



AUTHOR(S):

TITLE:

YEAR:

Publisher citation:

OpenAIR citation:

Publisher copyright statement:

This is the _____ version of an article originally published by _____
in _____
(ISSN _____; eISSN _____).

OpenAIR takedown statement:

Section 6 of the "Repository policy for OpenAIR @ RGU" (available from <http://www.rgu.ac.uk/staff-and-current-students/library/library-policies/repository-policies>) provides guidance on the criteria under which RGU will consider withdrawing material from OpenAIR. If you believe that this item is subject to any of these criteria, or for any other reason should not be held on OpenAIR, then please contact openair-help@rgu.ac.uk with the details of the item and the nature of your complaint.

This publication is distributed under a CC _____ license.

1 **DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF AN LC-MS/MS METHOD FOR THE**
2 **DETERMINATION OF NICOTINE AND ITS METABOLITES IN PLACENTA**
3 **AND UMBILICAL CORD**

4

5 Lucía Paniagua-González¹, Cristian Jiménez-Morigosa¹, Elena Lendoiro^{1,2}, Marta
6 Concheiro³, Angelines Cruz¹, Manuel López-Rivadulla¹, Ana de Castro^{1*}

7 ¹Toxicology Service, Institute of Forensic Sciences, University of Santiago de
8 Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

9 ²School of Pharmacy and Life Sciences, The Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen,
10 United Kingdom

11 ³Department of Sciences, John Jay College of Criminal Justice, City University of New
12 York, New York, NY, USA

13

14 **Authors' emails:**

15 Lucía Paniagua-González: luciapaniaguagonzalez@hotmail.com

16 Cristian Jiménez-Morigosa: cristian.jimenez@usc.es

17 Elena Lendoiro: elena.lendoiro@usc.es

18 Marta Concheiro: mconcheiro-guisan@jjay.cuny.edu

19 Angelines Cruz: angelines.cruz@usc.es

20 Manuel López-Rivadulla: manuel.lopez-rivadulla@usc.es

21 Ana de Castro: ana.decastro@usc.es

22

23 **Corresponding author*:**

24 Dra. Ana de Castro

25 Toxicology Service, Institute of Forensic Sciences, Faculty of Medicine

26 C/San Francisco s/n, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain

27 Phone: +34 881812446; Fax: +34 881812459

28 E-mail: ana.decastro@usc.es

29

30 **Abstract**

31 Tobacco exposure during pregnancy is associated with obstetric and fetal complications.
32 We developed and validated an LC-MS/MS method to determine nicotine, cotinine and
33 hydroxycotinine (OH-cotinine) in placenta (PL) and umbilical cord (UC). Specimens
34 were homogenized in water, followed by solid phase extraction. Chromatographic
35 separation was performed using an Atlantis® HILIC Silica column. Detection was
36 accomplished in electrospray in positive mode. Method validation included: linearity (5
37 to 1000 ng/g), accuracy (86.9 to 105.2% of target concentration in PL, and 89.1 to
38 105.0% in UC), imprecision (6.8 to 11.8% in PL, and 7.6 to 12.2% in UC), limits of
39 detection (2 ng/g for cotinine and OH-cotinine, and 5 ng/g for nicotine) and
40 quantification (5 ng/g), selectivity (no endogenous or exogenous interferences), matrix
41 effect (-34.1 to -84.5% in PL, %CV=9.1-24.0%; -18.9 to -84.7% in UC, %CV=10.2-
42 23.9%), extraction efficiency (60.7 to 131.5% in PL, and 64.1 to 134.2% in UC), and
43 stability 72 h in the autosampler (<11.5% loss in PL, and <13% loss in UC). The
44 method was applied to 14 PL and UC specimens from tobacco users during pregnancy.
45 Cotinine (6.8-312.2 ng/g in PL; 6.7-342.3 ng/g in UC) was the predominant analyte,
46 followed by OH-cotinine (<LOQ-80.2 ng/g in PL; <LOQ-80.5 ng/g in UC) and nicotine
47 (5.7-63.7 ng/g in PL; 5.1-63.3 ng/g in UC). This method will be applied to more than
48 150 specimens collected from a wide clinical study to evaluate the usefulness of
49 maternal hair, meconium, placenta and umbilical cord compared to the maternal
50 interview to detect in utero drug exposure.

51 **Keywords:** nicotine, placenta, umbilical cord, tobacco, LC-MS/MS

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 **1. Introduction**

59 Active and passive tobacco exposure during pregnancy are associated with short and
60 long term complications, including miscarriage, placental pathologies, decreased birth
61 weight, fetal hypoxia, changes in the fetal heart rate, sudden infant death syndrome
62 (SIDS), learning difficulties, respiratory diseases, increased risk of addictive behavior in
63 adults and increased risk of cancer [1-5].

64 Surveys about tobacco use in the general population are abundant, and prevalences of
65 tobacco consumption by women of childbearing age can be obtained from these data.
66 The World Health Organization, in its Global Health Observatory (GHO) data, estimates
67 that 19.3% of European women and 6.8% of women worldwide are smokers [6]. In
68 Spain, tobacco is the psychoactive substance with the highest prevalence of daily
69 consumption by females between 15 and 44 years (28.3% of daily consumption during
70 the last month) [7]. However, information on tobacco use among pregnant women is
71 scarce. Currently, the National Survey on Drug Use and Health survey in the USA
72 collects data about prevalence of tobacco consumption by pregnant women. The last
73 National Survey reported that 13.6% of pregnant women aged 15 to 44 in the US
74 smoked tobacco during pregnancy [8].

75 A direct method to obtain information about drug use during pregnancy is through
76 maternal interviews; nevertheless, this method has low reliability due to poor veracity of
77 maternal answers associated with negative social connotations of drug use during
78 pregnancy [9-12]. A more objective way to identify in-utero drug exposure is the
79 determination of drug biomarkers in biological matrices from the mother, the newborn
80 (blood, urine, meconium), or from the maternal-fetal dyad (placenta, umbilical cord)
81 [13-16].

82 Meconium is the preferable matrix to detect in utero drug exposure, as it reflects direct
83 fetal exposure during the third trimester of pregnancy [17, 18], providing a window of
84 detection longer than classic matrices such as neonatal blood (hours) or urine (days).
85 Nonetheless, meconium collection may prove challenging, as its expulsion can be
86 delayed up to 5 days after delivery, or it can become unavailable in cases of fetal
87 distress, as discharge may occur before delivery. Maternal hair analysis gives an indirect
88 estimation of fetal drug exposure [17]; however, due to its long window of detection,
89 this matrix could provide information about maternal drug use during the whole

90 pregnancy, depending on hair's length, thus complementing the information provided by
91 meconium analysis [19].

92 Alternative matrices to those mentioned before are placenta and umbilical cord. These
93 matrices have several advantages, as they are considered waste products, readily
94 available at the time of birth in abundant amounts, and collection is easy and non-
95 invasive. Although studies conducted to date suggest that placenta and umbilical cord
96 have a larger window of detection than blood or urine [20-25], more studies are needed
97 to assess their usefulness.

98 To our knowledge, this is the first study to measure nicotine, cotinine and
99 hydroxycotinine (OH-cotinine) levels in both placenta and umbilical cord tissue. Three
100 methods have been published for the determination of tobacco biomarkers in placenta
101 [26-28], and two in umbilical cord [25, 29]. Although these methods have been applied
102 for the analysis of authentic specimens, full method validation data were not reported
103 [27, 28]. Moreover, the reported investigations either included the detection of only
104 nicotine and cotinine [26, 27], or just cotinine [28, 29]; only one article included
105 nicotine, cotinine and OH-cotinine, as well as nornicotine and anabasine [25].

