## European Union information: an investigation of need amongst public library users in three Scottish authorities

# Rita Marcella and Graeme Baxter The School of Information and Media The Robert Gordon University

The School of Information and Media at the Robert Gordon University in Aberdeen recently completed a British Library funded project which investigated the provision of European Union information in public libraries in the United Kingdom, and in particular the implementation of the Public Information Relay (PIR) - a European Commission sponsored initiative designed to bring EU information closer to the British public through the existing public library network. At the outset of the project, membership of the Public Information Relay stood at 154 of the then 167 United Kingdom public library authorities. The full results of the study have been published as a British Library Research and Innovation Report<sup>1</sup>, and an abridged version has appeared in the journal Library Management<sup>ii</sup>. This paper, however, focuses on one particular element of the project: a questionnaire-based survey, conducted by the research team, which investigated the European information needs of public library users. This survey aimed to elicit information from members of the public on their past use of public libraries and other sources for obtaining European information; on the types of European information that they might wish to obtain; and on the reasons why they might want to use such information. The questionnaire (a copy of which can be found at Appendix 1) was tested on members of the project team's families and on non-academic members of the School of Information and Media's staff. It was felt important, in design of the questionnaire, that respondents should be able to complete it quickly and that the questions should be unambiguous. The questionnaire consisted in the main of closed questions but allowed respondents to express freely their prior experience of requiring European information. However, in predicting future need, a list of possible topics was presented in order to ensure that respondents considered a range of possibilities. Prior to the project the only research into European information need had been the annual Gallup surveys, commissioned by the Representation of the European Commission in the United Kingdom (see references): these surveys were considered in designing the present study.

For the exercise the School of Information and Media enlisted the help of three public library services - Aberdeen, Glasgow and Moray - who each distributed 150 questionnaires to library

users. Aberdeen gave out 50 from its Central Library's Reference Department, 50 from its Central Library's Business and Technical Department, and 50 from its busiest branch library; Glasgow gave out 50 from the Mitchell Library's Social Sciences Department, 50 from the Mitchell's Business Department, and 50 from one of its busiest branch libraries; while Moray gave out 100 from the Reference Department of its main library in Elgin (it has no separate Business Department), and 50 from one of its busier branch libraries<sup>1</sup>. As Aberdeen had not yet made the Public Information Relay service publicly available, the questionnaires distributed there did not include Question 3 ("Are you aware that the library is part of a network of public libraries providing European information?"). The libraries were asked if systematic sampling might be used, whereby every 10th user approaching the issue/enquiry desk would be given a questionnaire. However, it is appreciated that the library staff involved in the exercise will have had many other pressures on their time and that such a systematic approach may not always have been possible. The libraries were also asked if they could request that the users complete and return the questionnaires at the time of their distribution. This method proved successful, and in all but one distribution point a return rate of over 80% was obtained. Overall, 372 (i.e. 83%) of the 450 questionnaires were completed.

In common with all sample surveys, the results presented here are subject to margins of error. With this in mind, Table 1 provides the margins of error, for 95% confidence levels, based on the full sample size of 372. The table indicates the margin of error (plus or minus) associated with the sample size of 372 and with various percentage values. For example, a percentage value of 60% (or, of course, 40%) has a margin of error of + or - 5.0%. This indicates that the true value of the result (at the 95% confidence interval) lies within the range 55% and 65%.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The authors would like to express their thanks to the staff and users of the three participating library authorities.

Table 1: Margins of error for 95% confidence intervals

Sample Size	Percentage	Margin of
	Value	Error
372	50/50	± 5.1%
"	60/40	± 5.0%
"	70/30	± 4.6%
"	80/20	± 4.1%
"	90/10	± 3.0%

As Table 2 illustrates, the respondents were a good and representative sample of the population as a whole, with the 15-19 age group most poorly represented, particularly for males. This is unsurprising as the questionnaires were not disseminated in specialised 'youth' libraries and teenagers, particularly males, are a notoriously poor library user group. The results are interesting in that they display a very high incidence of users between 20 and 44 and a greater proportion of male users overall.

Table 2: Age and sex of respondents

	Males	Females	Not Specif.	Total
Under 15	-	1	-	1
15-19	9	23	-	32
20-29	47	62	-	109
30-44	64	34	2	100
45-54	42	20	2	64
55-64	21	10	-	31
65-74	16	10	1	27
75+	3	3	-	6
Not specified	-	=	2	2
Totals	202	163	7	372

Table 3, meanwhile, indicates the occupation of the respondents. It can be seen that the most significant category of user was that of people in paid employment or self-employed, although overall the group forms the minority (45%). A high proportion of the users were students (28%) or retired people (13%).

