The entrepreneur as hero and jester; enacting the entrepreneurial discourse

Alistair R Anderson *(corresponding author)*

Centre for Entrepreneurship
Aberdeen Business School
The Robert Gordon University
Kaim House
Garthdee Road
Aberdeen
AB10 7QE

Tel: +44 (0)1224 263883
Email: a.r.anderson@rgu.ac.uk

Lorraine Warren

School of Management
University of Southampton
Highfield campus
Southampton
SO17 1BJ
UK

Tel: 44 (0) 2380 598972
Email: l.warren@soton.ac.uk

The entrepreneur as hero and jester; enacting the entrepreneurial discourse

Abstract

Employing a social construction perspective, we argue that entrepreneurs are uniquely empowered by entrepreneurial discourse to bring about creative destruction. Analysis of the representation of entrepreneurship in the media suggests that entrepreneurs have a distinctive presence in society that is shaped by cultural norms and expectations. These images create and present an entrepreneurial identity. Yet identity has two facets; the general, identified as "what" but also a distinctive individual identity as "who". We explore the identity play of one flamboyant entrepreneur, Michael O'Leary, to show how he deploys the rhetoric and rationality of the entrepreneurial discourse but shapes it through emotional games to establish his unique entrepreneurial identity. We find that strong evidence that entrepreneurs are cultural stereotypical and that this is amplified by the press. But we also found how O'Leary employed this typification to engage with the rational and the emotional. We explain how this is used for strategic advantage.

Keywords: entrepreneur; discourse; narrative; entrepreneurial identity; media; creative destruction

Introduction

This paper examines how entrepreneurship discourse is employed to produce entrepreneurial advantage. We consider the narratives and exploits of a colourful entrepreneurial airline entrepreneur, Michael O'Leary, to see how he uses entrepreneurial identity to create competitive advantage. Our theoretical framework is the socially constructed parallel, but potentially contradictory, concepts of identity; the social identity as an entrepreneur coupled with O'Leary's personal identity, which we see as a very personalised enactment of self. Identity can be seen as about sameness to others; yet identity is also about distinctiveness, the ways that we can "identify" someone as unique and hence different and distinctively self. As Watson (2009:426) suggests, "the notion of identity has enormous potential as a bridging concept between individual agency, choice and creation of self, on the one hand, and history, culture and social shaping of identities on the other". In this way we are able to show how O'Leary's clowning and jesting is not simply paradoxical with his identity as a successful airline entrepreneur, but how it emotionally engages with the rational appeal of the entrepreneurial discourse. Rindova et al (2006:51) puts this well, "celebrity is an intangible asset of the firm. How a firm may benefit from differential levels of public attention and positive emotional responses is a question that has not been widely considered". Moreover, we see a contribution in how this identity play adds to our understanding of the socially situated entrepreneurial actor.

The paper is located in what Cope (2005) describes as the growing interest in interpretative approaches to entrepreneurship, reflecting the appreciation that entrepreneurs can be understood better in their social milieu (Drakopoulou Dodd and Anderson, 2007). In this milieu social networking (Neergard, 2005; Shaw, 2006; Jack et al, 2008) and social capital (Bowey and Easton, 2007; Anderson et al, 2007; Cope et al, 2007) all try to conceptually locate the entrepreneur in their social context. One element in the burgeoning interest is the socialised meanings of entrepreneurship (Thorpe et al, 2006; Anderson, 2005). Methodologically, social construction has proved useful in explaining how meanings (Fletcher, 2006) inform what we understand to be entrepreneurship. Thus, metaphor

(Anderson et al, 2009; Drakopoulou Dodd and de Koning, 2002), narratives (Johansson, 2004; Smith and Anderson, 2004) and discourse (Ogbor, 2000; Anderson and Smith, 2007) have been employed in exploring the social constructions of entrepreneurship. A development has been the examination of how the press has engaged with reproducing the entrepreneurial discourse (Radu and Redien-Collet, 2008). This paper employs similar methodology to examine entrepreneurial identity work played out in the media. In this context, identity is particularly interesting because it reflects entrepreneurial meanings and as Hermans (2004:305) explains, media functions as "machineries of meaning".

The paper addresses what Down and Reveley (2004, p. 236) describe as the underdeveloped research topic, "the social formation of the entrepreneurial self". Employing social construction, we explore the production of an entrepreneurial identity. We juxtapose two different levels of analysis, the collective entrepreneurial identity and individual identity, through the study of the practices of the flamboyant entrepreneur, Michael O'Leary, CEO of Ryanair. Our argument is that there is a "social" identity of entrepreneurs which reflects the enterprise discourse. Atherton (2004) notes how representations of entrepreneurs tend to be stereotypes and caricatured, but Goffman (1959) suggests they become institutionalised as an abstract stereotype. This then is a cultural identity attributed to those who enterprise. But there is also an individual identity which is about difference; how we know an entrepreneur as a unique individual. As Paul Ricoeur's (1992) philosophy argues, identity has two aspects: *ipseite* and *memete*: sameness and selfhood. Newspapers seem to play an important role in the production, even the combining, of both elements. Hannerz (1992) talks about the linking of culture and self. Rindova (2006) found considerable evidence that mass media play a powerful role in directing the public's attention toward particular actors, whilst Hermans (2002) argues that some individuals are more easily heard. Moreover, by increasing the attractiveness of their news reports to readers, journalists create dramatised representations of these individuals. Boyle (2008) argues that this is a cultural shift in the media to place business and business people more central stage. In so doing, they "find" strong figures such as O'Leary. Guthey et al (2008) go further and argue that such figures are not "self-made men", but are made by media exposure.

Consequently, the first part of the paper examines newspaper coverage of entrepreneurs. We find that O'Leary has a very strong presence, appearing some three times a day. Moreover, the newspaper narratives reflect what entrepreneurs are expected to do, the social identity. But interestingly the reportage also presents a very individual identity of O'Leary; one which presents narratives of a rough tongued brawler who is the people's champion. Our sociological analysis of this data shows how O'Leary engages emotionally by his clowning, yet concomitantly produces a rational appeal. On this basis we argue that this strong entrepreneurial identity raises the profile of O'Leary's business and in turn, produces competitive advantage.

A social construction approach helps us to explore the paradox of identities; sameness and otherness. As socially constructed, any entrepreneurial 'identity' is the outcome of active perceptual constructions (Handley et al 2006; Berger and Luckman, 1966), an ongoing project of construction (Lash, 1999). According to Somers (1994), people construct identities through a repertoire of interlinked, but partial, fragmentary and sometimes contradictory narratives over time. For Holland *et al* (1998), this is not about experiencing scripted positions, but engaging with cultural worlds as knowledgeable and committed participants. This engagement is important because being identified as 'entrepreneurial' enables specific forms of actions; it acts as a licence to challenge the status quo and bring about entrepreneurial change. Thus, the construction of a convincing entrepreneurial identity may have strategic advantages. We demonstrate how social construction has explanatory value beyond an abstract conceptualisation of meaning; it helps to explain what entrepreneurs are expected to do. Our analysis shows the role expectations that exist in the macro of

entrepreneurial discourse, and how these are agentially enacted at the micro level of practices. At a practical level we note how discourse becomes ideologically empowering and how this can be used as a strategic and marketing tool. Moreover, we see how the explanatory power of Schumpeter's creative destruction can apply beyond new products or services displacing the old. Creative destruction, as a purposeful revolutionary process, can also explain the deployment of narrative in the entrepreneurial unsettling of the stability of established practices.

