by a fluorine. This material showed a dramatic stabilisation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase having a value of  $T_{NFI}$  some 96 °C higher than that of RM734 and almost matches the very high N<sub>F</sub> transition temperature observed for compound 25.1. In compound 112, the length of the terminal chain was reduced by removing the oxygen linking group and by having a single fluorine in both the terminal ring containing the methyl group and the middle ring. The increase in the stability of the NF phase suggests that the ability of the molecules to pack together in a parallel orientation has been greatly enhanced. Compound 113 sees the lateral methoxy group in the terminal ring of compound 60 replaced by a CF<sub>3</sub> group while the high degree of fluorination in the middle ring was maintained. This compound also essentially has an exchange of the lateral groups between the methoxy terminal ring and middle aromatic ring. Again, much like was observed for compound 110, the value of  $T_{\mbox{\tiny NFN}}$  sees a large decrease compared to RM734 with the inclusion of a lateral CF<sub>3</sub> group. Li et al.[19] described compound 114 which is a member of the RM734 family with no terminal chain. This compound did have three lateral fluorine substituents and interestingly showed a direct  $N_F$ -I transition which had been stabilised by 48 °C compared to the value of  $T_{NEN}$  for RM734. This suggests that a terminal chain is not a fundamental requirement to observe the  $N_{\text{F}}$  phase unlike what was found for the compounds based on DIO. Again, as has been highlighted previously compound 114 has a smaller average dipole moment than RM734 by some 0.9 D and so evidently there is not a direct link between the magnitude of the dipole moment and temperature at which the N<sub>F</sub> phase is observed. Adding lateral bulk to the molecule as seen in compound 115 leads to a destabilisation of the  $N_F$ phase, this is despite one of the lateral groups being very polar in the form of the NO<sub>2</sub> group. As was described earlier these observations suggest that increasing the degree of fluorination for the aromatic rings is favourable for the formation of the  $N_F$ phase while bulky lateral groups destabilise the phase.

In Table 15, a set of materials are described in which the ester connected to the terminal nitro ring is removed to give a biphenyl moiety. As both Cruickshank *et al.*<sup>[42]</sup> and Mandle *et al.*<sup>[6,47]</sup> have described, removing this ester linking group sees the complete loss of N<sub>F</sub> phase behaviour regardless of any other structural modifications made to the compounds. This included compound **128** in which two RM734 style molecules were joined together as a dimer by an alkyl spacer. Evidently the esters act to separate the regions of electron density within the molecule and so are important for allowing them to pack in a parallel orientation and thus the removal of the group sees the N<sub>F</sub> phase completely destabilised.

The effect of multiple more complex changes to the RM734 structure, including the nature of the terminal polar group, on the stability of the  $N_F$  phase are summarised in Table 16. Li *et al.*<sup>[19]</sup> reported a wide array of materials which had the terminal nitrophenyl moiety replaced by a difluorocyanophenyl moiety and which are directly comparable to the nitrophenyl

ChemPlusChem 2024, 89, e202300726 (28 of 42)



Table 14. The molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole moments of compounds 105–115.								
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	$\Delta T_{\rm NF}/^{\circ}{\rm C}$	μ/D	Ref.		
52	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	140	I–188–N–133–N <sub>F</sub>	-	11.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	6,8		
105 1 3	$NO_2$	-	I–209–N–156–Cr I–108–Cr	× ×	11.3 <sup>(b)</sup> 10.7 <sup>(b)</sup>	18 18		
106	$NO_2$	-	I–117–Cr	×	11.6 <sup>[b]</sup>	18		
107		-	I–54–N	×	11.6 <sup>[b]</sup>	18		
108		-	I–178–N–158–N <sub>F</sub> –150–Cr	+25	12.8 <sup>[b]</sup>	19		
109		-	I–160–N <sub>F</sub> –112–Ce	+27	12.6 <sup>[b]</sup>	19		
110	F O CF <sub>3</sub>	-	I–115–N–92–N <sub>F</sub> –75–Cr	-41	12.4 <sup>[b]</sup>	19		
111	O CF <sub>3</sub>	-	I–129–N–63–Cr	×	12.5 <sup>[b]</sup>	19		
112	P F NO <sub>2</sub> F	-	I–229–N <sub>≠</sub> –137–Cr	+96	11.5 <sup>(b)</sup>	19		





homologues. As was demonstrated by the DIO and UUQU-4N compounds, the difluorocyanophenyl moiety is one which is favourable for observing the  $N_F$  phase. Compound 129 can be compared to compound 60 in terms of changing the terminal functionality of the molecule and the value of T<sub>NFI</sub> increased by 21 °C with the inclusion of the difluorocyanophenyl moiety. The effect of the addition of this terminal group on the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase is not independent of the other functionality within the molecule as shown by compounds 130 and 131, but the replacement of the nitrophenyl moiety does generate a large increase in the average dipole moment. Compound 130 is equivalent to compound 99 and when they are compared it is found the N<sub>F</sub> phase is stabilised in compound 130. However, when compound 131 is compared with compound 104, instead the N<sub>F</sub> phase is found to be destabilised slightly in compound 131. Both compounds 130 and 131 are destabilised compared to RM734 due to the addition of the lateral methoxy and methyl groups, respectively, to the middle aromatic ring which decreases the shape anisotropy of the molecules. The addition of a second methyl group in the middle aromatic ring as seen for compound 132 sees the N<sub>F</sub> phase completely lost much like was seen for compound 104 and presumably for a similar reason. Compounds 133, 134 and 135 all have an increase in  $T_{NFI}$  compared to RM734 and the  $N_F$  phase was stabilised to a greater degree as more fluorines were substituted into the molecules. Interestingly, compounds 79.1 and 108 which are analogous to compounds 133 and 134, respectively, have the conventional nematic phase preceding the N<sub>F</sub> phase and this suggests that the additional lateral fluorines ortho to the terminal cyano group destabilise the N phase more than the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Li *et al.*<sup>[19]</sup> also reported that for compound 136 replacing the methoxy group joined to the