Table 3: Occupation of respondents

			Not	
'	Male	Female	Specif.	Total
In paid employment	82	49	2	133
Self employed	26	7	1	34
Seeking work	21	7	1	29
Retired	32	17	1	50
Running a home	1	16	-	17
Student	39	66	-	105
Not specified	1	1	2	4
Totals	202	163	7	372

#### Past efforts to obtain European information

The questionnaire firstly asked whether the respondents had ever tried to obtain information about the European Union, and in all 103 (28%) had actively sought European information in the past. While this was obviously a minority of the respondents, the figure was higher than those obtained in any of the three Gallup surveys of EU information needs in Britain (1993<sup>iii</sup>: 15%, 1994<sup>iv</sup>: 15%, 1995<sup>v</sup>: 18%) commissioned by the European Commission Representation in London. This might suggest that public library users are more active and informed users of information than the general public.

In the responses to this question, there were some marked differences between the various age groups. For example, of the respondents who were under 30 years of age, 29% had previously tried to obtain EU information; while less than 13% of those respondents aged 55 or over had done so. This suggests a greater interest in European information amongst younger users. Similar differences existed in the responses from the various occupational groups. While 30% of the respondents currently in employment had previously sought EU information, only 16% of the users who were retired or running a home, and 10% of the users who were currently unemployed, had done so. The most significant user group, however, was that of students, with 36% having previously looked for European information. This reflects the importance of EU-related topics in current curricula.

When the 103 users who had sought EU information were asked where they had gone to obtain this information, a number of very individual responses were obtained, ranging from the Department of Trade and Industry to the Internet. However, for the great majority (74) the public library had been their resource, with educational libraries (26) forming the only other significant category. Of course, as the survey was carried out in public libraries an element of bias must be acknowledged. Indeed, it is interesting to note that in a recent small street survey of 56 members of the Aberdeen public, carried out by an undergraduate student supervised by one of the authors, less than half of those respondents who had previously looked for European information had used their public library. Clearly, if unsurprisingly, current public library users view their public library as a natural source of EU information.

The 74 users who had previously looked to public libraries for obtaining EU information were then asked what types of information they had sought. As will be seen from Table 4, while employment opportunities was the most significant single category (sought by 12 users), this question received a high number of varied and highly individualistic responses, suggesting that it will be very difficult for libraries to predict the nature of information approaches that they will encounter, and implying that a wide range of information in terms of subject will be necessary within the European collection in order to fully respond to information needs. However, materials in support of business activities are likely to remain a significantly high proportion of approaches from this evidence.

Table 4: Types of EU information previously sought					
Employment/job opportunities	12				
Market and company information	8				
Business opportunities	7				
Grants and loans	6				
Economic and financial issues	5				
Legislation	5				
Citizens' rights	4				
Travel information	4				
Patent information	3				
Political information	3				
Statistics	3				
Environmental issues	2				
General information on EU's activities	2				
Social policy	2				
Census information	1				
Current affairs in other Member States	1				
Customs regulations	1				
Energy	1				
Information on individual Member States	1				
Information on Maastricht Treaty	1				
Languages	1				
Scientific and technical research	1				
Trade union information	1				
Not specified	5				

#### Public awareness of the Public Information Relay

As one of the obligations of PIR members is to publicise the existence of the Relay, by using a designated logo and through various local events, the 229 respondents in Glasgow and Moray (where the service had already been made publicly available) were then asked if they were aware that their library service was part of the Relay network. In each of the two library authorities, 75% of the respondents were unaware of this fact, which suggests that at the time of the survey the promotional message had not yet fully got across to users. For the 57 respondents that *were* aware of the Relay service, the most effective mechanism had been by means of publicity materials and displays (identified by 22 users), although a significant proportion (14 users) did not specify the source of their awareness.

#### **Predicted future European information needs**

The survey then went on to examine future EU information needs, and all of the respondents in the three authorities were asked to predict which topics, out of a list of 18, they might like to find out more about. Altogether, 92% of the respondents predicted a likely future need, indicating a much higher expectation of need than had been apparent from past usage. As Table 5 indicates, although employment opportunities was again the most popular response, the users displayed a wide range of interests.

Table 5: Predicted future EU information needs					
Employment/job opportunities in the EU	161	43%			
2. Citizens' rights in the EU	141	38%			
3= Education in the EU	105	28%			
3= General information on the EU's activities	105	28%			
5. EU grants and loans	100	27%			
6. EU legislation	95	26%			
7. Business opportunities in the EU	92	25%			
8. EU environmental issues	90	24%			
9. EU social policy/issues	84	23%			
10. EU economic and financial issues	83	22%			
11. Customs (duty free) regulations in the EU	62	17%			
12= Scientific and technical research in the EU	55	15%			
12= Transport in the EU	55	15%			
14. EU statistics	53	14%			
15. Farming, forestry and fishing in the EU	51	14%			
16. EU market and company information	48	13%			
17. European patents and standards	35	9%			
18. Energy in the EU	27	7%			

A number of other categories were also identified by individual users. These included: competition policy in the EU; the implications of an independent Scotland in Europe; languages; and travel information.