106 The aim of this work was to develop and validate an LC-MS/MS method for the
107 determination of nicotine, cotinine and OH-cotinine in placenta and umbilical cord. As a
108 proof of concept, the method was applied to authentic placenta and umbilical cord
109 samples from newborns exposed to tobacco during pregnancy.

110 **2. Materials and methods**

111 2.1. Chemicals

112 Nicotine, cotinine and OH-cotinine standards at 1 mg/mL, and the deuterated internal
113 standards (IStd) nicotine-d₄, cotinine-d₃ and OH-cotinine-d₃ at 0.1 mg/mL in methanol
114 were purchased from Cerilliant™ (Round Rock, TX, USA). Water was purified with a
115 Milli-Q water system (Milli-pore, Le-Mont-sur-Lausanne, Switzerland). Chromasolv®
116 gradient grade methanol and reagent grade dichloromethane were from Sigma-Aldrich
117 (Steinheim, Germany). Chromasolv® LC-MS grade 2-propanol was from Fluka (St.
118 Louis, MO, USA). Reagent grade formic acid 98-100%, reagent grade hydrochloric acid
119 37% and LC-MS grade ammonium formate were from Scharlau Chemie (Sentmenat,
120 Spain). Ammonium hydroxide 32% and LC-MS grade acetonitrile were from Panreac

121 (Castellar del Vallés, Spain). Solid phase extraction (SPE) Oasis MCX cartridges (3 cc,
122 60 mg) were purchased from Waters Corp. (Milford, MA, USA).

123 2.2. Placenta and umbilical cord samples

124 Paired placenta, umbilical cord, meconium and maternal hair specimens from more than
125 800 pregnancies were collected as part of a broad study to evaluate the prevalence of
126 drug use during pregnancy, the usefulness of the different matrices to detect in utero
127 drug exposure, and the possible correlation between drug use and neonatal outcomes.
128 Specimens were collected from pregnant women who delivered at the University
129 Clinical Hospital of Santiago de Compostela and the University Hospital Complex of
130 Vigo, Spain, between 2012 and 2015, and the analyses were performed in our
131 laboratory. The whole placenta and umbilical cord specimens were collected at delivery
132 in polypropylene containers and stored at -20 °C until analysis. The participants were
133 informed about the study both in writing and orally before delivery, and they gave
134 written consent. The subjects were not paid for their participation. The study was
135 approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain.
136 For the preparation of calibration curves and quality control samples (QCs), and for the
137 evaluation of method selectivity, blank specimens are needed. Placenta and umbilical
138 cord specimens whose paired maternal hair samples were negative for nicotine and
139 cotinine were initially analyzed to confirm the absence of these analytes.

140 2.3. Preparation of calibration and QC solutions

141 For the preparation of the calibration curves, different working solutions in methanol
142 containing all analytes (nicotine, cotinine and OH-cotinine) were generated at 10
143 µg/mL, 1 and 0.1 µg/mL. Calibration curves were prepared with seven concentration
144 levels (5, 10, 50, 100, 500 and 1000 ng/g) by addition of 50 or 100 µL of the
145 corresponding working solution to placenta or umbilical cord blank samples.

146 Working solutions at 0.5, 5 and 25 µg/mL in methanol were used for the preparation of
147 low, medium and high concentration QC samples (15, 150 and 750 ng/g, respectively).
148 Thirty µL of the appropriate working solution were added to the blank sample.

149 Finally, a working solution containing the deuterated internal standards (IStd) (nicotine-
150 d₄, cotinine-d₃ and OH-cotinine-d₃) at 1 µg/mL was prepared by dilution of the original
151 individual ampoules in methanol.

152 2.4. Sample homogenization

153 Placenta or umbilical cord was cut into small pieces and 1.00 ± 0.02 g weighed into
154 plastic tubes. Samples were subsequently homogenized in 5 mL purified Mili-Q water
155 with an Ultra-Turrax[®] disperser at maximum speed until total tissue homogenization.
156 The dispersed samples were transferred into Pyrex[®] glass tubes, and 50 μ L of the IStd
157 at 1 μ g/mL and 50 μ L of 10% formic acid in water were added. For the calibrators and
158 the QC samples, the homogenized tissue was fortified with the appropriate working
159 solution. The tubes were centrifuged for 15 minutes at 4000 rpm. All the supernatant
160 was collected and subjected to SPE.

161 2.5. Solid phase extraction procedure

162 SPE was performed with Oasis MCX cartridges (3 cc, 60 mg). Cartridges were
163 conditioned with 2 mL methanol and 2 mL water. The sample was loaded in two steps
164 due to the 3 cc volume limitation of the cartridges. Cartridges were subsequently
165 washed with 2 mL 0.1% formic acid in water (v:v). After drying the cartridges for 20
166 min, analytes were eluted with 3 mL dichloromethane:2-propanol:ammonium hydroxide
167 (23.75:71.25:5, v:v:v). Samples were then acidificated by addition of 100 μ L 1%
168 hydrochloric acid in methanol (v:v) to prevent evaporation of analytes. Eluates were
169 evaporated using a TurboVap LV evaporator (Zymark, Hopkinton, MA, USA) and
170 reconstituted in 200 μ L acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid:methanol (3:1, v:v). After
171 centrifugation for 10 minutes at 14000 rpm, extracts were transferred into injection
172 vials, and 20 μ L were injected for LC-MS/MS analysis.

173 2.6. LC-MS/MS

174 An Alliance 2795 Separation Module with an Alliance series column heater/cooler
175 (Waters Corp.) was employed for the chromatographic separation using an Atlantis[®]
176 HILIC Silica (2.1 mm x 100 mm, 3 μ m) column (Waters Corp.), maintained at 30 °C.
177 Formic acid (0.1%) and 2 mM ammonium formate in water (A) and 0.1% formic acid in
178 acetonitrile (B) were used as mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. The
179 chromatographic gradient was programmed as follows: 5% A linearly increased to 60%
180 until min 10; return to initial conditions at min 10.5, and equilibrate until min 15. The
181 autosampler temperature was maintained at 6 °C.

182 The mass spectrometer employed was a Quattro Micro[™] API ESCI triple quadrupole
183 (Waters Corp.). The instrument was operated in electrospray in positive mode (ESI+)
184 with the following optimized settings: capillary voltage 3.0 kV; source block and

185 desolvation gas (nitrogen) temperature 130 °C and 300 °C, respectively; desolvation and
186 cone gas (nitrogen) flow rate 500 L/h and 50 L/h, respectively. Data were recorded on
187 multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode. A 10 µg/mL post-column infusion of each
188 individual analyte at 100 µL/min connected with a “T” valve to the chromatographic
189 effluent (0.1% formic acid in water:ACN, 50:50, v:v) was employed to select MRM
190 transitions, cone voltages and collision energies for the analytes of interest and IStand.
191 MassLynx 4.0 software was employed to control data acquisition and QuanLynx 4.1 for
192 data processing (Waters Corp.).