presumably due to the combination of the more electron withdrawing nature of the fluorine allowing the molecules to pack together in a parallel orientation as well as the fluorine substituent being less sterically bulky than a methoxy group and so the shape anisotropy is increased. Furthermore, for compound 137 with a hydrogen as a terminal group, the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase was increased even further with a transition almost 100°C higher than that seen for RM734. The value of  $T_{NFI}$  for compound 137 is the same as that reported for compound 112, highlighting that shortening the terminal chain and adding lateral fluorines to the molecular backbone is particularly favourable for the formation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase when considering these molecular structures. Compounds 138, 139 and 140 as a sequence show the effect of the fluorines ortho to the terminal cyano group on the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Compound 138 is the equivalent of compound 58 but the transition temperature of the  $N_{\text{F}}$  phase is some  $8\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  higher with the replacement of the terminal nitrophenyl by a difluorocyanophenyl. Both compounds do, however, show higher values for the N<sub>F</sub> transition than RM734 due to the additional fluorine in the middle aromatic ring removing electron density from it. Removing one of the fluorines ortho to the terminal cyano group in compound 139 sees the conventional nematic phase emerge with the removal of some lateral bulk and, in addition, the N<sub>F</sub> phase was destabilised by 8°C compared to compound 138. In compound 140 the ortho fluorines next to the terminal cyano group were replaced by methyl groups which dramatically lowered the value of  $T_{NFI}$  presumably due to the decrease in shape anisotropy associated with the larger size of the methyl group compared to a fluorine and because these groups donate electrons into the aromatic ring which would

middle aromatic ring with a fluorine sees the  $N_F$  stabilised

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

Table 15. The molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole moments of compounds 116–128.							
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	$\Delta T_{\rm NF}/^{\circ}{\rm C}$	μ/D	Ref.	
52	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	140	I–188–N–133–N <sub>F</sub>	-	11.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	6,8	
116	O' = O' O' =	187 146	I–161–N I–131–N	× ×	$9.74^{[a]}$ $pprox 9.8^{[a]}$	42 42	
117	O O O $C_mH_{2m+1}$	152 151 138	I-166-N I-109-N I-79-N	× × ×	$\begin{array}{l} 10.0^{[a]} \\ \approx 10^{[a]} \\ \approx 10^{[a]} \end{array}$	6,47 47 47	
118 2		163 144	I–276–N I–218–N	× ×	-	47 47	
119	H <sub>2n+1</sub> C <sub>n</sub> O NO <sub>2</sub>	175	I–215–N	×	-	47	
120		158	I-96-N	×	-	47	
121	ОСОСОН	163	I-94-N*	×	-	47	
122		167	I–90–N*	×	_	47	
123		97	I-42-N*	×	-	47	

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

Table 15. continued								
Compound Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	$\Delta T_{\rm NF}/^{\circ}{\rm C}$	μ/D	Ref.			
	161	Cr-161-I	×	-	47			
	163	I–216–N*–197–SmA	×	_	47			
	187	Cr-187-I	×	-	47			
	161	I-146-N	×	-	47			
	207	Cr-207-I	×	-	47			
[a] Calculated using B3LYP/6-31G (d).								

increase the amplitude of the charge density wave at the end of the molecule which would be unfavourable for the N<sub>F</sub> phase according to the modelling by Madhusudana.<sup>[52]</sup> Compound **141** replaces the lateral methoxy group in the terminal ring of compound **138** with the much bulkier CF<sub>3</sub> group and there is duly a decrease in the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. While the group can be accommodated laterally by the ferroelectric nematic molecules the bulk associated with it does appear to reduce the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase compared to, for example, a lateral fluorine and this agrees with the observations made for the DIO–based compounds. Swapping the position of the CF<sub>3</sub> group to the middle ring as seen for compound **142**, sees the N<sub>F</sub> phase destabilised by 10°C compared to compound **141**, suggesting that electronic factors are the driving force behind this destabilisation rather than shape effects. Series **143** and **144** also contain lateral CF<sub>3</sub> groups but in different positions along the molecule much like was described for compounds **141** and **142**. Much like was described earlier, as the terminal alkyloxy chain length was increased in both series the N<sub>F</sub> phase was destabilised until in the case of **143.3** the phase was totally extinguished. Adding a second lateral CF<sub>3</sub> group to the molecular backbone in compound **145** sees a complete destabilisation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase and it can be suggested that this is due to the bulk of these groups not being mitigated by the rest of the molecule. Li *et al.*<sup>[19]</sup> resolved the aforementioned issue in compound **146** by increasing the number of aromatic Review doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202300726

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

Table 16. The	e molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole moments o	of compounds 129–1	51.			
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/ °C	Phase Sequence/ °C	ΔT <sub>NF</sub> / °C	μ/D	Ref.
52	NO <sub>2</sub>	140	I–188–N–133–N <sub>F</sub>	-	11.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	6,8
129		-	I–162–N <sub>F</sub>	+ 29	14.6 <sup>[b]</sup>	19
130		-	I–107–N <sub>F</sub>	-26	15.0 <sup>[b]</sup>	19
131		-	I–107–N <sub>F</sub> –82–Cr	-26	13.0 <sup>[b]</sup>	19
132		-	I-84-Cr	×	12.4 <sup>[b]</sup>	19
133		-	I–146–N <sub>⊭</sub> –99–Cr	+13	13.8 <sup>(b)</sup>	19
134		-	I–159–N <sub>F</sub> –126–Cr	+26	14.4 <sup>(b)</sup>	19
135		-	I–161–N <sub>F</sub> –145–Cr	+28	14.5 <sup>(b)</sup>	19

ChemPlusChem 2024, 89, e202300726 (33 of 42)