Again, on examining the responses to this question from the various age groups, some noticeable (and perhaps unsurprising) variances can be found. For example, amongst those users under 30 years of age and those aged 30-54, employment opportunities was the most frequently predicted topic (58% and 42% of respondents, respectively); while amongst those users aged 55 and above, a need for information on job opportunities was identified by just 13%. Instead, the older users regarded citizens' rights (48%) as the topic on which they would most likely require information. It was particularly interesting to note that just 5% of the users aged under 30 expressed no interest in any of the topics listed. This compares with 19% of the users aged 55 and above, and bears out the Gallup polls' findings that there is a

particular interest in being better informed about Europe amongst Britain's young adults (i.e. those aged 16-24, according to the Gallup definition).

Some occupational differences, too, can be identified. While employment opportunities was the most frequently identified topic by students (59%), jobseekers (52%) and those in paid employment (44%), self-employed respondents clearly felt other topics might be more relevant to their needs and ranked job opportunities third (at 35%) behind business opportunities (53%) and EU grants and loans (41%). Meanwhile, those respondents who were retired or were running a home felt that citizens' rights (45%) would potentially be the most useful topic. With regard to overall interest in European information, the level appeared to be lowest amongst the retired, with 22% expressing no interest in any of the topics listed. This compares with 12% of those respondents running a home, 10% of those seeking work, 9% of those self-employed, 7% of those in paid employment, and just 3% of the students.

In general, the responses to this question were remarkably similar in all three library authorities, with employment opportunities and citizens' rights rating highly in all cases. There were, however, one or two differences worthy of note, particularly between respondents in Glasgow and Moray. For example, while 33% (i.e. 'ranked' in 2nd place) of the users in Glasgow predicted business opportunities in the EU would be a potentially useful topic, only 15% (i.e. 'ranked' 11th) of Moray library users felt that this would be the case. In contrast, 25% (i.e. 'ranked' 7th) of the library users in the predominantly rural area served by Moray libraries felt that EU farming, forestry and fishing information would be valuable; while, unsurprisingly, only 7% (i.e. 'ranked' 18th) of respondents in the urban area of Glasgow expressed an interest in such topics. In each of these cases, the responses from Aberdeen library users lay roughly midway (i.e. 23% and 13%, respectively) between the two extremes.

While the overall figures displayed in Table 5 are relatively high, it is interesting to note that they are still decidedly lower than any of those gathered as part of the Gallup polls where, in 1995 for example, members of the general public predicted a future need for information about: what the EU is doing in their region (73%); employment opportunities (72%); the environment (69%); working conditions, and health and safety regulations (69%); equal opportunities (68%); education and languages (66%); professional standards and qualifications (63%); travel and customs duties (55%); and going to live in another EU country (53%). These are intriguing variances, particularly where direct comparisons can be

made, such as in employment opportunities and environmental information, and perhaps suggest that the different methods of data collection employed (the Gallup polls comprised face-to-face interviews with members of the public) was a highly influential factor.

Interestingly, the responses indicated in Table 5 also appear to contradict the PIR members' impressions of library users' EU information needs, which were obtained by means of a separate questionnaire-based survey as part of the British Library project. Given a list of 18 subjects identical to that appearing in the user survey questionnaire, the libraries indicated that the most frequently requested topics (i.e. asked for on at least a weekly basis in at least 30% of the responding authorities) were: (1) Statistics; (2) General information on the EU's activities; (3) Market and company information; (4) Grants and loans; (5) Business opportunities; and (6) Legislation. Therefore, while the libraries reported a high level of use of statistical and business-related information that might be used in a professional or commercial capacity, the library users' responses of employment, citizens' rights and educational information suggest more of an emphasis on the individual and on the private citizen.

#### Predicted reasons for wanting to obtain EU information

Finally, those users who indicated that they might want to obtain EU information in the future were asked why they might want the information, and the responses are summarised in Table 6. Additional reasons identified by individual respondents were 'religious reasons' and 'environmental activism and lobbying'.

Table 6: Predicted reasons for wanting to obtain EU
information

Educational/study reasons	168	49%
A general interest	147	43%
Work reasons	139	41%
Job-seeking reasons	113	33%
Recreational reasons	55	16%

Again there were some unsurprising differences in the responses of the various age and occupational groups. Those respondents aged under 30, and more specifically those that were students, identified educational reasons (80%) and job seeking reasons (53%) as the

most likely motives for seeking EU information; while respondents aged 30-54, particularly those currently in employment, felt a need would most probably arise because of work-related reasons (56%). Those respondents currently seeking work, meanwhile, believed that work-related reasons (62%) and jobseeking reasons (54%) would be their prime motives; while the retired respondents and those running a home indicated that they would most probably require EU information simply because of a general interest (85% and 47%, respectively).