This theoretical framework accords entrepreneurial agents their due (Downing, 2005), but also allows us to recognise that the social structure, and entrepreneurs' relationships with that structure, its meanings, norms, beliefs and values, are an intrinsic part of the entrepreneurial process (Jack and Anderson, 2002; Drakopoulou-Dodd and Anderson, 2007). In this way we can avoid the problems of methodological individualism where too much explanatory power is attributed to an entrepreneurial agent at the cost of underestimating the constraints (and opportunities) of structure (Elster, 1989). Moreover, we can also avoid the pitfalls of the "over-socialised" entrepreneur (Granovetter, 1985) where structure or society is given theoretical priority.

Social constructions, discourse and entrepreneurial identity

Radu and Redien-Collot (2008) explain how social representations such as press reports, are the result of cognitive constructions of reality. These transform social objects, such as people, contexts and situations into symbolic categories of values, beliefs and ideologies. Social constructions are thus underpinned by the powerful influences that pervade our political and social culture. Such discourses become relevant when they produce meanings that are widely accepted (Ogbor, 2000). The 'enterprise culture' is an example. Since the economic turbulence of the 1980s, the enterprise discourse has emerged as a powerful meta-narrative of the free market capitalist system (Ogbor, 2000; Doolin, 2002). Indeed, Lewis and Llewellyn (2004) suggest that the enterprise culture is a moral crusade that validates the power and capacities of individual entrepreneurs to change institutions and organisations. Nonetheless, the idea of an all embracing culture remains problematic (Drakopoulou-Dodd and Anderson, 2001). Atherton (2004) argues that representations influence and shape our views of the world. How people, events and phenomena are presented informs and reflects shared values and views; but these representations are not objective or factual, they are "values-driven".

Consequently, entrepreneurial meanings are not free floating (Nicholson and Anderson, 2005), but are anchored in a modernist project that somehow tomorrow will be entrepreneurially made better than today. In this discourse, the entrepreneur emerges as a 'new cultural hero of the Western world' (Carr and Beaver, 2002; Ogbor, 2000). Lustick and Miodownik (2002) suggest that the processes institutionalising discourse can produce collective identities that take on an aspect of immutability, thus reflecting stereotypification. Boyle and Magor (2008) argue that this process helps legitimise entrepreneurship. Media stories and representations are inevitably an influential part of that cultural discursive milieu, shaping, reinforcing and legitimising a stereotypical entrepreneurial identity, something that is 'like an entrepreneur' in the public imagination. This heroic entrepreneur emerges with attractive sets of characteristics that mirror the discourse, albeit sometimes contested (Drakopoulou-Dodd and de Koning; 2004).

Tourish and Vatcha (2005) suggest that organisations can be viewed as narrative spaces, but Downing (2005) points out that identity and power can be manipulated through discursive processes. As Down (2006) puts it, identity is a mutable achievement in time, space through relationships with others. Following the epistemological foundations laid by Steyaert and Bouwen (1997), narrative resources have been used to illuminate the processes of entrepreneurial self-identity formation. Discourse, for us, is how social actors articulate their

meanings (Hytti, 2000). Discourse is beyond any one individual and is a mode of action as well as a mode of representation (Fairclough, 1992). Foucault (1972) described how discourses produce patterns of meanings, but also construct a version of reality embodying ideology (Cohen and Musson, 2000). At root, we thus argue, that the entrepreneurial discourse has become a legitimising frame of entrepreneurial meaning. Cohen and Musson (2000), Mallon and Cohen (2001) and Warren (2004) have utilised the 'discourse of enterprise' to examine the relation of entrepreneurial identity to the wider environment. Lounsbury and Glynn (2001) and Downing (2005) link self-identity and organizational identity formulation Moreover, Drakopoulou-Dodd and de Koning (2002, 2004) and Nicholson and Anderson (2005) provide convincing evidence that media texts emphasise the entrepreneur as a mythical or heroic figure valorized to effect economic betterment for all. As such, this discourse produces the entrepreneur as an ideal type (Shutz, 1962), a caricature or stereotype that reflects a social constructed reality. The discourses are based on 'commonly accepted definitions' (Blumer, 1962), or public and cultural narratives (Somers, 1994), or a scripted role (Goffman, 1959; Anderson, 2005). Thus, in the public imagination, by and large, an entrepreneur is a good thing to be, an exciting collective identity for the individual to aspire to become (Down and Warren, 2008).

Hjorth & Johannisson (2003) see entrepreneurship as an enacted collective identity often portrayed as the individualised practice of singular individuals. Hence entrepreneurial practices are a rich medium to explore identity (Hytti, 2000). Like entrepreneurship itself, identity is mundane, extraordinary and paradoxical. Firstly, identity incorporates two parallel but contradictory concepts, sameness and difference. Identity, as in "identical", or identifying with, is about sameness; yet identity is also about distinctiveness, the ways that we can "identify" someone as unique and hence different. However, identity is a contested concept in the literature (Jenkins, 1996; Bauman, 2004), but there is an emerging consensus across disciplines that identity is constituted through interaction between the individual, society and culture. Giddens (1991) sees identity as a process of becoming, where narratives of the self are negotiated and re-crafted over time, through and within the sense-making systems of the surrounding cultural milieu that delineate sameness and difference (Jenkins, 1996). From this perspective, we argue that identity is related to social and cultural forms, but is not predetermined by them (Goffman, 1959; Holland et al., 1998; Lash, 1999; Creed et al., 2002). Goffman (1959) was influential in developing this line of thought, placing an emphasis on roles in shaping identity. He argues that roles become institutionalized sets of social expectations, with stereotypes emerging as a more fixed form of meaning and stability. Thus identity, in this light, is a product of internal-external dialectic (Jenkins, 1996), the self is an ongoing synthesis of self definition and external definition by others (Mead, 1934; Cooley, 1962). Symbolic interactionists explain this process by placing emphasis on *roles* in shaping identity, expectations for behaviour and obligations to other actors (Merton, 1957). Goffman (1959) calls these ideal typifications; social fronts, which become institutionalised as an abstract stereotyped expectation which takes "on meaning and stability apart from the specific tasks which happen at the time to be performed in its name" (1959, p. 37). As Atherton (2004, p. 122) notes, *"representations of entrepreneurs, and hence of entrepreneurship, tend to be stereotypes and caricatured"*. It seems then that enterprise rhetoric privileges entrepreneurs as change masters to challenge the power of established elites; to be the architects of Schumpeterian creative destruction.

Entrepreneurs in the media

We have argued an entrepreneurial identity has two elements, identity as "what", the categorical identity; and personal identity, "who", which serves to differentiate one from the other. Identity thus provides a means for calling up similarities and for social negotiation in delineating difference (Jenkins, 1996). The process can be understood as the juxtapositioning of culturally available meanings and an enactment of these meanings. Clegg (1989, p. 151) captures this rather well, "*Identity is seen as always in process, as always subject to*

reproduction or transformation through discursive practices which secure or refuse particular posited identity." The press plays an important role in discourse, the daily records of entrepreneurial endeavour not only reflect, but shape society's attitudes, understandings of, and interests in the entrepreneurial phenomenon. Hall (1980) suggests that although journalists typically present a news account as an 'objective', 'impartial' translation of reality, this can be understood as an ideological construction of contending truth claims about reality. It seems reasonable to argue that press accounts, although inevitably caught in the double hermeneutic of our interpretation of journalist's interpretation, do present a socially constructed version of what it means to be entrepreneurial. This public arena thus offers scope to capture any interplay between identification and identity; and to reflect on processes, to inform us of what might be going on here.