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

Table 16. cor	ntinued					
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/ °C	Phase Sequence/ °C	$\Delta T_{\rm NF}/$ °C	μ/D	Ref.
136		-	I–200–N <sub>F</sub> –153–Cr	+67	14.0 <sup>(b)</sup>	19
137		-	I–229–N <sub>F</sub> –145–Cr	+96	12.0 <sup>(b)</sup>	19
138		-	I–151–N <sub>F</sub> −131–Cr	+18	14.4 <sup>(b)</sup>	19
139		-	I–166–N–143–N <sub>F</sub>	+10	13.6 <sup>(b)</sup>	19
140		-	I–76–N <sub>F</sub>	-57	11.4 <sup>(b)</sup>	19
141	CF <sub>3</sub>	-	I–111–N <sub>F</sub>	-22	14.4 <sup>(b)</sup>	19
142	CF <sub>3</sub>	-	I–101–N <sub>F</sub>	-32	14.0 <sup>(b)</sup>	19

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

Table	Table 16. continued								
Comp	ound	Structure	Melting Point/ °C	Phase Sequence/ °C	$\Delta T_{NF}/C$	μ/D	Ref.		
143	1 2 3	Hant Cas	-	I–106–N <sub>F</sub> I–82–N–66–N <sub>F</sub> I–57–N	-27 -67 ×	14.6 <sup>[b]</sup> 15.1 <sup>[b]</sup> 15.3 <sup>[b]</sup>	19 19 19		
144	1 2	$F \cap CF_3$ $H_{2n+1}C_n \cap CF_3$	-	I–120–N <sub>F</sub> I–84–N <sub>F</sub> –51–Cr	-13 -49	14.0 <sup>(b)</sup> 14.4 <sup>(b)</sup>	19 19		
145		CF <sub>3</sub>	-	l–105–Cr	×	13.7 <sup>(b)</sup>	19		
146		$F$ $O$ $CF_3$ $CF_3$	-	I–186–N–156–N <sub>F</sub>	+23	17.1 <sup>(b)</sup>	19		
147		C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	-	l–160–Cr	×	12.5 <sup>[b]</sup>	19		
148		CF <sub>3</sub>	-	l-91-Cr	×	10.4 <sup>[b]</sup>	19		
149			-	I–114–Cr	×	10.6 <sup>[b]</sup>	19		

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

rings to four while maintaining the two lateral CF<sub>3</sub> groups, and in this case the N<sub>F</sub> phase was indeed observed despite the number of lateral substituents. This observation highlights that, the careful design of these ferroelectric nematogens can allow for their properties to be tailored depending on the different functionalities present.

Li et al.<sup>[19]</sup> also reported on other terminal functionality than just the difluorocyanophenyl moiety including the transitional behaviour of compound 147. In this case the terminal functionality of compound 59 was swapped so that the nitro group was now meta to the ester in the terminal ring and the fluorine was para to the ester. These modifications, while keeping a larger longitudinal dipole moment of 12.5 D, did see the N<sub>F</sub> phase extinguished unlike compound 59 presumably due to the enhanced lateral bulk with the *meta* position of the nitro group. They also described compounds 148, 149 and 150 in which the terminal group was replaced and in all three of these materials the N<sub>F</sub> phase was not observed, in fact they were completely non-mesogenic. Li et al.[18] had also previously shown that removing the polar terminal group from compound 61.3, as seen with compound 151, saw a large decrease in the molecular dipole and unsurprisingly the N<sub>F</sub> phase was not observed.

Summarising what effect different modifications have on the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase for the materials based on RM734 a number of observations can be made. Increasing the chain length of both lateral and terminal chains causes the N<sub>F</sub> phase to be destabilised, however, this is to a greater extent for the terminal chain and this can be rationalised using the model proposed for the N<sub>F</sub> phase by Madhusudana.<sup>[52]</sup> Critically having no terminal chain or functionality saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase maintained whereas when the lateral groups were removed or replaced by OH groups, for example, the N<sub>F</sub> phase was extinguished. Adding a chiral group laterally in the RM734 template gave rise to the chiral N<sub>F</sub> phase. But adding additional lateral groups such as seen with compounds **99** and **104** saw the conventional nematic phase destabilised such that direct N<sub>F</sub>—I transitions

anisotropy. Opposingly, replacing the terminal nitrophenyl moiety with a nitrobiphenyl moiety saw the N<sub>F</sub> and N phases both stabilised presumably due to the increase in shape anisotropy and enhanced interactions between the molecules. Madhusudana's model can also be used to justify the stabilisation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase with the addition of fluorine atoms ortho to the terminal nitro group or laterally along the backbone of the molecule. When the backbone of the molecule was heavily fluorinated and the terminal nitrophenyl moiety was replaced by a difluorocyanophenyl moiety the N<sub>F</sub> phase was found to be particularly stabilised reaching transition temperatures of over 200 °C. However, replacing the terminal aromatic functionality with any groups other than a nitro or cyano proved to extinguish the N<sub>F</sub> phase and this was similarly seen if one of the ester groups of a material in the RM734 family was removed, regardless of the rest of the functionality present within the molecule.

were seen, although further additions also saw the N<sub>F</sub> phase

completely destabilised as well. Indeed, while bulky lateral

functionality such as CF3 groups could be added to the

molecule and the N<sub>F</sub> phase maintained, these additions saw the

N<sub>F</sub> phase destabilised because of the decrease in shape

# 5. Other Potential N<sub>F</sub> Compounds

In Table 17, higher oligomers are reported which have structures similar to that found in the RM734 family, and these were investigated in order to determine if the N<sub>F</sub> phase was exclusive to low molar mass materials. Mandle *et al.*<sup>[47]</sup> reported the tetramer which is shown by compound **152** but this was completely non–mesogenic. Li *et al.*<sup>[25]</sup> described two series of polymers in which the number of middle aromatic rings were increased with series **153** being terminated by a benzyl moity and series **154** by a nitrophenyl moiety. These series appear to be more conducive for maintaining the large longitudinal dipole moment seen for other ferroelectric nematogens than