193 2.7. Method validation

194 Method validation was performed according to the Scientific Working Group for
195 Forensic Toxicology (SWGTOX) standard practices for method validation in forensic
196 toxicology [30] and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) guideline on bioanalytical
197 method validation [31]. The following parameters were evaluated for method
198 validation: linearity, accuracy, imprecision, limit of detection (LOD) and limit of
199 quantification (LOQ), selectivity, matrix effect, extraction efficiency, process efficiency
200 and stability in the autosampler for 72h. All parameters were studied in placenta and in
201 umbilical cord.

202 Linearity was assessed by the evaluation of calibration curves with 7 calibration levels
203 analyzed on four different days. Concentration ranges were from 5 to 1000 ng/g for all
204 analytes. The straight-line fit was performed by linear regression, applying a 1/x-
205 weighting factor. Linearity was acceptable if coefficient of determination (r^2) was
206 ≥ 0.99 , and calibrators' residuals $\pm 15\%$, except for the LOQ, for which residuals $\pm 20\%$
207 were accepted.

208 Accuracy and imprecision were evaluated at low, medium and high QC concentrations
209 (15, 150 and 750 ng/g, respectively). These parameters were assessed by the analysis of
210 5 replicates for each QC analyzed on 4 different days (n=20). Accuracy was required to
211 be within 85-115% of the nominal concentration (80-120% for the LOQ). Intra-assay,
212 inter-assay and total imprecision were determined by calculating the coefficient of
213 variation (%CV) following Krouwer and Rabinowitz' recommendations [32], and using
214 SPSS v. 20.0 statistical software. Requirement of %CV was to be less than 15% (20%
215 for the LOQ).

216 The limit of detection (LOD) was defined as the lowest concentration at which the two
217 MRM transitions monitored for each analyte can be identified with a S/N (signal-to-
218 noise ratio) ≥ 3 , an appropriate ion ratio, and within ± 0.2 min of the mean calibrators
219 retention time.

220 The limit of quantification (LOQ) was defined as the lowest concentration that could be
221 quantified with an imprecision (% CV) $\leq 20\%$ and accuracy between 80 and 120% of the
222 theoretical value. The LOQ was evaluated by the analysis of 5 replicates of blank
223 placenta samples and 5 replicates of blank umbilical cord samples from different
224 individuals fortified at the lowest concentration of the calibration curve.

225 Selectivity of the method was evaluated for both exogenous and endogenous
226 interferences. To evaluate the effect of endogenous interferences, blank placenta and
227 umbilical cord samples from 10 different individuals were fortified with the ISTDs and
228 analyzed. To evaluate the presence of exogenous interferences, blank placenta and
229 umbilical cord samples were fortified with common drugs of abuse and medicines
230 (morphine, codeine, 6-acetylmorphine, methadone, 2-ethylidene-1,5-dimethyl-3,3-
231 diphenylpyrrolidine, amphetamine, methamphetamine, 3,4-
232 methylenedioxyamphetamine, 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine, 3,4-
233 methylenedioxyethylamphetamine, cocaine, benzoylecgonine, ecgonine methylester,
234 cocaethylene, lysergic acid diethylamide, ketamine, norketamine,
235 gammahydroxybutyric acid, fentanyl, amitriptyline, paroxetine, zolpidem, zopiclone,
236 ibuprofen, omeprazole, paracetamol, diclofenac, naproxen, alprazolam, temazepam,
237 lormetazepam, lorazepam, clonazepam, diazepam, nordiazepam, flunitrazepam, 7-
238 aminoflunitrazepam, oxazepam, triazolam, nitrazepam, bromazepam) at 1000 ng/g.

239 Matrix effect, extraction efficiency and process efficiency were evaluated in placenta
240 and in umbilical cord at low and high QC concentrations (15 and 750 ng/g,
241 respectively), following recommendations published by Matuszewski et al. [33].

242 Evaluation of matrix effect was performed by comparing average analyte peak area in
243 blank samples from 10 different individuals fortified with the analytes after extraction,
244 with average peak area of the analytes prepared at the same concentration in acetonitrile
245 with 0.1% formic acid:methanol (3:1, v:v) (n=5). Extraction efficiency was evaluated by
246 comparing average analyte peak area in blank samples fortified with the analytes before
247 extraction (n=5) with average peak area obtained in blank samples fortified after
248 extraction (n=10). Process efficiency was calculated by comparing average analyte peak

249 area in blank samples fortified with the analytes before extraction (n=5) with average
250 peak area of the analytes prepared at the same concentration in acetonitrile with 0.1%
251 formic acid:methanol (3:1, v:v) (n=5).

252 Autosampler stability of the compounds was evaluated at low, medium and high QC
253 concentrations by comparing concentrations obtained after the injection of freshly
254 prepared QC samples (n=5) and after reinjection 72 hours later. The stability was
255 considered acceptable if the reinjected QCs were quantified within $\pm 15\%$ compared to
256 freshly prepared QCs.

257 2.8. Application to authentic samples

258 To confirm method applicability, 14 authentic umbilical cord and placenta samples from
259 pregnant tobacco users were analyzed. Tobacco use was confirmed by the analysis of
260 the paired maternal hair specimen using a previously published method which was
261 further expanded to include nicotine and cotinine determination [34]. Hair specimens
262 were divided into 3 segments corresponding with the 3 trimesters of pregnancy.
263 Segment 1, corresponding to the last trimester of pregnancy, was from 0 (root) to 2 cm;
264 segment 2, corresponding to the 2nd trimester, from 3 to 6 cm; and segment 3,
265 corresponding to the 1st trimester, was from 6 to 9 cm. However, if the amount of hair
266 specimen was not enough for segmentation only one segment up to 8 cm long,
267 corresponding to the whole pregnancy, was analyzed.

268 To ensure that the analysis of a single intermediate location would be accurately
269 representative of placental and umbilical cord disposition, we initially assessed whether
270 the analytes of interest were homogeneously distributed throughout the tissues. For this
271 purpose, analytes concentrations in 9 placenta samples at 4 different locations (1, 4, 6,
272 and 10 cm from the umbilical cord) and in the paired umbilical cord at two locations
273 (start and end of the tissue) were evaluated.

274 **3. Results**

275 3.1. Method development

276 The present analytical methods allowed the determination of nicotine, cotinine and OH-
277 cotinine in 1 g placenta or umbilical cord. Both methods employed the same analytical
278 procedure, including chromatographic separation, and sample homogenization and
279 extraction, and they were completely validated in each matrix. Chromatographic elution

280 of all the analytes was achieved in 7.5 min, with a total chromatographic run time of 15
281 min.

282 The most abundant MRM transition was used for quantification. A second transition
283 was monitored for qualitative purposes to fulfill the European Commission Decision
284 2002/657/EC identification criteria using mass spectrometric techniques [35].

285 Table 1 shows quantification and qualification transitions, cone voltage, collision energy
286 and retention time for each analyte.

287 3.2. Method validation

288 Selectivity of the method was verified as no quantifiable endogenous or exogenous
289 interferences were detected at the specific retention time for each analyte in 10 different
290 blank placenta and umbilical cord specimens (Figures 1a and 2a), or in the blank
291 samples fortified with common drugs of abuse and medicines.

292 LOD was 5 ng/g for nicotine, and 2 ng/g for the metabolites, and the LOQ was 5 ng/g
293 for all the analytes in both matrices. Figures 1b and 2b correspond to blank placenta and
294 umbilical cord samples fortified at the LOQ.