Airline entrepreneurs offer an interesting example of identity work through discourse and narrative. Grint (2000) reports how Richard Branson wore a leather flying helmet for Virgin Atlantic's maiden flight; dressed as Peter Pan for the inaugural flight to Miami and dressed as a pirate for the first departure from Heathrow. Indeed, much of the narrative history of Branson was, like Michael O'Leary, enacting a colourful role and challenging the establishment. Grint also talks about Freddie Laker, another pioneering airline operator (2000:14) and describes how he "learned to speak in headlines and would do whatever was necessary to get into the newspapers or on television". Thus our choice of Michael O'Leary seems to offer a suitable entrepreneurial subject and our "data" of press reports appear justified.

METHODS, MISTAKES AND EMERGING CONSTRUCTS

Michael O'Leary is well recognised as an entrepreneur by the press, he has legitimised his epithet by entrepreneurial actions. As CEO of the low-cost airline Ryanair, he is credited with the dramatic turnaround of the company (Calder, 2003; Lawton, 2000). In 1991, O'Leary reorganised Ryanair as a low-cost 'no frills' operation. By the end of 2003, Ryanair had progressed from being a loss-making regional carrier to Europe's 8th largest airline with profit margins (over 20%) that are without precedent for European airlines (DG TREN, 2003). O'Leary's personal fortunes have prospered alongside the company, which was established by the Ryan family, hence 'Ryanair'. Although O'Leary did not found Ryanair, he has a major shareholding worth in excess of £250m. We studied newspaper reports to examine presentations of Michael O'Leary's entrepreneurial identity. Our objectives were fourfold. First, to establish if entrepreneurs have a newspaper presence; second to see if we could determine what entrepreneurial roles were played out; and thirdly, to try to ascertain what was going on, and why. Finally we hoped to develop some way of conceptualising relationships between discourse and identity to provide an explanatory account.

Overview

We looked at newspaper articles published between January 1, 2001 and 31 January, 2005 using Lexis-Nexis Professional. The Irish Times archives were searched separately. Our first trawl was a straight count of the number of articles mentioning O'Leary in comparison with other entrepreneurial figures. Table 1 shows that this approach yielded 4213 articles.

Insert table 1 here please

Remarkably, Michael O'Leary, on average, appeared in the press three times a day, establishing that he had a strong press presence. We also looked at two other airline entrepreneurs, Richard Branson and Stelios Haji Ioannou. They too had a strong newspaper presence, Ioannou appearing about twice a day and Branson almost ten times each day. James Dyson, the famous inventor, appeared less than twice a day. In table 2 we looked only at the

national UK press to establish national presence. A very similar pattern emerged, but with Dyson presented considerably less often. This seems to indicate that airline entrepreneurs are considered newsworthy and that both Branson and O'Leary were national figures.

Insert table 2 here please

Given that Michael O'Leary is well recognised as Irish and a "character", we looked at the serious Irish press, the Irish Times, to gauge frequency in the purely Irish context. Table 3 confirms O'Leary's significant Irish presence, appearing six times more often than Branson and some thirty three times more often than Ioannou.

Insert table 3 here please

This suggests that if frequency is important, something interesting was going on. Goffman (1959) talks about how in the dramatic realization of roles, the individual typically infuses his activity with signs that dramatically highlight and portray confirmatory facts. If done well, notes Goffman, these exemplary practitioners "become famous and are given a special place in the commercially organized fantasies of the nation" (1959, p. 41). This seemed to be the case for the flamboyant Michael O'Leary.

Problems

Our original method was to individually scan the material to discern descriptive themes. Three jointly agreed themes readily emerged from the data:

1. The *rational manager*, at home with facts, figures and rational analyses;
2. The *entrepreneur*, leading the company by introducing new routes and new flight innovations, such as the proposal of in-flight gambling;
3. The *challenger of bureaucracy*, leading other airlines from the front in challenging governments, industry agencies and supra-national regulatory bodies such as the EU.

These seemed to fit well with a framework of entrepreneurial identity. The rational manager, for example, indicated the enactment of superior business skills; the entrepreneur as doing things differently and better; and the challenger of bureaucracy seemed to encapsulate the stereotype of challenges as enterprising; all echoed the discourse of the stereotypical entrepreneur. However, when we tried to fit examples into the themes, we disagreed about which fitted into each category. Moreover, many of the articles contained contradictions and overlaps, so that a convincing categorisation proved difficult and we lost confidence in the validity and reliability of this analysis. We had found a multifaceted, contradictory bricolage of style, content and processes including:

- Entrepreneurial business pronouncements typical of a high profile CEO of a fast-growing innovative company;
- Incisive analyses of complex legal and financial situations;
- High profile media 'stunts' often aimed at competitors, such as turning up in a military tank at Luton airport to jest with low-cost competitor Easyjet; but also-
- Vituperative, highly personalised, long running feuds with powerful figures such as Bertie Ahern; but oddly, also an enthusiasm for aggressively confronting Ryanair's customers.
- A willingness to actively engage the public in his jests.
- A verbal style peppered not only with humour, sometimes backed up by scathing (yet comical) cartoon attacks on individuals, but often couched in profane and uncouth language.

Nonetheless, we were convinced that identity was presented in the data and that we were simply analysing it badly. We saw a complex figure emerging from the data, someone rough, sharp and aggressive, but a man of the people, locking into their ordinary everyday concerns about air travel, cost and convenience but engaging through humour and straight-talk. O'Leary is a charismatic man of action, ready and willing to battle to get cheap air fares for the masses. Yet one who knows the routine business of Ryanair down to the last penny; a man who taunts, teases and jests, using playground humour to lampoon and subvert authority by drawing opponents into battles at a time and place of his choosing. An entrepreneurial identity indeed, and one informed by the collective discourse, but with diverse, contradictory and distinctively individual playful elements. As Barbara Cassani, the founder of low-cost airline Go, (Calder, 2003, p. 96) had noted:

"It's interesting that Michael O'Leary has this image as a rough-and-tumble profane Irish farm boy. He's a trained accountant who went to one of the finest universities in Ireland".

The incongruity identified by Cassani gave us the clue we needed. O'Leary's identity is complex and his presentations of self reflected that complexity. Our attempt to categorise by the content of *what* he presented was flawed because of the intrinsic ambiguity, what matters was *how* he projected; in what ways and how did he manage the paradoxical roles. After some trial and error, we shifted from descriptive categories to conceptually richer units for analysis. Two 'mechanisms' emerged from the data, his appeal to the rational and his appeal to the emotional. By presenting himself in these two modes, sometimes simultaneously, he mobilises essences of the discourse to be identified *with* the rational entrepreneur, yet also employs emotional appeal to identify himself *as* a particular individualistic entrepreneur, by using the aesthetic appeal of humour, jest and clowning. Unfortunately this more abstract categorisation does not lend itself to simple tabulation or counts. Instead we present our analysis in examples explaining our reasoning and showing how O'Leary mobilises discourse in his identification of self.

Method

Our method, a sociological analysis (Ruiz, 2009), is a type of discourse analysis. Discourse analysis is heterogeneous with a multiplicity of models of analysis and endless possibilities in the study of discourses (Alonso and Hyde, 2002). But the point of departure is almost always the manifestation of some characteristics which play an explanatory role in the text. Despite the bewildering range of methods for discourse analysis, there are some fundamentals across approaches. Some forms take the discourse (or text) as the *object* of study, for example content analysis. In this textual analysis, which is rooted in a positivistic tradition, what is being said is the focus. But more interpretative approaches, seeking explanatory power, argue that this is only a preliminary form and that we should use discourse as the *subject* of study. So questions about what the discourse does, lead us towards understanding the discourse. Consequently, this type of analysis, a sociological discourse analysis, sees discourse as informational or even ideological and as a social product. The analysis directs us towards an interpretation of what is implied and invoked by the discourse.