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

Table 17. Th	e molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole moments of	of compounds 152–1	54.			
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/ °C	Phase Sequence/ °C	∆T <sub>NF</sub> / °C	μ/D	Ref.
52	NO <sub>2</sub>	140	I–188–N–133–N <sub>F</sub>	-	11.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	6,8
152	$O_{2N} = O_{2N} = O$	56	Cr-56-1	x	-	47
153 2		-	Cr-110-I	×	8.9 <sup>[a]</sup>	25
3		-	Cr-138-l	×	11.0 <sup>[a]</sup>	25
4		-	I–60–Cr	×	15.7 <sup>[a]</sup>	25
6		-	I–184–N <sub>F</sub> –135–Cr	+ 51	21.5 <sup>ta</sup>	25
8		-	I-230-N <sub>F</sub> -167-Cr	+97	-	25
10		-	$1-204 - N_F - 192 - Cr$	+ 151	-	25
154 1		_	$I = 294 - N_F = 210 - CI$	-92	- 12 4 <sup>[a]</sup>	25
2		_	I-116-N-	-17	15.8 <sup>[a]</sup>	25
3		_	I–165–N₌–35–G	+ 22	18.9 <sup>[a]</sup>	25
4		_	I-207-N <sub>F</sub> -40-G	+ 74	21.4 <sup>[a]</sup>	25
6		-	I–265–N <sub>F</sub> –137–Cr	+132	27.2 <sup>[a]</sup>	25
8		-	I–284–N <sub>F</sub> –171–Cr	+ 151	33.5 <sup>[a]</sup>	25
[a] Calculated	using B3LYP/6-31G (d).					

for example compound **152**. When the number of monomeric units in series **153** are low, the N<sub>F</sub> phase is not observed, however, when  $n \ge 6$  the phase emerges. While both series have somewhat extensive lateral bulk, with propoxy chains connected to both the middle aromatic rings and to the terminal ring which contains the methoxy group, the addition of extra aromatic rings when n increased is presumably a stronger driving force for the transition temperatures. All four members of series **153** which exhibit the N<sub>F</sub> phase, had their phase transitions at higher temperatures than RM734. As the number of monomeric units within the molecular structure increased, so did both the average molecular dipole moment and the reported values of T<sub>NFI</sub>. T<sub>NFI</sub> for compound **153.12** was stabilised by some 161 °C compared to RM734 and this is the highest transition temperature for the N<sub>F</sub> phase reported to

date. Series **154** exhibited similar behaviour to series **153** with the dipole moments of the constituent members and their transition temperatures increasing as the value of *n* likewise increased. Unlike series **153**, all members of series **154** exhibited the N<sub>F</sub> phase, although for compound **154.1** the N<sub>F</sub> phase was destabilised compared to RM734 by 92 °C presumably because of the lateral propoxy groups lowering the shape anisotropy and this not being mitigated fully by the enhanced number of aromatic rings as seen for the higher values of *n*. Series **154** shows higher values of T<sub>NFI</sub> than series **153** when the homologues with the same values of *n* are compared presumably due to the enhanced polarizability endowed by the terminal nitrophenyl moiety.

In Table 18, side–chain polymers which are based on RM734 are reported, with Dai *et al.*<sup>[44]</sup> describing a number of these

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

Table 18. The	e molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole moments	of compounds 155	-160.			
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/ °C	Phase Sequence/°C	∆T <sub>NF</sub> / °C	μ/D	Ref.
52		140	I–188–N–133–N <sub>F</sub>	_	11.4 <sup>(a)</sup>	6,8
155	$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array}\\ \end{array}\\ \end{array}\\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} $	-	I–122–N–80–N <sub>F</sub> –44– G	-53	11.8 <sup>[a]</sup>	44
156	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	-	I-92-N-43-G	x	11.6 <sup>[a]</sup>	44
157	$rac{1}{1}$	_	I–52–G	×	9.0 <sup>[a]</sup>	44
158	$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array}\\ \end{array}\\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $ } \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}  } \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}  } \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}  } \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}  } \\ \end{array}  } \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}  } \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}  } \\  } \\  } \\ \end{array}  } \\  } \\ \end{array}  } \\	-	I–39–G	×	-	44
159	$n \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \end{array}) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{$	-	N-212-SmA-44-G	×	-	44
160	$n \left( \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right) \\ \end{array} \right) $	-	l/N–250–SmA–37–G	×	-	44
[a] Calculated	using B3LYP/6-31G (d).					

materials with large dipole moments as shown by compounds 155 to 160. Compounds 156, 157 and 158 had the polymer backbone connected to the highly polar moiety in a lateral position. Compound 156 was terminated by a nitrophenyl moiety and exhibited the  $N_F$  phase highlighting the

ability of these material to withstand large lateral substituents in this position. The value of  $T_{NFN}$  was 53 °C lower than that observed for RM734, critically however, this showed that a side– chain polymer exhibited the  $N_F$  phase and was another example of a material which in this class which could not be described

ChemPlusChem 2024, 89, e202300726 (38 of 42)

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

as a standard low molar mass mesogen. Compounds 156 and 157 had the terminal nitrophenyl moiety replaced by cyanophenyl and trifluorophenyl moieties, respectively, and in both cases the N<sub>F</sub> phase was extinguished. This was similarly seen for compounds 66.1 and 67.1 which had the same changes in the terminal functionality when they were compared to RM734. Compound 158 saw the ether link to the polymer moved to the middle aromatic ring and the N<sub>F</sub> phase was completely destabilised. Somewhat surprisingly considering the observation of the  $N_F$  phase for all the members of series 79, Dai et al.<sup>[44]</sup> suggested that the N<sub>F</sub> phase was not observed because of the packing of the polar moieties within the polymer side chains which favoured anti-parallel contacts which destabilise the N<sub>F</sub> phase. The molecular packing of compounds 159 and 160 can also explain the phase behaviour of those polymers, with both materials exhibiting the conventional smectic A phase rather than the N<sub>F</sub> phase. With the promotion of headto-head anti-parallel packing in both compounds promoting a layered structure which gave no overall polarity.