295 Linearity of the compound-to-IStd ratio versus the theoretical concentration was
296 verified through 4 calibration curves analyzed in 4 different days with 1/x weighting
297 factor. For all the analytes the curves were fitted to a linear regression model over the
298 concentration range of 5 to 1000 ng/g, obtaining a mean $r^2 \geq 0.995$ for all the analytes.
299 Residuals were $\pm 20\%$ at the LOQ and $\pm 15\%$ for the rest of the calibrators. Table 2
300 shows linearity range and calibration parameters results for nicotine, cotinine and OH-
301 cotinine in placenta and in umbilical cord.

302 Results for accuracy and imprecision in placenta and umbilical cord are shown in Table
303 3. Accuracy was satisfactory for all the analytes in both matrices (86.9-105.2% of the
304 target concentration in placenta, and 89.1-105.0% in umbilical cord). Intra-assay, inter-
305 assay and total imprecision were $<6.8\%$, $<10.3\%$ and $<11.8\%$, respectively, in placenta,
306 and $<7.6\%$, $<9.6\%$ and $<12.2\%$ in umbilical cord.

307 Matrix effect, extraction efficiency and process efficiency results are shown in Table 4.
308 All analytes showed ion suppression at low and high QC concentrations in both
309 matrices. Matrix effect ranged from -34.1 to -84.5% (%CV=9.1-24.0%) in placenta, and
310 from -18.9 to -84.7% (%CV=10.2-23.9%) in umbilical cord. In all cases, behavior of the
311 deuterated internal standards was similar to that observed for the non-labeled analyte,

312 compensating matrix effect results. Extraction efficiency ranged from 60.7 to 99.5% in
313 placenta, and from 64.1 to 103.9% in umbilical cord, except for nicotine-d4, for which
314 values up to 131.5% and 134.2% were observed in placenta and in umbilical cord,
315 respectively. These high extraction efficiency values were probably due to the higher
316 variability observed in the different specimens used to evaluate this parameter compared
317 to those employed to calculate the matrix effect for nicotine-d4. %CV for the 5
318 replicates ranged from 12.7 to 29.9% in placenta, and 10.5 to 25.3% in umbilical cord.
319 Overall process efficiency ranged from 13.2 to 59.6% and from 14.7 to 57.0% in
320 placenta and umbilical cord, respectively.

321 Results from the stability study in the autosampler showed that all the analytes were
322 stable in these conditions for 72 h, with <13% loss when compared to fresh QC samples
323 in all cases.

324 3.3. Application to authentic samples

325 Table 5 shows results observed in the experiment to evaluate whether the analytes were
326 homogeneously distributed in 9 pairs of placenta and umbilical cord specimens
327 (samples A to I). %CV for nicotine, cotinine and OH-cotinine concentrations observed
328 at the 4 different placenta locations was <15%, except for OH-cotinine in placenta E
329 (%CV= 22.3%), and nicotine in placenta F (%CV=20.9%) . In umbilical cord, %CV
330 was <15% in all cases, except for nicotine in umbilical cord D (%CV= 28.0%).

331 Due to the homogeneous distributions, a single intermediate location was analyzed for
332 all the other placenta and umbilical cord specimens. Eight out of 14 placenta and
333 umbilical cord specimens were positive for the 3 analytes (Table 5). Similar
334 concentrations were observed in placenta and in umbilical cord. Nicotine, cotinine and
335 OH-cotinine concentrations in placenta ranged from 5.7 to 63.7 ng/g, 6.8 to 312.2 ng/g
336 and <LOQ to 80.2 ng/g, respectively; and concentrations in umbilical cord ranged from
337 5.1 to 63.3 ng/g, 6.7 to 342.3 ng/g and <LOQ to 80.5 ng/g, respectively. Hair
338 concentrations were decreasing throughout the pregnancy for participants for whom a
339 segmental analysis could be performed, with the lowest concentrations observed in the
340 third trimester, except for participant I (data not shown). For participants B, L, M and N
341 only one segment of 8 cm length could be analyzed. Eight out of 14 hair specimens
342 were positive for both nicotine and cotinine; however, as opposed to placenta and
343 umbilical cord, the parent drug was the predominant analyte in hair, except for

344 participant A. Hair concentrations were much higher than those found in placenta and in
345 umbilical cord, ranging from 265.9 to 15428.3 ng/g for nicotine, and from 72.7 to 668.5
346 ng/g for cotinine; however, hair concentrations were not correlated to those observed in
347 placenta and in umbilical cord (Table 5). Figure 3 shows the chromatogram of the 2
348 MRM transitions identified for each analyte after the analysis of the placenta and the
349 umbilical cord of the real case L.

350 **4. Discussion**

351 The present analytical method allows for the simultaneous quantification of nicotine and
352 its main metabolites cotinine and OH-cotinine in placenta and umbilical cord using LC-
353 MS/MS. For this purpose, samples were homogenized with water and extracted with
354 solid phase extraction columns based on mixed mode reversed-phase and cation
355 exchange mechanisms. Chromatographic separation was performed in 7.5 min using a
356 HILIC column, and a LOQ of 5 ng/g was achieved with these conditions. Although a
357 concentration of 2 ng/g in placenta or umbilical cord fulfilled the criteria for the LOD
358 for the 3 analytes, we increased this value to 5 ng/g for nicotine as a minimal non-
359 quantifiable contamination for this compound was observed in the blank samples.

360 For placenta and umbilical cord homogenization we tested several solutions, including
361 water, 10% formic acid and methanol. Best results were obtained with water, as samples
362 homogenized with formic acid prompted cartridge clogging, and those mixed with
363 methanol were more difficult to blend. Samples were subsequently extracted by SPE.
364 Different cartridges were evaluated for sample extraction, including OASIS MCX 3 cc
365 60 mg, OASIS HLB 3 cc 60 mg (Waters Corp., Mildford, MA, USA) and Strata-X-C 3
366 cc 60 mg (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA), with the best results in terms of
367 sensitivity observed for mixed mode reversed phase-cation exchange cartridges. OASIS
368 MCX were finally selected, as cartridge clogging was usually observed when placenta
369 or umbilical cord samples were extracted with Strata-X-C. To optimize analytes elution
370 we assessed several combinations of NH₄OH and methanol, and dichloromethane, 2-
371 propanol and NH₄OH. Best results were obtained with dichloromethane:2-
372 propanol:NH₄OH (23.75:71.25:5, v:v:v).

373 For chromatographic separation, best results were observed using a HILIC analytical
374 column. We also assayed several reversed-phase analytical columns; however, due to
375 the polarity of the analytes, retention was very poor.