Our analysis works iteratively across these three levels. Our first level, the textual analysis, is concerned with the object in the newspaper articles, the entrepreneurs; how many, how often. This allows us to establish that the airline entrepreneurs have a significant presence, and are presented as a reality in the press. The second level is more interpretative and treats the discourse itself as the subject. This is because discourse not only reflects meanings; it is an act as well as being an object. Language both mediates and constructs our understanding of reality and identity (Watson, 2009). Moreover, it is intentionally used to accomplish some personal, social, political or business project. Here we are interested in meanings, what meanings are constructed and how are they constructed. This then is contextual analysis; our interpreting what is said to why and how it is said and how it is socially situated. This second

level has greater conceptual purchase because we are primarily interested in inter subjectivity. In this case we want to know how the socially produced identity as an entrepreneur engages with the idiosyncratic and individualist identity of O'Leary and to what end. Moreover, we want to investigate how this is accomplished. It is also inter subjective in that our role of researchers engages with these discourses, we interpret them in our subjective way. But inter-subjectivity extends even further in that, to have meaning, a text has to be read or seen. In this way meanings are thus coproduced by the speaker and the listener and importantly this dialogue of meanings is itself socially situated. This inter-subjectivity is important because all discourses, texts and the like, not only use, or represent, socially constructed meaning but are involved in their creation.

Thus, to put this in another way, we are taking the content of the texts as our problematic. This is, of course, very different from content analysis where the text is the unit for analysis. We problematicise this by asking what is going on here? Meanings are not taken for granted, but questioned, so that our overarching enquiry is how are these meanings produced and used. We ask what is the logic and what is the rhetoric in this discourse; how are we persuaded; and how are we impressed? Underpinned by the assumption that discourse has an intentional dimension, we enquire about the strategies that are employed to realise intentions.

Solutions

We decided to first focus on one example; probably O'Leary's most significant battlegrounds over the last 5 years, his feud with Bertie Ahern, the Irish Prime Minister (or Taoiseach) over the break-up of Aer Rianta and the second terminal at Dublin airport. This was particularly useful on several counts: the subject matter was Irish, thus reflecting our finding about O'Leary's strong Irish presence and the topic had a distinctive national narrative theme; it was relatively contained; and, significantly, both O'Leary and Ahern as characters, are almost ideal typifications of entrepreneur and politician. Figure 1 presents some key features of the entrepreneurial discourse and provides us with a guide to the nature of an identity, especially in contrast to bureaucracy. Moreover, the articles contained some of the most lively and vivid interchanges between O'Leary and others. These 153 articles are characterised in Table 4, showing the mix of reportage.

Insert table 4 here please

Michael O'Leary and Bertie Ahern: an entrepreneurial identity in action

Michael O'Leary of Ryanair is an 'exemplary practitioner' in the Goffman mould. He has earned acclaim as a skilled entrepreneur, winning the CNBC Entrepreneur of the Year award in 2005 and features in the Irish Business Press, as a 'Father of Entrepreneurship'. But Bertie Ahern is a formidable opponent; elected Prime Minister and influential in EU affairs. A recognised statesman, winning the European Statesman of the Year in 2004, when in the same poll, O'Leary won European Businessman of the Year. Their feud originated in the Dublin Baggage Handlers strike in 1998, a bitter dispute where Ahern famously accused O'Leary of *'tooth-and-claw capitalism'* (Observer, 16th June 2002). Ahern introduced a parliamentary bill in 2004 to break up Aer Rianta, the state owned monopoly that ran the 3 major Irish airports. The bill, eventually successful, was supported by Ryanair, but Ahern did not move at the speed O'Leary wanted and animosity flared up. Alongside this debate was a heated exchange about a new terminal at the notoriously overcrowded Dublin airport: who owns it, builds it, where exactly is it to be built, who uses it and when. From these data, we show how O'Leary enlists and manipulates the power of an entrepreneurial identity; how he sets himself up as the heroic entrepreneur, employing a rhetoric that resonates with enterprise and how he sets up Ahern as anti-entrepreneurial. In so doing, O'Leary ignores alternative views, sometimes even logic! For example:

"With a low-cost second terminal in place, Ryanair has confirmed it will base another 10 aircraft here in Dublin, open up 20 new low-fare routes to Europe, guarantee an additional five million passengers a year, and this will create 5,000 new jobs here at Dublin Airport as well as over 25,000 spin-off jobs in the wider tourism industry in Ireland." The Sunday Tribune, June 13, 2004

The statement by O'Leary is obviously one of entrepreneurial promise but with entrepreneurial conditions strongly attached. *"If a low cost terminal is built…"* suggests that a) it has to be low cost, a reflection of Ryanair's business model and only suited budget airlines; b) but if you do it my way, as an entrepreneur I will create 30,000 new jobs. So the presentation here is one of entrepreneurially wrought value generation with the implication, assertion even, that only he as an entrepreneur could make this work in this way. It is a bold entrepreneurial statement, strongly founded in the discourse about the generation of values. It emphasises O'Leary as the entrepreneur who will make this happen.

"As the largest airline in Ireland, we are deeply concerned at the Government leaks over the weekend which suggest that the Dublin Airport Authority will be allowed to build a second terminal.....Competition has already proven effective in forcing improved services and lower prices out of other State monopolies such as the ESB [Electricity Supply Board]*, Eircom and indeed Aer Lingus. Two terminals run by the DAA* [Dublin Airport Authority] *will not be competition."* The Irish Times, October 22nd, 2005.

Beginning with a statement about how well Ryanair has done in the past, this polemic draws heavily on the danger of monopoly. In particular, it emphasises Ryanair's record compared to state run enterprises. Here we see O'Leary carefully selecting his ground to challenge; the logics of scale and the obvious advantage of the entire airport being run by one organisation are ignored. He completely shifts the argument to one based on how well he has done in running an airline, overlooking the significant issue that this is a terminal and not an airline. It's as if he is saying, "look at what I have already done for you as an entrepreneur, so let me do more". This presentation of entrepreneurial achievement is O'Leary associating himself, identifying with, the entrepreneurial discourse. He subtly calls up his entrepreneurial identity to strategically shift the debate into his own chosen grounds.

In Figure 1 we see the contours of entrepreneurial identification based on Hendry (2004) and, in contrast with, Grey's (2004) of contra-entrepreneurial indicators. This presents a template for recognising the entrepreneurial qualities presented by O'Leary and the qualities he vilifies in Ahern. In the following quotes, see how O'Leary shifts the debate to present Ahern as contra-entrepreneurial and himself as enterprising.

Insert Figure 1 about here please

"In the first half of next year Ryanair will open two new bases in Rome and Barcelona. Why does Ireland continue to mismanage its airport policy so that it forces all of this rapid traffic growth to other lower cost airports in Continental Europe? Why are Ireland's airports so uncompetitive? Isn't it time for change?" Irish Times, October 22, 2005

Here again Ryanair is identified as doing well, acting entrepreneurially and thus helping Rome and Barcelona. In this way O'Leary is mobilising the entrepreneurial discourse. But he also draws our attention to Ireland where the government is presented as resisting entrepreneurial change and by implication identified with the contra-entrepreneurial list.