Table 19 contains a range of compounds exhibiting the N<sub>F</sub> phase but do not truly fit into any of the archetypal families of compounds listed in the introduction. They are, however, still low molar mass materials with large longitudinal dipole moments and some degree of lateral bulk. They possess some features in terms of their molecular structures which can be related to the other compounds which have been reported previously but with key differences. Compound 161 had a highly rigid construction which is terminated with a dioxane ring like DIO but instead of an ester had an alkynyl bond. The other terminus of the compound has a highly fluorinated biphenyl capped by a cyano group to give it the aforementioned large molecular dipole moment of 14 D. Song et al.[31] reported that compound 161 exhibited both the  $N_F$  and the SmA<sub>F</sub> phases much like compound 25.3 which had similar structural features. Li et al.<sup>[19]</sup> described compound 162 in which the dioxane ring was replaced by a terminal butyl chain akin to that seen for UUQU-4 N but in this case the N<sub>F</sub> phase was totally destabilised. This suggests that the dioxane ring has a key role in stabilising the N<sub>F</sub> phase when there is terminal bulk being added to these materials. Song et al.[41] had previously reported on another set of highly fluorinated rigid compounds with large longitudinal dipole moments namely compounds 163 and 164. Compound 163 has a similar molecular structure to compound 161 but with the triple bond linking group removed, however, this material does still exhibit the N<sub>F</sub> phase. The value of  $T_{NENX}$  in compound 163 is 2°C higher than that of compound 161 which suggests that the alkynyl bond has a limited effect on the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase overall. Increasing the length of the terminal chain, as seen for compound 164, has the same effect as has been described earlier in this review, with the N<sub>F</sub> phase being completely destabilised. Nishikawa et al.<sup>[51]</sup> reported that replacing the terminal dioxane ring in compound 161 with a bicyclo-orthoester to give series 165, sees the  $N_F$  phase stabilised by over 100 °C to give values of  $T_{NFL}$ of nearly 300°C which are some of the highest values seen for these transitions which are enantiotropic in nature. This increase in stability is presumably due to the enhanced rigidity of the molecule endowed by the tolane linking group and the terminal bicyclo-orthoester. Despite having a different molecular structure to the other archetypal families of ferroelectric nematogens, the fact that these compounds show similar trend in transition temperatures with regards to these terminal chain length means that again this can be attributed to the modelling described by Madhususdana.<sup>[52]</sup> These materials show that even if the materials deviate a little more from the archetypal templates they still can exhibit the N<sub>F</sub> phase.

Adding additional middle aromatic rings as shown by series 153 and 154 to form polymers saw the  $N_F$  phase stabilised as the number of monomeric units within the molecule increased presumably due to the increase in lateral interactions between the dipoles promoting parallel correlations. The RM734 template also can be used for making sidechain polymers but in that case the N<sub>F</sub> phase was only seen when the chain was connected to the terminal ring with the methoxy group in a lateral position. One area that was critical to seeing the conventional nematic phase having a wider appeal was that the archetypal room temperature nematogens, the *n*CB series,<sup>1</sup> could be mixed to tune the properties of the mixtures to better suit electrooptic applications. The N<sub>F</sub> phase could in the future see a similar tuning by using those materials in mixtures. There have been examples of  $N_F$  mixtures in literature,<sup>[29,36,37,41,42,49,53,60,62-66]</sup> but it must be highlighted that the N<sub>F</sub> phase has shown that it can withstand non-mesogenic dopants to enhance particular properties such as the nonlinear optical susceptibility.[12,67]

# 6. Conclusions

The ferroelectric nematic phase, as was alluded to in the introduction of this review, has proven itself to be one of the most exciting topics of research in the field of liquid crystals. The structure space that these materials exist in has been relatively small to date, but the utilisation of neural networks as outlined by Mandle<sup>[55]</sup> has provided a method which could process vast libraries of prospective compounds while reducing human bias. However, using such a technique does not reduce the time required for the synthesis of the compounds or their characterisation and so that remains the major time impediment.

Considering the  $N_F$  materials which have been studied in literature there are a number of observations that can be made around their molecular structures as well as what effect structural modifications have on the stability of the phase. In DIO one of the key structural features is the terminal dioxane ring, with the replacement of this by a butoxy chain leading to the  $N_F$  phase being extinguished. The group has a significant effect on the overall molecular dipole while also endowing enhanced steric bulk to the terminal of the molecule, which presumably helps to inhibit the molecules from packing in an anti–parallel manner because it fills void space less efficiently. Increasing the length of this terminal chain starts to then disrupt the packing of the mesogenic units and changes the electronic profile of that terminal region. Therefore, the length Review doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202300726

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

Table 19. The molecular structures, phase sequences and average dipole moments of compounds 161–165.								
Compound	Structure	Melting Point/°C	Phase Sequence/°C	μ/D	Ref.			
161		140	N–202–N <sub>X</sub> –185–N <sub>F</sub> –120–SmA <sub>F-</sub>	14.0 <sup>[a]</sup>	31			
162		-	I–143–N–104–Cr	11.8 <sup>ibj</sup>	19			
163		184	I–220–N–196–N <sub>x</sub> −187–N <sub>F</sub> –158–Cr	13.6 <sup>(b)</sup>	41			
164		162	I–208–N–151–N <sub>x</sub> –137–Cr	13.7 <sup>(b)</sup>	41			
165 1 2	F $F$ $F$ $F$ $F$ $F$ $F$ $F$ $F$ $F$	219 197	I–291–N <sub>F</sub> I–289–N <sub>F</sub>	15.0 <sup>(b)</sup> 15.2 <sup>(b)</sup>	51			
[a] Calculated u	sing B3LYP/6-31G (d). bCalculated using B3LYP/6-311 + G(d,p).							

of the alkyl chain, which is bonded to this dioxane ring, or in the case of RM734-type materials to the terminal position of the aromatic ring system, is a strong driver of the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. This observation can be rationalised using the model proposed by Madhusudana<sup>[52]</sup> due to the influence changing the alkyl chain length has on the electron density of the terminal aromatic ring as well as dilution effects.