376 Some analytical methods have been reported for the determination of nicotine and/or its
377 metabolites in placenta and/or umbilical cord by GC or LC-MS [25-28]. Marin et al.
378 [25] developed a method for the determination of nicotine and several metabolites
379 including cotinine, OH-cotinine, nornicotine and anabasine in meconium and umbilical
380 cord by LC-MS/MS. The samples (0.25 g of meconium or 1.5-2 g of umbilical cord)
381 were homogenized with methanol, and afterwards extracted with mixed mode reversed-
382 phase cation exchange columns, although they employed Trace B instead OASIS MCX
383 columns. Chromatographic separation was performed using a HILIC analytical column.
384 Limits of quantification in umbilical cord were lower than those described in the present
385 manuscript (0.5 ng/g for nicotine and OH-cotinine, and 0.25 ng/g for cotinine,
386 nornicotine and anabasine). Concentrations found in 14 umbilical cord specimens from
387 mothers who admitted smoking during the last trimester were higher than our LOQ for
388 cotinine and OH-cotinine (>5 and >17 ng/g, respectively); however, nicotine
389 concentrations in these cases were between 0.9 and 11.7 ng/g. Unfortunately, method
390 validation was not described and, therefore, selectivity, and precision and accuracy of
391 the quantitative results could not be assessed. Joya et al. [26] published a method for the
392 determination of drugs of abuse including amphetamine and derivatives, opioids,
393 cocaine and cannabis, nicotine and cotinine in placenta from women that voluntarily
394 interrupted their pregnancy during the first trimester. Samples were homogenized with
395 HClO₄ 0.1%, and subsequently extracted with Strata-X-C cation exchange cartridges.
396 The authors used the same amount of placenta reported in the present study (1 g), and
397 achieved similar limits of quantification (13.9 ng/g for nicotine and 2.1 ng/g for
398 cotinine) and limits of detection (4.6 ng/g for nicotine and 0.7 ng/g for cotinine). The
399 authors describe the results of some validation parameters (linearity, analytical recovery,
400 LOQ, LOD, precision and accuracy, and stability). Recently, Mohammadi et al. [27]
401 published a method for the determination of nicotine and cotinine, and several
402 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and tobacco-specific nitrosamines in placenta
403 by UPLC-QTOF-MS. After placenta (5 g) protein precipitation with trichloroacetic acid,
404 samples were blended and also extracted with cation exchange cartridges. A reversed-
405 phase analytical column (ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18) was employed for the
406 chromatographic separation. High LOQs were obtained, with values of 88 and 26 ng/g
407 for nicotine and cotinine, respectively. LODs were also high (27 ng/g for nicotine and 8
408 ng/g for cotinine). Unfortunately, method validation included only extraction recovery
409 and matrix effect. Finally, Mamsen et al. [28] reported an LC-MS/MS method for the

410 determination of cotinine and perfluorinated compounds (PFASs) in placenta, fetal
411 tissues and maternal plasma, as maternal cigarette smoking may affect PFASs
412 concentrations. However, calibration curves in plasma were used for the quantification
413 of the analytes found in all type of samples, and no method validation was reported in
414 any of the matrices.

415 The present method was completely validated in placenta and in umbilical cord. Our
416 method fulfilled the acceptance values for all the studied validation parameters,
417 including selectivity, linearity, precision, accuracy, extraction efficiency, matrix effect
418 and autosampler stability. In addition, real placenta and umbilical cord specimens were
419 analyzed to prove the method applicability. The homogeneous distribution in placenta
420 and in umbilical cord have been previously described for several drugs, including
421 cocaine, opiates and methadone and metabolites [36, 37]. Concheiro et al. found a
422 homogeneous distribution in these tissues for buprenorphine (BUP) metabolites BUP
423 glucuronide, norBUP and norBUP glucuronide in placenta [38] and in umbilical cord
424 [39]. However, BUP was not detected in some locations in 2 out of 5 placenta
425 specimens; this was probably due to the low concentrations of BUP in the specimens (1-
426 2.4 ng/mL) that were close to the method LOQ (1 ng/mL). BUP was never detected in
427 umbilical cord. In the present manuscript, we confirmed that nicotine and metabolites
428 concentrations in different tissue locations are homogeneous and, therefore, one single
429 intermediate location of the real specimens was analyzed. LOD and LOQ for the three
430 analytes in placenta and umbilical cord were sufficient for identification of in utero fetal
431 exposure, as at least 2 nicotine biomarkers were detected in the 14 authentic cases
432 collected at delivery from tobacco user mothers. Similar concentrations were found in
433 placenta and umbilical cord. Cotinine (6.8-312.2 ng/g in placenta; 6.7-342.3 ng/g in
434 umbilical cord) was the predominant analyte, followed by OH-cotinine (<LOQ-80.2
435 ng/g in placenta; <LOQ-80.5 ng/g in umbilical cord) and nicotine (5.7-63.7 ng/g in
436 placenta; 5.1-63.3 ng/g in umbilical cord). Concentrations found in paired hair
437 specimens were much higher than those observed in placenta and in umbilical cord;
438 moreover, as expected, the parent drug was usually the predominant analyte in hair. For
439 4 participants only one segment of 8 cm long corresponding to drug intake for the whole
440 pregnancy could be analyzed. Therefore, hair concentrations corresponding to the third
441 trimester of pregnancy were only available for 10 participants. In these cases, nicotine
442 and cotinine concentrations in hair were not associated to those found in placenta and

443 umbilical cord, as the highest concentrations in hair were observed for participant I
444 followed by participants K>F>J>C>G>E>D>A>H, while the highest concentrations in
445 placenta and in umbilical cord were found for participant C followed by participants
446 F>D>G>A>K>E>J>I>H.

447 Joya et al. [26] detected 21 positive placenta specimens (32.8% of the analyzed
448 specimens) by the identification of cotinine (24.7-189.6 ng/g, median= 80.4 ng/g), and
449 nicotine in some cases (32.5-119.5 ng/g, median=61.2 ng/g). The reason for the higher
450 nicotine concentrations compared to those found in our specimens could be the origin of
451 the samples, which were donated by women that voluntarily interrupted their pregnancy
452 during the first trimester of pregnancy, and as previous studies showed, this is
453 associated with higher rates of maternal smoking [8, 40, 41]. Marin et al. [25] compared
454 nicotine and metabolite profile in 14 paired meconium and umbilical cord specimens
455 from women that admitted tobacco consumption during their pregnancies. Cotinine
456 (14.2-157.7 ng/g) and OH-cotinine (26.6-195.2 ng/g) were also the predominant
457 analytes in umbilical cord, although nicotine and norcotinine were also detected in 12
458 cases (0.9-11.7 ng/g and 0.3-1.3 ng/g, respectively). The same analytes were detected in
459 meconium, although at higher concentrations and with a different metabolite profile, as
460 in this matrix the predominant analytes were usually nicotine (20.8-590.1 ng/g) and
461 OH-cotinine (34.9-354.2 ng/g). Mohammadi et al. [27] found cotinine (17.2-61.8 ng/g)
462 and nicotine (27-88 ng/g) in 8 umbilical cord specimens from smoking mothers; and, as
463 expected, none of the analytes were identified in the 18 specimens from mothers that
464 did not smoke during the pregnancy. Finally, Manssem et al. [28] reported cotinine
465 concentrations of 4-375 ng/g in 14 placenta specimens from smoking mothers.

466 The present LC-MS/MS method was developed for the identification of newborns
467 exposed to tobacco during the pregnancy by the analysis of placenta and umbilical cord.
468 Its main limitation is that the sensitivity for nicotine could compromise its detection in
469 some cases; however, in these cases, identification of in utero exposure would be
470 guaranteed by the detection of its metabolites cotinine and/or OH-cotinine. The method
471 will be applied to more than 150 placenta and umbilical cord specimens collected at
472 delivery from mothers whose hair tested positive for nicotine and/or cotinine. This will
473 increase our knowledge on nicotine and metabolites disposition in placenta and
474 umbilical cord, and the usefulness of these alternative matrices for identification of in
475 utero tobacco exposure.

476 **5. Conclusion**

477 To our knowledge, this is the first analytical method for the simultaneous determination
478 of nicotine, cotinine and OH-cotinine in both placenta and umbilical cord. The methods
479 were successfully validated, achieving satisfactory results for all the studied parameters.
480 Analysis of authentic placenta and umbilical cord specimens from pregnant women
481 whose hair tested positive to tobacco confirmed the applicability of these analytical
482 methods, showing cotinine as the predominant analyte, with similar concentrations
483 observed in both matrices. The present methods will be applied to more than 150 paired
484 placenta and umbilical cord specimens to evaluate the usefulness of these alternative
485 matrices to detect of in utero drug exposure, and to assess a possible correlation
486 between detected concentrations and neonatal outcomes.