"Ryanair, Ireland's largest airline, has not been consulted on the location, design or cost of these facilities. It is ridiculous that the second terminal and other planned facilities will cost €1.2billion -which must be funded by passengers. There is a better way. Allow the private

sector to build a competing terminal. Charges would not rise for the next four years, if at all. The Dublin Airport Authority would respond by lowering charges in advance of some much-needed competition, just as Aer Lingus did when Ryanair first entered the market". Sunday Times, September 25th, 2005

This is another appeal to let the entrepreneurial O'Leary get to work. Passengers have to pay dearly for bureaucratic management. Later, he begins to develop themes of risk bearing and initiative linking unimaginative management to disadvantages for passengers.

"If the DAA is to proceed with a second terminal at Dublin, then force it to fund the project from its own resources instead of gouging hard-pressed passengers. ...Only in "Bertie's Blunderland" are passengers faced with queuing to get into Ireland's main airport, as well as to get out of it....

Here we see a shift from the rationality of entrepreneurial action into a more humorous mode with emotional appeal, "*Bertie's Blunderland, queuing to get in and out",* this seems to ridicule Ahern, but is entertainingly portrayed.

"Passengers would have a choice of airlines, terminal facilities and car parks. Competition would have delivered these facilities by 2006, reduced costs to passengers, and improved services. Competition works. Ryanair works. Ahern's transport policy clearly doesn't. From the M50, where the cars don't fit, to the port tunnel, where trucks don't fit, to Dublin airport, where sadly nothing fits, this government has repeatedly failed the Irish people. We have a first-rate workforce suffering a Third World transport system. Unless we get rid of these clowns and end the protected civil-service monopolies in the transport sector, then we will be doomed to long queues and higher costs for many years to come" Sunday Times, September 25, 2005

Again entrepreneurial rhetoric is employed, holding up Ryanair as an example of competitive excellence, but he turns much nastier. His aggressive language denigrates the lack of commercial ability; he castigates his opponents as nameless 'protected civil service authorities' that have to be got rid of, but he presents his arguments as an entrepreneurial spokesman for the people. These are strong words, making much of bureaucratic failures; inflexible and lacking competition, especially Ryanair's entrepreneurial touch. O'Leary's statements are couched in the terms of entrepreneurial rhetoric and identify O'Leary as the entrepreneur who will get things done- enactment. These resonate with the discourse and make a rational appeal. It also presents his case as the creative destructor, attempting to destroy the old and create the new.

But O'Leary does not rely on rationality in his role enactment, he enthusiastically shifts into a singularly personalised entertaining identity with emotional appeal. We found him involved in media 'stunts' to embarrass Ahern, such as being photographed with a giant copy of his tax cheque; humorous advertising campaigns that tease and hold Ahern up to ridicule; engaging the public in his jests, such as offering free tickets for emailing Bertie Ahern with requests to keep his promises, often peppered with profane language. Goss (2005) suggests that entrepreneurs shortcut to the emotional: to engage with, or become a follower of, an innovative, unconventional leader is to gain the 'emotional energy' of entrepreneurship vicariously through processes of identification. Goss points out that such entrepreneurs are exciting to those who live within the constraints of social convention. This is emotional contagion, an exhilaration of associating with a prime-mover. According to Goss, momentum is thus created for new combinations to be embedded in social practice.

We argue that O'Leary's distinctive self-identity play, his jesting and bullying, shifts debate to unexpected ground. He pulls the rug out from opponents, decentring and repositioning debates. His identity play discomfits his opponents, and, we argue, is creatively destructive.

The Ahern debates resonate with the collective understanding of the heroic entrepreneur. Yet threaded through the rational rhetoric are themes of clowning, lampooning, jesting and bullying that suggest that O'Leary's particular bricolage is distinctive indeed (Lash, 1999). These themes are not separate identity categories; they intertwine with the identities of being entrepreneurial and being the entrepreneurial self.

Ahern has been the subject of a number of cartoon campaigns by Ryanair, notably the 'Bertie the Builder' campaign, which lampooned Ahern as a failed building project manager of Terminal 2. This was O'Leary's play as a *jester*. Boje and Smith (2005) argue that Bakhtin's (1973) carnivalesque, where the hero turns into a jester, is to be expected in entrepreneurial identity. Entrepreneurs are ambivalent caricatures and the freedom of the clown's cap allots licence. The jester is "a universal character, more or less interchangeable regardless of the time or culture in which he happens to cavort – the same techniques, the same functions, the same license" (Otto, 2001, p. xvi). Thus, jestering offers wit and insight across cultures and can then therefore be employed to challenge in new ways. Moreover, Oswick et al (2002) note the power of the jester's toolset, tropes that privilege dissimilarity, acting to suggest ground-breaking change and decentring of conventional identities and meanings. In adopting his jester-ish mask, through the subversive potential of laughter (Kuschel, 1994), O'Leary takes a distinctive turn in his identity play. Through clowning, he engages and sustains public interest in debates which are central to the growth of Ryanair, but might normally be of marginal interest to the public, thereby enacting emotional enlistment (Goss, 2005). The rationalist project of economic betterment, the heart of the collective understanding of entrepreneurship, remains central, but the debate is decentred and subverted by humour to the discomfiture of his opponents and competitors. We see this humour in advertising as Ryanair employs cheeky, "end-of-the-pier" fun in its advertisements. But this goes beyond a quick laugh, it can also be an attempt to draw out an opponent into seemingly playful battles – with a hard commercial edge - in the media. These advertisements throw down a gauntlet and use entrepreneurial identity to make play, to create a theatrical presentation of what was in many ways a somewhat dry legal comparison of fares, times and conditions of local flights, again a process of enlistment. The example below, a 'knock British Airways (BA)' campaign (Calder, 2003) shows precisely how this jesting, mocking, juxtaposes tomfoolery and logic.

'EXPENSIVE BA----DS' was the strapline of a 1999 Ryanair advertisement in the London Evening Standard. BA were accused of greed, claiming that travellers would save by flying Ryanair. BA complained to the Advertising Standards Authority (ASA) that the headline was 'likely to cause serious or widespread offence'. The ASA upheld the complaint and Ryanair undertook not to repeat it. BA then took the case to the High Court, claiming trademark infringement and malicious falsehood, that Ryanair had not compared like with like. The judge ruled in favour of Ryanair, but added that it was 'immature' for two large companies to fight such a dispute in court. Outside the court, O'Leary cheekily accusing BA of adopting bully-boy tactics, stating *"Today's a victory for the small guy, it's a victory for Ryanair and it's a victory for the consumer"*. So far, an airline industry spat, with O'Leary playing the 'people's champion'. But O'Leary went further in his humorous 'play' by placing an advertisement, 'IT'S OFFICIAL – BA ARE EXPENSIVE'. Identity was not only used to promote Ryanair's 'brand', it was used to shape debate, challenge established airlines, to pick a fight that inflicted damage beyond the court ruling. We see how O'Leary jester's cap mobilised discursive resources, yet subverts it in a profane, but humorous manner, and all playing out the heroic entrepreneur. His highly individualistic identity play draws this out quite splendidly in the final advertisement. The mocking, the lampooning, the holding up to ridicule, the inversion of conventional logic, through jesting and clowning is at the expense of his opponents, and to the benefit of Ryanair. Thus, identity plays out a process of creative destruction.

Another vivid example of self-identity play is O'Leary's use of his entrepreneurial licence by swearing, itself part of his bullying and jesting behaviour, but demonstrating his man of the people credentials:

"Screw the travel agents – take the fuckers out and shoot them. What have they done for passengers over the years?" The Observer, November 7, 2004.