In general, the materials which exhibit the  $N_{\rm F}$  phase see the phase destabilised when the size of the lateral groups increases

either through using lateral alkyloxy chains or other functionalities. With this observation presumably being shape driven since the decrease in the shape anisotropy of the molecules is less favourable for the interactions between the mesogenic units. There is an exception to this in that adding fluorines laterally along the molecular backbone seems to be favourable for the exhibition of the N<sub>F</sub> phase. Presumably this is because the shape and electronic effects of adding these fluorines is dominated by the electronic effects which sees an

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

enhancement in the overall dipole moment as well as in the electron density within each of the aromatic rings such that the molecules pack preferentially into the  $N_F$  phase considering Madhusudana's model.<sup>[52]</sup> One method which can be used in particular to stabilise the  $N_F$  phase is to have a polar group in the terminal position of the aromatic ring system with fluorines *ortho* to it. The polar group is able to generate the large overall dipole which is often seen for this type of materials and the fluorines spread the negative charge at the terminal of the molecule which removes electron density from the nitro group helping to stabilise the ferroelectric nematic phase.

Although in UUQU-4N style materials the linking group is that of a difluoromethyl moiety and these materials can exhibit the N<sub>F</sub> phase, overall, the replacement of the ester linking group by this moiety is unfavourable for the stability of the  $N_F$  phase. Presumably this is because the distribution of the electron density within the molecule is less favourable than when it is divided by an ester group which means that the parallel alignment of the calamitic molecules is less favourable and then the N<sub>F</sub> phase is destabilised. Indeed, most modifications made to the archetypal N<sub>F</sub> materials sees the phase destabilised and in many cases the N<sub>F</sub> is completely extinguished. This is presumably because the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase is related to both shape effects and electronic effects, and this means that many modifications will see a promotion in the unfavourable anti-parallel correlations or will have lateral bulk such that the interactions between the mesogenic units are diluted.

It must be noted, however, that the  $N_F$  phase is able to withstand the introduction of key functionalities to, for example, the RM734 template, even if it is destabilised, such as lateral chiral groups including the chiral 2-methylbutoxy moiety to give the helielectric nematic phase/chiral ferroelectric nematic phase. Similarly, this can be taken advantage of to add a lateral acrylate moiety which allows a polymeric compound to show the N<sub>F</sub> phase whereas this introduction of this moiety in other positions on the molecular backbone merely yields conventional nematic and smectic phases and not the ferroelectric nematic phase. One area that appears to be of great promise in terms of polymeric N<sub>F</sub> materials are the compounds in which the number of aromatic rings in the middle of the molecule along the long axis of the molecule is increased, which increases the stability of the N<sub>F</sub> phase, and this allows for the possibility of including different more exotic lateral groups while still maintaining the desired N<sub>F</sub> phase. This general principle can also be applied to low molar mass materials, where again increasing the number of aromatic rings within the molecule sees the N<sub>F</sub> phase be stabilised.

This field is an area of constant advancement which is what makes it so exciting to study as a chemist and to try to design new materials which harness such a fascinating phase. During the writing of this review, this was highlighted by the reporting of another example of a enantiotropic ferroelectric nematogen by Karcz *et al.*<sup>[68]</sup> in a material somewhat similar to compound **29**. Stepanafas *et al.* reported on the effect of replacing the terminal methoxy group with a terminal methylthio group with respect to the N<sub>F</sub> phase while also sequentially changing the degree of fluorination incorporated into the molecular backbone.<sup>[69]</sup> Gibb *et al.* reported a series of ferroelectric nematogens which were synthesised without using a protecting intermediate, and of particular note is the observation of the N<sub>F</sub> phase in a material where the terminal methoxy group was replaced by an iodine.<sup>[70]</sup> Cruickshank *et al.* also reported a range of RM734-type compounds with multiple lateral groups which exhibited direct N<sub>F</sub>–I transitions.<sup>[71]</sup> As these recent additions to the literature show, the ferroelectric nematic phase remains the hottest topic in the field of liquid crystals, and new molecules continue to be synthesised which exhibit the phase. Furthermore, as the theoretical understanding of this phase improves, this exciting polar continues to have a very golden future.

#### Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Corrie Imrie, Grant Strachan and Rebecca Walker for many helpful discussions during the process of writing this review.

#### **Conflict of Interests**

There are no conflicts to declare.