487 **Aknowledgement**

488 This project was funded by the Plan Nacional Sobre Drogas, Ministerio de Sanidad,
489 Gobierno de España, grant 2016I005. E. Lendoiro would like to thank the Consellería
490 de Cultura, Educación e Ordenación Universitaria, Xunta de Galicia, for her
491 postdoctoral contract (ED481B2016/103-0).

492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508

509 **References**

- 510 [1] Sastry BVR, Janson VE. Smoking, Placental Function, and Fetal Growth. In: Sastry
511 BVR, ed. *Placental Toxicology*. Boca Raton, Florida, USA: CRC Press; 1995:46-71.
- 512 [2] Pérez López JA. Tabaco, alcohol y embarazo en Atención Primaria. *Med Integr*
513 2000;36(9):343-354.
- 514 [3] Reeves S, Bernstein I. Effects of maternal tobacco-smoke exposure on fetal growth
515 and neonatal size. *Expert Rev Obstet Gynecol* 2008;3(6):719-730.
516 doi:10.1586/17474108.3.6.719.
- 517 [4] Narkowicz S, Plotka J, Polkowska Z, Biziuk M, Namiesnik J. Prenatal exposure to
518 substance of abuse: A worldwide problem. *Environ Int* 2013;54:141-163.
519 doi:10.1016/j.envint.2013.01.011.
- 520 [5] WHO (World Health Organization). Media centre. Fact sheet: Tobacco, June 2016.
521 <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs339/en/>. Accessed April 28, 2017.
- 522 [6] WHO (World Health Organization). Global Health Observatory (GHO) data. World
523 Health Statistics 2016 data visualizations dashboard. Tobacco control.
524 <http://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.sdg.3-a-data?lang=en>. Accessed August 8, 2017.
- 525 [7] Observatorio Español de la Droga y las Toxicomanías (OEDT). Plan Nacional Sobre
526 Drogas (PNSD). Alcohol, tabaco y drogas ilegales en España. Estadísticas 2015.
527 [www.pnsd.msssi.gob.es/profesionales/sistemasInformacion/informesEstadisticas/pdf/E](http://www.pnsd.msssi.gob.es/profesionales/sistemasInformacion/informesEstadisticas/pdf/ESTADISTICAS_2015.pdf)
528 [STADISTICAS_2015.pdf](http://www.pnsd.msssi.gob.es/profesionales/sistemasInformacion/informesEstadisticas/pdf/ESTADISTICAS_2015.pdf). Accessed April 26, 2017.
- 529 [8] Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, Rockville, MD. 2015 National
530 Survey on Drug Use and Health: Detailed Tables. Table 6.75B: Cigarette Use in Past
531 Month among Females Aged 15 to 44.
532 [https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUH-DetTabs-2015/NSDUH-](https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUH-DetTabs-2015/NSDUH-DetTabs-2015/NSDUH-DetTabs-2015.pdf)
533 [DetTabs-2015/NSDUH-DetTabs-2015.pdf](https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUH-DetTabs-2015/NSDUH-DetTabs-2015.pdf). Accessed April 26, 2017.
- 534 [9] Cruz A, Bouzas C, Concheiro M, et al. *Adicc* 2006;18(1):245-261.
- 535 [10] Pichini S, Basagaña X, Pacifici R, et al. Cord Serum Cotinine as a Biomarker of
536 Fetal Exposure to Cigarette Smoke at the End of Pregnancy. *Environ Health Perspect*
537 2000;108:1079–1083.
538 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1240166/pdf/ehp0108-001079.pdf>.
539 Accessed April 28, 2017.
- 540 [11] Manich A, Velasco M, Joya X, et al. Validez del cuestionario de consumo materno
541 de alcohol para detectar la exposición prenatal. *An Pediatr* 2012;76(6):324-328.
542 doi:10.1016/j.anpedi.2011.09.016.

543 [12] Concheiro M, González-Colmenero E, Lendoiro E, et al. Alternative Matrices for
544 Cocaine, Heroin, and Methadone In Utero Drug Exposure Detection. *Ther Drug Monit*
545 2013;35:502-509. doi:10.1097/FTD.0b013e31828a6148.

546 [13] Lendoiro E, González-Colmenero E, Concheiro-Guisán A, et al. Maternal Hair
547 Analysis for the Detection of Illicit Drugs, Medicines, and Alcohol Exposure During
548 Pregnancy. *Ther Drug Monit* 2013;35:296-304. doi:10.1097/FTD.0b013e318288453f.

549 [14] Falcon M, Valero F, Pellegrini M, et al. Exposure to psychoactive substances in
550 woman who request voluntary termination of pregnancy assessed by serum and hair
551 testing. *Forensic Sci Int* 2010;196:22-6. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2009.12.042.

552 [15] García-Algar O, Vall Combelles O, Puig Sola C, Mur Sierra A, Scaravelli G et al.
553 Prenatal exposure to drugs of abuse using meconium analysis in a low socioeconomic
554 population in Barcelona. *Ann Pediatr* 2009;70:145-152.
555 doi:10.1016/j.anpedi.2008.08.008.

556 [16] Lozano J, García-Algar O, Marchei E, et al. Prevalence of gestational exposure to
557 cannabis in a Mediterranean city by meconium analysis. *Acta Paediatr* 2007;96:1734-
558 1737. doi:10.1111/j.1651-2227.2007.00535.x.

559 [17] Lozano J, García-Algar O, Vall O, de la Torre R, Scaravelli G, Pichini S.
560 Biological matrices for the evaluation of in utero exposure to drugs of abuse. *Ther Drug*
561 *Monit* 2007;29:711-34. doi:10.1097/FTD.0b013e31815c14ce.

562 [18] Bessa MA, Mitsuhiro SS, Chalem E, Barros MM, Guinsburg R, Laranjeira R.
563 Underreporting of use of cocaine and marijuana during the third trimester of gestation
564 among pregnant adolescents. *Addict Behav* 2010; 35:266–269.

565 [19] Huestis MA, Choo RE. Drug abuse's smallest victims: in utero drug exposure.
566 *Forensic Sci Int* 2002;128:20–30. doi: 10.1016/S0379-0738(02)00160-3.

567 [20] Jones J, Rios R, Jones M, Lewis D, Plate C. Determination of amphetamine and
568 methamphetamine in umbilical cord using liquid chromatography-tandem mass
569 spectrometry. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci* 2009;877:3701–3706.
570 doi: 10.1016/j.jchromb.2009.09.021.

571 [21] Concheiro M, Jones HE, Johnson RE, Choo R, Shakleya DM, Huestis MA.
572 Maternal buprenorphine dose, placenta buprenorphine, and metabolite concentrations
573 and neonatal outcomes. *Ther Drug Monit* 2010, 32(2):206-15.
574 doi:10.1097/FTD.0b013e3181d0bd68.

575 [22] Concheiro M, Jones HE, Johnson RE, Choo R, Shakleya DM, Huestis MA.
576 Umbilical cord monitoring of in utero drug exposure to buprenorphine and correlation
577 with maternal dose and neonatal outcomes. *J Anal Toxicol* 2010;34(8):498-505. doi:
578 10.1093/jat/34.8.498.