In the same article, O'Leary also attacks the competition:

"Weber [Chairman Lufthansa Supervisory Board] *says Germans don't like low fares. How the fuck does he know? The Germans will crawl bollock-naked over broken glass to get them".*

We found that he used obscenity regularly: "fuck" appeared 16 times; "bastard", 24 and "bollocks" 15 times. This unusual language adds verve to O'Leary's projection of self, where this distinctive identity manifestations form part of O'Leary's repertoire of shock tactics. Ruddock (2007) describes O'Leary's move from being a dull accountant to an exciting, charismatic figurehead. He has earned a place in the hall of fame of exemplary entrepreneurial practitioners and can be understood as identifying with entrepreneurship. Yet his idiosyncratic practices are also central to his purposeful use of entrepreneurial identity to gain strategic advantage for Ryanair. The dynamic entrepreneur, seen this way is not inconsistent with the foul mouthed clowning. As Goss (2005) and Jones and Spicer (2005) argue, harking back to Schumpeter; enlistment, the ability to draw other in, is central to entrepreneurship. Goss notes how the attractive qualities of 'the entrepreneur' in our cultural milieu taps into the emotional. The clowning, jesting and shock tactics may not fit an entrepreneurial stereotype, instead it forms a statement of who O'Leary is, a personal and unique identity. The plays on emotion, in conjunction with entrepreneurial rhetoric, create a singularly unique entrepreneur.

Significantly, as the head of Ryanair, O'Leary has been responsible for the disruption of an industry, operating as the disequilibrating force that has dislodged the protected market of the traditional carriers from the somnolence of equilibrium (Kirzner, 1999). We can see Ryanair's part in pioneering the low cost revolution in the Single Market for Air Transport. DG TREN (2003) contends that there is evidence of structural change in the European air transport market. Indeed Ryanair now carry more passengers than British Airways, low cost fares are a norm, weekend overseas trips to regional airports unheard of 10 years ago are commonplace; creative destruction indeed.

Discussion and conclusions

So what does this all mean and how might we use it to further our understanding? We have drawn on a diverse literature to problematicise entrepreneurial identity and to propose an explanatory perspective combining collective and individual identity. We have shown what patterns exist in the press and attempted to theorise what these mean and why they occur. By employing a social constructionist stance, we find that entrepreneurial discourse presents an assembly of entrepreneurial virtues. These qualities, characteristics and actions are valorised as constituents of the enterprise culture to invent and fashion an entrepreneurial ideal type. Thus we can see an identity category emerging form the discourse, an entrepreneurial self. The media, an integral player in discourse production, takes up and makes this recognisable as it animates and personifies a collective identity by ascribing it to entrepreneurial individuals. Thus we find the typifications of the entrepreneur, and their behaviours, are amplified in the press. This entrepreneurial identity becomes a framework of the attributes and qualities deemed desirable in changing environments.

In our analysis of Michael O'Leary's presence in the press we see how he enacts the entrepreneurial self. His presentations draw upon the rhetoric of competition but are

expressed in the vocabulary of enterprise (Figure 1). He legitimates his opinions, views and actions by recourse to the logic and desirability of the entrepreneurial metaphor. This is particularly vivid when he lambastes regulators and authorities as the very antithesis of enterprise. But O'Leary's presenting of his entrepreneurial self seems to go far beyond a virtuous re-enactment of entrepreneurial credentials. He is not afraid to vulgarise in his rough tongued polemics. His ostentatious displays are brash, uncouth invectives; his tirades employ obscenity tempered with saucy humour. O'Leary plays with the collective identity to produce an idiosyncratic but dramatic personal identity as a champion, a people's champion, of enterprising values. This is his identity, this is who he claims to be. He does so in his own unique and colourful terms but couched and underpinned in the logic of enterprise.

In exploring entrepreneurial identity, we have found that identity is rather more than simply something we have, or just about who we are. What we have done is to "defamiliarize" (DiMaggio, 1995) notions of identity to show that is not well explained as a passive ascription of qualities or personal attributes. Rather, identity seems to be something that we do identity work to acquire. Once acquired, it can be worked to considerable advantage. Although entrepreneurial identity is a relatively complex social construction, it can be usefully explained by deconstructing into the two aspects of collective and personal identity. We engaged with paradox and incongruity, paradox in the notion of identity as categorising with, and identity as different; but also the incongruities at the micro level of O'Leary's identity. We explained the inconsistency of how someone educated first at a public school, considered to be the "Eton of Ireland", then at one of Ireland's best universities as an accountant, becomes identified as a rough tongued entrepreneurial jester. Thus identity, conceptually, and in entrepreneurial use, provides a useful explanatory framework to help understand the social constructions and applications of the entrepreneurial self.

In narrative terms, we can explain this example of entrepreneurial identity production and use as primarily enacting a story line. The story line is an animation of the enterprise discourse as a role enactment. But the performance of this role is not simply replicating stereotype, instead the donning of the jester's cap allows O'Leary to idiosyncratically play out, to considerable advantage, his own scripts. The story line chimes with enterprise discourse to weave a plot of enterprising challenge, but the acts, lines and even the costumes are O'Leary's skilled accomplishments.

These findings allow us to extend Goffman's ideas about the presentation of self. Goffman (1959) talks insightfully about impression management, how we act out the social roles that people expect of us. Goffman captures an understanding of the complexity of identity; that in particular contexts people may play out different roles. For Goffman, this acting out lubricates social interaction, role expectations lead to understanding particular actions in context. Goffman stops short of telling us much about what these roles are, and how they may be enacted. What we are able to show is how entrepreneurial role expectations are socially constructed from the entrepreneurial discourse. We show the congruence between what is expected of an entrepreneur, role enactment, and what O'Leary does. Thus we are able to link the micro of Goffman to the macro of entrepreneurial discourse. Within the milieu of the press, we noted how role enactment and role presentation operated in a self perpetuating spiral of amplification. How the newsworthiness of being entrepreneurial led to greater media cover; in turn this may lead to a strengthening of entrepreneurial identity at the collective level and most clearly at the level of an individual identity. This is what Tourish and Vatcha (2005) call the poetic trope of attribution of agency. Indeed O'Leary's acting provides reportable news, thus sustaining his media presence. But the application of our perspective showed that whilst the macro of discourse provides a stereotypical role expectation, the micro enactment involved a repertoire of presenting to produce a unique individual identity.

For us, what makes O'Leary's identity unique is his skill at combining rational and emotional appeal in his presentation of self; providing both "bread and circuses". The bread is the

rational appeal as a good businessman, offering cheap flights, increasing competition to benefit consumers. This logic appeals to the rational and set in the context of the entrepreneurial discourse, mobilizing ideas about value, competition and entrepreneurial benefits. But the circus is entertainment, his jesting, his clowning, his undeferential acting out of the rough tongued protagonist are set up to capture emotional attraction which seems to overcome ennui about political squabbles. In classical rhetoric terms, as a reviewer pointed out, this pattern is explained (Erickson, 1974) in Aristotle's Rhetoric – the *Ethos*, which is the ability of the speaker to convince the audience that he or she is qualified (credible) to speak on the particular topic, the *Logos*, which is an appeal based on logic or reason, and the *Pathos*, which is an appeal based on emotion.