#### **Conclusions**

To summarise, this user survey found that 28% of users had attempted to obtain European information in the past, and that 72% of these (i.e. 20% of all of the users surveyed) had used their public library to find the information sought. 92% of users predicted a likely future need for European information and displayed a wide range of subject interests. The findings would also support those of Gallup, that there is a particular interest in being well informed about Europe amongst young people, primarily in response to more Europe-aware educational curricula or in broadening job opportunities. These are all positive results which show a growing actual and predicted level of need for European information, and indicate, amongst public library users at least, an increasing awareness of the potential significance of EU information - facts which would appear to bode well for the future of the PIR. However, the user survey also demonstrates the significance to public library users of European information for educational (49%), business related (41%) and employment (33%) reasons. None of these are seen as lying within the remit of the Public Information Relay, which focuses rather on the provision of 'general citizen' information (sought by 43% of survey respondents). It was only amongst those respondents that were retired or running a home that citizens' rights information was the type of information that respondents predicted most likely to be sought in the future. The findings support the continuing wider view of the public library service in support of a range of economic, educational and social activities on the part of users, in all of which there are likely to be demands for materials providing a European perspective.

**References** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> Marcella, Rita, Baxter, Graeme, and Parker, Susan. *European Union information in public libraries in the United Kingdom*. London: British Library, 1996 [British Library Research

and Innovation Report no. 19]. Available from the British Thesis Service, British Library Document Supply Centre, Boston Spa, Wetherby, West Yorkshire, LS23 7BQ.

- <sup>ii</sup> Marcella, Rita, Baxter, Graeme, and Parker, Susan. The provision of European information by public libraries in the UK: results of a British Library Research and Innovation Centre funded research project. *Library Management*, 18(1), 1997, pp.7-41.
- European Commission. *Information deficit highlighted by EC survey*. [Press Release] London: European Commission, 10 November 1993.
- <sup>iv</sup> European Commission. *Increased call for information in EU survey*. [Press Release] London: European Commission, 10 November 1994.
- <sup>v</sup> European Commission. *Britain's youth pro-European and becoming more so.* [Press Release] London: European Commission, 9 November 1995.

#### APPENDIX 1: QUESTIONNAIRE - EU INFORMATION NEEDS USER SURVEY

### QUESTIONNAIRE EUROPEAN UNION INFORMATION IN PUBLIC LIBRARIES

As part of a project funded by the British Library, the Robert Gordon University in Aberdeen is currently investigating the provision of European information in public libraries. It would be appreciated if you could spare a few moments to answer the following questions.

1.	Have you ever tried to o	obtain informati	on about	the European Union?	
	YES□	NOl	<b>_</b>		
	If YES, where did	l you go to obta	in this int	formation? (Please provide details)	
2.	Have you ever tried to o	obtain Europear	ı informat	ion from a public library?	
	YES 🗖	NO	<b>_</b>		
	If YES, what kind	d of information	did you	try to obtain? (Please provide details)	
3.	Are you aware that Glas European information?  YES   If YES, how did y	NO	_	rt of a network of public libraries providing	
4.		subjects do you	ı feel you	might want to find out more about, either at the	e
	General information on the Customs (duty free) regule Employment/job opportuned ducation in the EU EU legislation EU social policy/issues Citizens' rights in the EU Eransport in the EU Energy in the EU EU environmental issues Farming, forestry and fish	ations in the EU	J 📮	EU economic and financial issues Business opportunities in the EU EU market and company information EU grants and loans Scientific and technical research in the EU European patents and standards EU statistics Other (please specify)	

Educational/st Work reasons Job-seeking re Recreational r	easons	_ _ _		neral interest (please specify)		
<b>6.</b> Why are you visitin	g the library today?	(Please ti	ck all r	elevant boxes)		
To read newsp To find somet To sit and stud	turn cassettes/CDs/vi papers/magazines thing out	deos		To see an exhibi To browse Other, (please sp		
7. Please provide some	details about yourse	elf:				
(a) Are you:	Male $\square$	Female				
(b) Are you:	Under 15 years of 15-19 20-29 30-44	of age		45-54 55-64 65-74 75 or over	_ _ _	
(c) Are you:	In paid employn Self employed Seeking work	nent		Retired Running a home Student		
If in	paid employment or	self empl	oyed, p	please specify your o	occupation:-	
	Thank you ver			ur cooperation		