**Keywords:** DIO · Ferroelectric nematic phase · Fluorine · Liquid crystal · Nematic phase · RM734 · UUQU-4N

- [1] G. W. Gray, K. J. Harrison, J. A. Nash, *Electron. Lett.* 1973, 9, 130–131.
- [2] M. Born, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad Wiss. 1916, 30, 614–650.
- [3] N. Scaramuzza, G. Strangi, C. Versace, Liq. Cryst. 2001, 28, 307–312.
- [4] T. J. Sluckin, D. A. Dunmur, H. Stegemeyer, Crystals That Flow: Classic Papers From the History of Liquid Crystals. 2004, pp. 512 CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- [5] R. J. Mandle, S. J. Cowling, J. W. Goodby, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2017, 19, 11429–11435.
- [6] R. J. Mandle, S. J. Cowling, J. W. Goodby, Chem. A Eur. J. 2017, 23, 14554–14562.
- [7] H. Nishikawa, K. Shiroshita, H. Higuchi, Y. Okumura, Y. Haseba, S. I. Yamamoto, K. Sago, H. A. Kikuchi, Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, 1702354.
- [8] X. Chen, E. Korblova, D. Dong, X. Wei, R. Shao, L. Radzihovsky, M. A. Glaser, J. E. Maclennan, D. Bedrov, D. M. Walba, N. A. Clark, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 2020, *117*, 14021–14031.
- [9] F. Caimi, G. Nava, R. Barboza, N. A. Clark, E. Korblova, D. M. Walba, T. Bellini, L. Lucchetti, *Soft Matter*. 2021, *17*, 8130–8139.
- [10] P. Rudquist, Sci. Rep. 2021, 11, 24411.
- [11] N. Sebastián, L. Cmok, R. J. Mandle, M. R. De La Fuente, I. Drevenšek Olenik, M. Čopič, A. Mertelj, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2020, 124, 037801.
- [12] C. L. Folcia, J. Ortega, R. Vidal, T. Sierra, J. Etxebarria Liq. Cryst. 2022, 49, 899–906.
- [13] J. Ortega, C. L. Folcia, J. Etxebarria, T. Sierra, Liq. Cryst. 2022, 49, 2128– 2136.
- [14] D. Pociecha, R. Walker, E. Cruickshank, J. Szydlowska, P. Rybak, A. Makal, J. Matraszek, J. M. Wolska, J. M. D. Storey, C. T. Imrie, E. Gorecka, J. Mol. Liq. 2021, 361, 119532.
- [15] E. Zavvou, M. Klasen-Memmer, A. Manabe, M. Bremer, A. Eremin, Soft Matter. 2022, 18, 8804–8812.
- [16] H. Nishikawa, K. Sano, S. Kurihara, G. Watanabe, A. Nihonyanagi, B. Dhara, F. Araoka, Commun. Mater. 2022, 3, 89.
- [17] X. Chen, E. Korblova, M. A. Glaser, J. E. MacLennan, D. M. Walba, N. A. Clark, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2021, 118, e2104092118.
- [18] J. Li, H. Nishikawa, J. Kougo, J. Zhou, S. Dai, W. Tang, X. Zhao, Y. Hisai, M. Huang, S. Aya, *Sci. Adv.* 2021, *7*, eabf5047.

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

- [19] J. Li, Z. Wang, M. Deng, Y. Zhu, X. Zhang, R. Xia, Y. Song, Y. Hisai, S. Aya, M. Huang, *Giant.* **2022**, *11*, 100109.
- [20] R. Saha, P. Nepal, C. Feng, M. S. Hossain, M. Fukuto, R. Li, J. T. Gleeson, S. Sprunt, R. J. Twieg, A. Jákli, *Liq. Cryst.* **2022**, *49*, 1784–1796.
- [21] S. Brown, E. Cruickshank, J. M. D. Storey, C. T. Imrie, D. Pociecha, M. Majewska, A. Makal, E. Gorecka, *ChemPhysChem.* 2021, *22*, 2506–2510.
   [22] H. Nishikawa, K. Sano, F. Araoka, *Nat. Commun.* 2022, *13*, 1142.
- [23] R. J. Mandle, N. Sebastián, J. Martinez-Perdiguero, A. Mertelj, Nat. Commun. 2021, 12, 4962.
- [24] A. Manabe, M. Bremer, M. Kraska, Liq. Cryst. 2021, 48, 1079–1086.
- [25] J. Li, R. Xia, H. Xu, J. Yang, X. Zhang, J. Kougo, H. Lei, S. Dai, H. Huang, G. Zhang, F. Cen, Y. Jiang, S. Aya, M. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 46.
- [26] N. Vaupotič, D. Pociecha, P. Rybak, J. Matraszek, M. Čepič, J. Wolska, E. Gorecka, *Liq. Cryst.* **2023**, *50*, 584–595.
- [27] A. Erkoreka, J. Martinez-Perdiguero, R. J. Mandle, A. Mertelj, N. Sebastián, J. Mol. Liq. 2023, 387, 122566.
- [28] H. Nishikawa, F. A. Araoka, Adv. Mater. 2021, 33, 2101305.
- [29] X. Chen, Z. Zhu, M. J. Magrini, E. Korblova, C. S. Park, M. A. Glaser, J. E. Maclennan, D. M. Walba, N. A. Clark, *Liq. Cryst.* 2022, 49, 1531–1544.
- [30] N. Sebastián, R. J. Mandle, A. Petelin, A. Eremin, A. Mertelj, Liq. Cryst. 2021, 48, 2055–2071.
- [31] Y. Song, M. Deng, Z. Wang, J. Li, H. Lei, Z. Wan, R. Xia, S. Aya, M. Huang, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2022, 13, 9983–9990.
- [32] C. Feng, R. Saha, E. Korblova, D. Walba, S. N. Sprunt, A. Jákli, C. Feng, S. N. Sprunt, A. Jákli, R. Saha, E. Korblova, D. Walba, Adv. Opt. Mater. 2021, 9, 2101230.
- [33] N. Tufaha, E. Cruickshank, D. Pociecha, E. Gorecka, J. M. D. Storey, C. T. Imrie, *Chem. A Eur. J.* **2023**, *29*, e202300073.
- [34] R. Berardi, M. Ricci, C. Zannoni, Ferroelectrics 2004, 309, 3–13.
- [35] R. Berardi, M. Ricci, C. Zannoni, ChemPhysChem. 2001, 2, 443-447.
- [36] J. Zhou, R. Xia, M. Huang, S. Aya, J. Mater. Chem. C. 2022, 10, 8762–8766.
- [37] E. Cruickshank, R. Walker, J. M. D. Storey, C. T. Imrie, *RSC Adv.* 2022, *12*, 29482–29490.
- [38] H. Kikuchi, H. Matsukizono, K. Iwamatsu, S. Endo, S. Anan, Y. Okumura, *Adv. Sci.* 2022, 9, 2202048.
- [39] R. J. Mandle, Soft Matter. 2022, 18, 5014–5020.
- [40] N. Sebastián, M. Čopič, A. Mertelj, Phys. Rev. E. 2022, 106, 021001.
- [41] Y. Song, J. Li, R. Xia, H. Xu, X. Zhang, H. Lei, W. Peng, S. Dai, S. Aya, M. Huang, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2022, 24, 11536–11543.
- [42] E. Cruickshank, A. Pearson, S. Brown, J. M. D. Storey, C. T. Imrie, R. Walker, *Liq. Cryst.* 2023, *50*, 1960–1967.
- [43] R. J. Mandle, Liq. Cryst. 2022, 49, 2019-2026.
- [44] S. Dai, J. Li, J. Kougo, H. Lei, S. Aya, M. Huang, *Macromolecules* 2021, 54, 6045–6051.
- [45] H. Matsukizono, K. Iwamatsu, S. Endo, Y. Okumura, S. Anan, H. Kikuchi, J. Mater. Chem. C. 2023, 11, 6183–6190.
- [46] A. Mertelj, L. Cmok, N. Sebastián, R. J. Mandle, R. R. Parker, A. C. Whitwood, J. W. Goodby, M. Čopič, *Phys. Rev. X.* 2018, 8, 041025.
- [47] R. J. Mandle, S. J. Cowling, J. W. Goodby, *Liq. Cryst.* 2021, *48*, 1780–1790.
  [48] S. Nishimura, S. Masuyama, G. Shimizu, C.-Y. Chen, T. Ichibayashi, J. Watanabe, *Adv. Phys. Res.* 2022, *1*, 2200017.