We want to argue that this production and use of identity is purposeful. In terms of construction, we see O'Leary's transformation from a privately educated accountant to an entrepreneurial hero ostentatiously championing air travellers' rights as deliberate. Charlie Clifton, an executive at Ryanair is cited in Ruddock (2007, p. 194), *".....who's gonna run it? Are you trying to say, we're really like Southwest, but we've got a dull accountant running the company?" It wouldn't have washed. Michael knew he had to lead from the front"*. Boru (2006) also picks up on the influence of Southwest's CEO Herb Kelleher, though O'Leary claims to have modelled himself on Richard Branson (The Observer, June 15, 2003)*: "As Branson demonstrated, the way to punch above your weight is to shoot your mouth off"*. Whatever the model for O'Leary's entrepreneurial self, his application of this identity is purposeful. We see it as both strategic and tactical. We saw how he used the power and license of his entrepreneurial identity to strategically shift and decentralise debate into his chosen territory, to where Ryanair had strategic advantage. Indeed we saw identity employed to attempt to disrupt institutions to Ryanair's strategic advantage. Tactically, we argue that such press exposure promotes Ryanair and O'Leary and markets their brand.

Our analysis helps explain the power of the entrepreneurial discourse. We see discourse iterated as an urgent call to entrepreneurial arms, a mode of action and representation to address some perceived need for change. But it uses a broad brush to sweep together a miscellaneous grouping of attributes and actions as an entrepreneurial rubric. In this broad economic and social scoping of the entrepreneurial, the emergent identity category identifies *with* qualities, rather than whom, so the generic entrepreneurial identification is equally broad. The label, an entrepreneurial identity, is thus sufficiently malleable to allow practicing entrepreneurs to employ it to build their own individualised identity.

Schumpeter insightfully argued that creative destruction is what entrepreneurs do. But here we can see how it is not limited to products; by taking a broader view we can see how identity practices can be explained as an example of creative destruction in practice. The creative destructor of established airline business models also aspires to be the creative destructor of what he sees as the moribund establishment. Thus the idea of creative destruction has some explanatory power outside its normal domain of the evolutionary replacement of product or service. In this application, we can see how O'Leary employs his entrepreneurial identity to destructively challenge the establishment in the hope of creating something new.

The contribution of this study is to extend our understanding of entrepreneurial identity production and use. In so doing we have illustrated an approach for understanding the power and application of the entrepreneurial discourse. By juxtaposing the notions of identity category and personal identity, we were able to show that entrepreneurial identity and power are not just to be read off the discourse. Whilst discourse locates entrepreneurs in a particular entrepreneurial trajectory, it seems that entrepreneurial practices may be needed to mobilize the constituent elements as enacted, or at least re-presented as enacted. It is in this way that entrepreneurial action can become legitimised; an entrepreneurial identity becomes a licence. We thus show how discourse can be put to work and can become a strategic tool in skilled hands.

References

Alonso, P, Hyde, J., 2002, Introduction, *Aspects of discourse analysis,* eds. Alonso, P. Sanchez, M.J. Hyde, J., Moran, C., pp 1-9, Aquilafuente, Salamanca

Anderson, A. R. (2005) 'Enacted Metaphor: The Theatricality of the Entrepreneurial Process', International Small Business Journal, 23: 587-603.

Anderson, A., R. Drakopoulou Dodd, Jack. S. (2009) Aggressors; winners; victims and outsiders: European schools' social construction of the entrepreneur. International Small Business Journal 27 (1) 126–36.

Anderson, A.R, Park, J., Jack, S. (2007) 'Entrepreneurial Social Capital: Conceptualizing Social Capital in New High-tech Firms', International Small Business Journal, 25: 245-272.

Anderson, A.R., Smith, R.. (2007) 'The moral space in entrepreneurship', Entrepreneurship and Regional Development, 19(6): 479-497.

Atherton, A. (2004) 'Unbundling enterprise and entrepreneurship', International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation, 5: 121-127.

Bakhtin, M., (1973/1929) Problems in Dostoevsky's poetics, (2nd edition, trans, Rostel, R.W.) Ardis, Ann Harbor MI.

Bauman, Z. (2004) Identity. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Berger, P.L. and Luckman, T. (1966) The social construction of reality. New York, NY: Anchor Books.

Blumer, H. (1962) 'Society as symbolic interaction', in A. M. Rose (Ed.) Human Behavior and Social Processes: An Interactionist Approach, London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

Boje, D. and Smith, R. (2005) 'Narrating entrepreneurial identity; Bill Gates and Richard Branson in quasi-direct discourse', unpublished paper.

Boru, B. (2006) 'Ryanair: the Cú Chulainn of civil aviation', Journal of Strategic Marketing, 14: 45-55.

Bowey, J. Easton, G. (2007) 'Entrepreneurial social capital unplugged: An activity-based analysis', *International Small Business Journal,* 25, 3, 273-306.

Boyle, R., Magor, M., (2008) A nation of entrepreneurs? Television, social change and the rise of the entrepreneur, *International Journal of Media and Cultural Politics,* 4 (2): 125-144

Boyle, R., (2008) From Troubleshooter to The Apprentice: the changing face of British television, *Media, Culture and Society*, 30(3):415-424

Calder, S. (2003) No Frills: The Truth Behind the Low-Cost Revolution in the Skies. London: Virgin.

Carr, P. and Beaver, G. (2002) 'The Enterprise Culture: Understanding a Misunderstood Concept', Strategic Change, 11: 105-113.

Clegg, S.R. (1989) Frameworks of Power. London: Sage.

Cohen, L. and Musson, G. (2000) 'Entrepreneurial identities: reflections from two case studies', Organization, 7: 31-48.

Cooley, C. H. (1962) Social Organisations. New York: Schocken.

Cope, J, Jack, S., Rose, M. B. (2007), 'Social Capital and Entrepreneurship: An Introduction', International Small Business Journal, 25: 213-219.

Cope, J. (2005) 'Researching Entrepreneurship through Phenomenological Inquiry: Philosophical and Methodological Issues', International Small Business Journal, 23: 163-189.

Creed, W.E.D., Scully, M. and Austin, J. R. (2002) 'Clothes make the person? The tailoring of legitimating accounts and the social construction of identity', Organizational Science 13(5): 475-496.

DG TREN (2003) Analysis of the European Air Transport Industry 2002, Contract Number: B2-7040B-S07.17962.

DiMaggio, P. (1995) 'Comments on "what theory is not" ', Administrative Science Quarterly, 40: 391-397.

Doolin, B. (2002) 'Enterprise Discourse, Professional Identity and the Organizational Control of Hospital Clinicians', Organization Studies, 23(3): 369-390.

Down, S. and Reveley, J. (2004) 'Generational encounters and the social formation of entrepreneurial identity: "young guns and old farts" ', Organization, 11: 233-250.

Down, S. and Warren, L. (2008) 'Constructing narratives on enterprise: clichés and entrepreneurial self-identity', International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research, 14(1): 4-23.

Down, S. (2006) *Narratives of Enterprise: Crafting Self-Identity in a Small Firm* Edward Elgar , Cheltenham

Downing, S. (2005) 'The Social Construction of Entrepreneurship: Narrative and Dramatic Processes in the Coproduction of Organizations and Identities', Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 29: 185-204.

Drakopoulou Dodd, S. and Anderson, A. R. (2001) `Understanding the Enterprise Culture: Paradigm, Paradox and Policy', International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation 2(1): 13-26.

Drakopoulou Dodd, S, Anderson, A. R. (2007) 'Mumpsimus and the Mything of the Individualistic Entrepreneur', International Small Business Journal, 25: 341-360.

Drakopoulou-Dodd, S. and de Koning, A. (2002) 'Raising babies, fighting battles, winning races: entrepreneurial metaphors in the media of 5 English speaking nations' Babson Kauffman Entrepreneurship Conference, Boulder, Colorado.