579 [23] de Castro A, Jones HE, Johnson RE, Gray TR, Shakleya DM, Huestis MA.
580 Methadone, cocaine, opiates, and metabolite disposition in umbilical cord and
581 correlations to maternal methadone dose and neonatal outcomes. *Ther Drug Monit*
582 2011;33:443–452. doi:10.1097/FTD.0b013e31822724f0.

583 [24] de Castro A, Concheiro M, Shakleya DM, Huestis MA. Development and
584 validation of a liquid chromatography mass spectrometry assay for the simultaneous
585 quantification of methadone, cocaine, opiates and metabolites in human umbilical cord.
586 *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci* 2009;877:3065–3071.
587 doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2009.07.028.25

588 [25] Marin SJ, Christensen RD, Baer VL, Clark CJ, McMillin GA. Nicotine and
589 Metabolites in Paired Umbilical Cord Tissue and Meconium Specimens. *Ther Drug*
590 *Monit* 2011;33:80-85. doi:10.1097/FTD.0b013e3182055f14.

591 [26] Joya X, Pujadas M, Falcón M, et al. Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry assay
592 for the simultaneous quantification of drugs of abuse in human placenta at 12th week of
593 gestation. *Forensic Sci Int* 2010;196:38-42. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2009.12.044.

594 [27] Mohammadi S, Domeno C, Nerin I, et al. Toxic compounds from tobacco in
595 placenta samples analyzed by UPLC-QTOF-MS. *J Pharm Biomed Anal* 2017;145:331-
596 338. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2017.06.028.

597 [28] Mamsen L, Jönsson B, Lindh C, et al. Concentration of perfluorinated compounds
598 and cotinine in human foetal organs, placenta, and maternal plasma. *Sci Total Environ*
599 2017;596-597:97-105. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.058.

600 [29] Wright TE, Milam KA, Rougee L, Tanaka MD, Collier AC. Agreement of
601 umbilical cord drug and cotinine levels with maternal self-report of drug use and
602 smoking during pregnancy. *J Perinatol* 2011;31(5):324-9. doi:10.1038/jp.2010.132.

603 [30] Scientific Working Group for Forensic Toxicology (SWGTOX) standard practices
604 for method validation in forensic toxicology. *J Anal Toxicol* 2013;37(7):452-74. doi:
605 10.1093/jat/bkt054.

606 [31] European Medicines Agency. Guideline on bioanalytical method validation, 2011.
607 [http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2011/08/](http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2011/08/WC500109686.pdf)
608 [WC500109686.pdf](http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Scientific_guideline/2011/08/WC500109686.pdf) (accessed on 14th December 2017).

609 [32] Krouwer JS, Rabinowitz R. How to improve estimates of imprecision. *Clin Chem*
610 1984;30(2):290-292.

611 [33] Matuszewski BK, Constanzer ML, Chavez-Eng CM. Strategies for the assessment
612 of matrix effect in quantitative bioanalytical methods based on HPLC-MS/MS. *Anal*
613 *Chem* 2003;75(13):3019–3030. doi:10.1021/ac020361s.

614 [34] Lendoiro E, Quintela O, de Castro A, López-Rivadulla M, Concheiro M. Target
615 screening and confirmation of 35 licit and illicit drugs and metabolites in hair by LC-
616 MS/MS. *Forensic Sci Int* 2012;217(1-3):207-15. doi: 10.1016/j.forsciint.2011.11.006.

617 [35] European Union Decision 2002/657/EC (17/8/2002). Commission decision of 12
618 August 2002 implementing Council Directive 96/23/EC concerning the performance of
619 analytical methods and the interpretation of results. *Off J Eur Commun* 2002;221:8-36.

620 [36] de Castro A, Jones HE, Johnson RE, Gray TR, Shakleya DM, Huestis M. Maternal
621 methadone dose, placental methadone concentrations, and neonatal outcome. *Clin Chem*
622 2011;57(3):449-58. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2010.154864.

623 [37] de Castro A, Jones HE, Johnson RE, Gray TR, Shakleya DM, Huestis M.
624 Methadone, cocaine, opiates, and metabolite disposition in umbilical cord and
625 correlations to maternal methadone dose and neonatal outcomes. *Ther Drug Monit*,
626 2011;33(4):443-52. doi: 10.1097/FTD.0b013e31822724f0.

627 [38] Concheiro M, Jones HE, Choo R, Shakleya DM, Huestis MA. Maternal
628 buprenorphine dose, placenta buprenorphine, and metabolite concentrations and
629 neonatal outcomes. *Ther Drug Monit*, 2010;32(2):206-15. doi:
630 10.1097/FTD.0b013e3181d0bd68.

631 [39] Concheiro M, Jones HE, Johnson RE, Choo R, Shakleya DM, Huestis MA.
632 Umbilical cord monitoring of in utero exposure to buprenorphine and correlation with
633 maternal dose and neonatal outcomes. *J Anal Toxicol*, 2010;34(8):498-505.

634 [40] Tong VT, Dietz PM, Farr SL, D'Angelo DV, England LJ. Estimates of smoking
635 before and during pregnancy, and smoking cessation during pregnancy: comparing two
636 population-based data sources. *Public Health Rep* 2013;128(3):179-188.
637 doi:10.1177/003335491312800308.

638 [41] Curtin SC, Matthews TJ. Smoking prevalence and cessation before and during
639 pregnancy: data from the Birth Certificate, 2014. *Natl Vital Stat Rep* 2016;65(1):1-14.

640 Table 1. MRM transitions, cone voltage (CV), collision energy (CE), retention time (Rt)
 641 for each analyte.

Analyte	MRM transition	CV (V)	CE (eV)	Rt (min)
Nicotine	<u>163.1 > 132.2</u> 163.1 > 116.7	30	18 26	7.2
Nicotine-d4	<u>167.2 > 120.7</u>	30	28	7.3
Cotinine	<u>177.1 > 79.5</u> 177.1 > 97.6	35	22 20	2.8
Cotinine-d3	<u>180.2 > 79.5</u>	35	24	2.9
OH-Cotinine	<u>193.2 > 133.8</u> 193.2 > 79.5	30	18 24	2.6
OH-Cotinine-d3	<u>196.1 > 79.5</u>	35	24	2.7

Underlined transitions were used for quantification. OH-Cotinine: hydroxycotinine

642

643

644 Table 2. Linearity range and calibration parameters for nicotine, cotinine and
 645 hydroxycotinine (OH-cotinine) in placenta and umbilical cord.

Analyte	Linearity range (ng/g)	Placenta			Umbilical cord		
		Intercept \pm SD (n = 4)	Slope \pm SD (n = 4)	$r^2 \pm$ SD (n=4)	Intercept \pm SD (n = 4)	Slope \pm SD (n = 4)	$r^2 \pm$ SD (n=4)
Nicotine	5-1000	1.52 \pm 2.77	1.23 \pm 0.53	0.9991 \pm 0.0008	1.82 \pm 2.11	0.84 \pm 0.23	0.9984 \pm 0.0010
Cotinine	5-1000	2.85 \pm 5.76	1.22 \pm 0.22	0.9978 \pm 0.0014	2.94 \pm 3.59	1.18 \pm 0.27	0.9992 \pm 0.0006
OH-Cotinine	5-1000	4.86 \pm 3.57	2.48 \pm 1.12	0.9969 \pm 0.0018	7.37 \pm 4.78	2.36 \pm 0.48	0.9992 \pm 0.0004

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656 Table 3. Results for imprecision and accuracy in placenta and umbilical cord at low (15
 657 ng/g), medium (150 ng/g) and high (750 ng/g) QC concentrations.