- [49] X. Chen, V. Martinez, E. Korblova, G. Freychet, M. Zhernenkov, M. A. Glaser, C. Wang, C. Zhu, L. Radzihovsky, J. E. Maclennan, D. M. Walba, N. A. Clark, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 2022, 120, e2217150120.
- [50] E. Cruickshank, P. Rybak, M. M. Majewska, S. Ramsay, C. Wang, C. Zhu, R. Walker, J. M. D. Storey, C. T. Imrie, E. Gorecka, D. Pociecha, ACS Omega 2023, 8, 36562–36568.
- [51] H. Nishikawa, F. Araoka, D. Stéfani Teodoro Martinez, R. Furlan de Oliveira, H. Nishikawa, M. Kuwayama, A. Nihonyanagi, B. Dhara, J. Mater. Chem. C. 2023, 11, 12525–12542.
- [52] N. V. Madhusudana, Phys. Rev. E. 2021, 104, 014704.
- [53] X. Chen, V. Martinez, P. Nacke, E. Korblova, A. Manabe, M. Klasen-Memmer, G. Freychet, M. Zhernenkov, M. A. Glaser, L. Radzihovsky, J. E. Maclennan, D. M. Walba, M. Bremer, F. Giesselmann, N. A. Clark, N. Abbott, P. Palffy-Muhoray, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **2022**, *119*, e2210062119.
- [54] P. Kirsch, W. Binder, J. Hahn, K. Jährling, M. Lenges, L. Lietzau, D. Maillard, V. Meyer, E. Poetsch, A. Ruhl, G. Unger, R. Fröhlich, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 2008, 3479–3487.
- [55] R. J. Mandle, Liq. Cryst. 2023, 50, 534-542.
- [56] W. Weissflog, D. Demus, Cryst. Res. Technol. 1983, 18, K21-K24.
- [57] W. Weissflog, D. Demus, Cryst. Res. Technol. 1984, 19, 55-64.
- [58] M. Cigl, N. Podoliak, T. Landovský, D. Repček, P. Kužel, V. Novotná, J. Mol. Liq. 2023, 385, 122360.
- [59] J. Szydlowska, P. Majewski, M. Čepič, N. Vaupotič, P. Rybak, C. T. Imrie, R. Walker, E. Cruickshank, J. M. D. Storey, P. Damian, E. Gorecka, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2023, 130, 216802.
- [60] X. Zhao, J. Zhou, J. Li, J. Kougo, Z. Wan, M. Huang, S. Aya, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2021, 118, e2111101118.
- [61] G. J. Strachan, W. T. A. Harrison, J. M. D. Storey, C. T. Imrie, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2021, 23, 12600–12611.
- [62] H. Long, J. Li, M. Huang, S. Aya, Liq. Cryst. 2022, 49, 2121-2127.
- [63] X. Zhao, H. Long, H. Xu, J. Kougo, R. Xia, J. Li, M. Huang, S. Aya, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2022, 119, e2205636119.
- [64] H. Kamifuji, K. Nakajima, Y. Tsukamoto, M. Ozaki, H. Kikuchi, Appl. Phys. Express 2023, 16, 071003.
- [65] J. Zhou, Y. Zou, J. Li, M. Huang, S. Aya, PNAS Nexus. 2023, 2, 265.
- [66] X. Zhao, J. Li, M. Huang, S. Aya, J. Mater. Chem. C. 2023, 11, 8547–8552.
- [67] R. Xia, X. Zhao, J. Li, H. Lei, Y. Song, W. Peng, X. Zhang, S. Aya, M. Huang, J. Mater. Chem. C. 2023, 11, 10905–10910.
- [68] J. Karcz, N. Rychłowicz, M. Czarnecka, A. Kocot, J. Herman, P. Kula, Chem. Commun. 2023, 59, 14807–14810.
- [69] G. Stepanafas, E. Cruickshank, S. Brown, M. M. Majewska, D. Pociecha, E. Gorecka, J. M. D. Storey, C. T. Imrie, *Mater Adv* 2023, *5*, 525–538.
- [70] C. J. Gibb, R. J. Mandle, J. Mater. Chem. C. 2023, 11, 16982-16991.
- [71] E. Cruickshank, N. Tufaha, R. Walker, S. Brown, E. Gorecka, D. Pociecha, J. M. D. Storey, C. T. Imrie, *Liq. Cryst.* **2024**, DOI: 10.1080/ 02678292.2024.2304598.

Manuscript received: December 7, 2023 Revised manuscript received: February 20, 2024 Accepted manuscript online: March 7, 2024 Version of record online: March 26, 2024