Analyte	Matrix	Intra-assay imprecision (n =20; %CV)			Inter-assay imprecision (n =20; %CV)			Total imprecision (n = 20; %CV)			Accuracy (n =20; % target concentration)		
		15 ng/g	150 ng/g	750 ng/g	15 ng/g	150 ng/g	750 ng/g	15 ng/g	150 ng/g	750 ng/g	15 ng/g	150 ng/g	750 ng/g
Nicotine	PL	6.8	2.5	3.0	8.6	9.7	4.3	10.9	10.0	5.2	105.2	92.0	86.9
	UC	3.7	4.4	4.6	9.3	2.8	2.0	10.0	5.2	5.0	97.8	93.0	91.6
Cotinine	PL	5.3	3.9	3.2	2.5	3.4	4.3	5.9	5.2	5.4	102.6	104.2	93.1
	UC	4.1	3.2	4.2	6.1	4.7	7.2	7.4	5.7	8.3	105.0	94.0	96.3
OH-Cotinine	PL	5.9	4.0	5.5	10.3	7.5	7.5	11.8	8.5	9.3	93.5	89.1	90.2
	UC	7.6	4.0	2.2	9.6	4.4	9.2	12.2	6.0	9.4	98.5	89.1	91.3

OH-Cotinine: hydroxycotinine; PL: placenta; UC: umbilical cord

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673 Table 4. Matrix effect, extraction efficiency and process efficiency results in placenta
 674 and umbilical cord at low (15 ng/g) and high (750 ng/g) QC concentrations.

Matrix	Analyte	Matrix effect (%) (%CV) (n=10)		Extraction efficiency (%) (%CV) (n=6)		Process efficiency (%) (n=6)	
		15 ng/g	750 ng/g	15 ng/g	750 ng/g	15 ng/g	750 ng/g
Placenta	Nicotine	-84.5 (19.4)	-71.5 (9.1)	87.5 (25.6)	82.6 (15.7)	13.2	24.5
	Nicotine-d4	-81.6 (19.5)	-68.9 (14.4)	131.5 (23.2)	114.1 (18.1)	23.5	36.8
	Cotinine	-45.8 (20.2)	-36.8 (11.7)	88.7 (24.2)	84.2 (12.7)	49.1	53.4
	Cotinine-d3	-45.1 (20.6)	-39.7 (14.7)	90.5 (22.1)	93.1 (15.4)	50.6	54.0
	OH-Cotinine	-50.7 (23.8)	-34.1 (12.6)	89.9 (29.9)	60.7 (24.3)	44.6	40.1
	OH-Cotinine-d3	-51.9 (24.0)	-36.4 (16.4)	99.5 (28.1)	96.7 (26.9)	48.2	59.6
Umbilical cord	Nicotine	-84.7 (17.3)	-72.0 (10.2)	96.3 (22.5)	87.4 (15.7)	14.7	24.4
	Nicotine-d4	-82.6 (17.2)	-68.9 (15.5)	134.2 (23.9)	118.0 (19.1)	23.4	36.7
	Cotinine	-44.5 (19.1)	-36.8 (13.1)	93.3 (23.3)	87.7 (10.5)	51.7	55.4
	Cotinine-d3	-21.1 (19.3)	-18.9 (16.6)	96.7 (21.9)	91.8 (13.5)	53.6	54.0
	OH-Cotinine	-50.7 (23.9)	-37.2 (14.0)	92.5 (25.3)	64.1 (13.8)	45.6	40.3
	OH-Cotinine-d3	-52.9 (22.9)	-40.2 (16.8)	103.9 (24.2)	95.4 (24.1)	48.9	57.0

OH-Cotinine: hydroxycotinine

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684 Table 5. Average analyte concentrations (ng/g) and %CV found in 4 different placenta
685 locations and 2 different umbilical cord locations from participants A to I. Analyte
686 concentrations (ng/g) in placenta and umbilical cord specimens from participants J to N.
687 Paired hair concentrations found for nicotine and cotinine in the third trimester are
688 expressed in ng/g.

Case	Placenta			Umbilical cord			Hair	
	Nicotine	Cotinine	OH-Cotinine	Nicotine	Cotinine	OH-Cotinine	Nicotine	Cotinine
A	<LOD	46.2 (3.1%)	7.0 (6.3%)	<LOD	52.8 (2.9%)	5.8 (3.6%)	<LOD	72.7
B	63.7 (3.2%)	136.2 (2.7%)	58.1 (2.1%)	63.3 (6.4%)	152.4 (1%)	57.8 (4.5%)	*10297.7	*323.2
C	20.2 (11.5%)	312.2 (5.2%)	80.2 (4.7%)	20.9 (12.9%)	342.3 (2.3%)	80.5 (2%)	1447.8	433.8
D	9.7 (7.2%)	50.7 (1.3%)	11.3 (7.1%)	13.8 (28.0%)	59.9 (1.2%)	13.6 (10.9%)	265.9	<LOD
E	<LOD	38.6 (3.5%)	12.6 (22.3%)	<LOD	45.9 (6.4%)	16.4 (1.2%)	541.5	109.4
F	9.6 (20.9%)	203.7 (10.7%)	59.7 (10.2%)	5.1 (1.4%)	108.1 (1.1%)	35.0 (0.2%)	3403.3	339.4
G	<LOD	45.1 (11.1%)	11.9 (14.4%)	8.2 (2.6%)	59.0 (5.1%)	17.3 (8.2%)	1167.2	416.3
H	<LOD	<LOQ	<LOQ	<LOD	6.7 (14.7%)	<LOQ	<LOD	<LOD
I	<LOD	7.9 (6.8%)	<LOQ	9.3 (13.0%)	8.7 (0.8%)	5.6 (0.0%)	15428.3	668.5
J	6.7	23.1	19.3	8.8	18.5	17.5	2705.4	168.4
K	8.5	39.7	18.8	12.8	50.2	38.6	10313.1	<LOD
L	7.6	17.6	16.6	9.6	20.6	28.8	*1733.5	*<LOD
M	5.7	7.1	8.3	6.4	10.6	12.9	*1770.5	*<LOD
N	<LOD	6.8	<LOD	7.8	9.7	6.7	*1845.1	*112.7

OH-cotinine: hydroxycotinine; *Only 1 segment representing the whole pregnancy was analyzed.

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701 **Figure captions**

702 Figure 1. Chromatograms of the MRM transitions for nicotine, cotinine and
703 hydroxycotinine (OH-cotinine) in a blank placenta sample (1a), and in placenta fortified
704 at the LOQ (5 ng/g) (1b).

705 Figure 2. Chromatograms of the MRM transitions for nicotine, cotinine and
706 hydroxycotinine (OH-cotinine) in a blank umbilical cord sample (2a), and in umbilical
707 cord fortified at the LOQ (5 ng/g) (2b).

708 Figure 3. Chromatograms of the MRM transitions for nicotine, cotinine and
709 hydroxycotinine (OH-cotinine) in the placenta (3a) and umbilical cord (3b) from a
710 positive real specimen (Case L). Nicotine, cotinine and OH-cotinine concentrations
711 were 7.6, 17.6 and 16.6 ng/g, respectively, in placenta; and 9.6, 20.6 and 28.8 ng/g in
712 umbilical cord.

713