Drakopoulou-Dodd, S. and de Koning, A. (2004) 'Tell me an old, old story' Institute for Small Business Affairs (ISBA) Conference, Newcastle.

Elster, J., (1989) Nuts and bolts for the social sciences., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Erickson, K. V. (1974) *Aristotle: The Classical Heritage of Rhetoric*. Metuchen, NJ: Scarecrow

Fairclough, N. (1992) Discourse and social change. Oxford: Blackwell.

Fletcher, D. E. (2006) 'Entrepreneurial processes and the social construction of opportunity'. Entrepreneurship & Regional Development, 18: 412-440.

Foucault, M. (1972) The Archaeology of Knowledge. London: Tavistock.

Giddens, A. (1991) Modernity and Self-Identity: Self and Society in the Late Modern Age. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Goffman, E. (1959) The presentation of self in everyday life. Harmondsworth: Pelican Books.

Goss, D. (2005) 'Schumpeter's legacy? Interaction and emotions in the sociology of entrepreneurship', Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice, 29: 205-218.

Granovetter, M. (1985) 'Economic action and social structure, the problem of embeddedness', American Journal of Sociology, 91: 481-510.

Grey, C. (2004) 'Enterprise, management and politics', International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation, 5: 9-13.

Grint, K., 2000, The arts of leadership, Oxford University Press, Oxford

Gurthy, E., Clark, T., Jackson, B., 2009 *Demystifying Business Celebrity,* Edward Elgar, Cheltenham

Hall, S. (1980) 'Recent Developments in Theories of Language and Ideology: a Critical Note', in S. Hall, D. Hibson, A. Lowe, and P. Willis,(eds) Culture, Media, Language. Working Papers in Cultural Studies 1972-9. London: Hutchinson and Co.

Handley, K., Sturdy, A., Fincham, R., and Clark, T. (2006) 'Within and beyond communities of practice: making sense of learning through participation, identity and practise, Journal of Management Studies, 43(3): 641-653.

Hannerz, U. (1992). *Cultural complexity: Studies in the social organization of meaning.* New York: Columbia University Press.

Hendry J. (2004) 'Cultural confusions of enterprise and the myth of the bureaucratized entrepreneur',The International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation, 5: 53-57.

Hermans, H. J. M.(2004) 'Introduction: The Dialogical Self in a Global and Digital Age', Identity, 4(4), 297 — 320

Hermans, H.J.M. 2002, The Dialogical Self as a Society of Mind: Introduction, *Theory & Psychology,* 12(2) 147-160.

Hjorth, D. and Johannisson, B. (2003) 'Conceptualising the opening phase of regional development as an enactment of collective identity',Concepts and Transformation, 8: 69-92.

Holland, D. Lachicotte, W., Skinner, D. and Cain, C. (1998) Identity and Agency in Cultural Worlds. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Hytti, U. (2000) 'The concept of identity and its relevance for entrepreneurial research'. paper presented at the RENT conference, Prague, November 23-24.

Jack, S, Drakopoulou Dodd, S., Anderson, A. R. (2008) 'Change and the development of entrepreneurial networks over time: a processual perspective', Entrepreneurship & Regional Development, 20:2, 125 — 159.

Jack, S. L. and Anderson, A. R. (2002) 'The articulation of social capital in entrepreneurial networks: a glue or a lubricant?', Entrepreneurship and Regional Development, 14: 193-210.

Jenkins, R. (1996) Social Identity. London: Routledge.

Johansson, A, W. (2004) 'Narrating the Entrepreneur', International Small Business Journal, 22: 273-293.

Jones, C. and Spicer, A. (2005) 'The sublime object of entrepreneurship', Organization, 12: 223-246.

Kirzner, I. M. (1999) 'Creativity and/or alertness: A reconsideration of the Schumpeterian Entrepreneur' The Review of Austrian Economics, 11: 5- 17.

Kuschel, C. J. (1994) Laughter: A Theological Reflection. New York: Continuum.

Lash, S. (1999) Another modernity; a different rationality. Oxford: Blackwell.

Lawton, T. C. (2000) 'Flying Lessons: Learning from Ryanair's Cost Reduction Culture', Journal of Air Transportation Worldwide, 5: 89–106.

Lewis, P. and Llewellyn, N. (2004), 'Introduction to Special issue on enterprise and entrepreneurial identity', The International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation, 5: 5-8.

Ljunggren & Alsos. (2001). *Media Expressions of Entrepreneurs: Frequency, Content and Appearance of Male and Female Entrepreneurs.* Paper presented at The Babson- Kauffman Foundation Entrepreneurship Research Conference, Jonkoping.

Lounsbury, M. and Glynn, M. A. (2001) 'Cultural entrepreneurship: stories, legitimacy, and the acquisition of resources', Strategic Management Journal, 22: 545-564.

Lustick, I. S. and Miodownik, D. (2002), 'The institutionalization of identity: micro adaptation. Macro effects, and collective consequences', Studies in Comparative International Development, 37: 24-53.

Mallon, M. and Cohen, L. (2001) 'Time for a change? Women's accounts of the move from organizational careers to self-employment', British Journal of Management, 12: 217-230.

Mead, G. H. (1934) Mind Self and Society. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Merton, R. K. (1957) Social Theory and Social Structure. Glenco, Illinois: Free Press.

Neergaard, H., 2005, 'Networking Activities in Technology-based Entrepreneurial Teams', International Small Business Journal, 23: pp. 257-278.

Nicholson, L. and Anderson, A. R. (2005) 'News and Nuances of the Entrepreneurial Myth and Metaphor: Linguistic Games in Entrepreneurial Sense-Making and Sense-Giving', Entrepreneurship: Theory & Practice, 29: 153-173.

Ogbor, J.O. (2000) 'Mythicizing and reification in entrepreneurial discourse: ideology-critique of entrepreneurial studies', Journal of Management Studies, 37: 605-635.

Oswick, C., Keenoy, T. and Grant, D. (2002), 'Metaphor and Analogical Reasoning in Organization Theory: Beyond Orthodoxy' Academy of Management Review, 27: 294–303.

Otto, B. K. (2001) Fools Are Everywhere: The Court Jester Around the World. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Radu, M, Redien-Collot, R., (2008) 'The Social Representation of Entrepreneurs in the French Press: Desirable and Feasible Models?' International Small Business Journal,. 26: 259-298.

Ricoeur, P. (1992). *Oneself as another*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Rindova, V.P., Pollock, T.G. and Hayward, M.L.A. (2006) `Celebrity Firms: The Social Construction of Market Popularity', Academy of Management Review 31: 50—71.

Ruddock, A. (2007) Michael O'Leary: A Life in Full Flight. Ireland: Penguin.

Ruiz, R. J. 2009, Sociological discourse analysis: methods and logic, Forum: Qualitative Social Research, 10(2) 1-30

Schutz, A. (1962) Phenomenology and the Social Sciences, in A. Schutz, Collected Papers, pp.118–139. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff .

Shaw, E., (2006) 'Small Firm Networking: An Insight into Contents and Motivating Factors', International Small Business Journal, 24: 5-29.

Smith, R. Anderson, A.R. (2004). The devil is in the e-tale: Forms and structures in the entrepreneurial narratives, in D. Hjorth & C. Steyaert (eds.) *Narrative and Discursive Approaches in Entrepreneurship,* 125-143. Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar Publishing.

Somers, M. R. (1994) 'The narrative constitution of identity: a relational and network approach', Theory and Society, 23: 605-649.

Steyaert, C. and Bouwen, R. (1997) 'Telling Stories of entrepreneurship – towards a narrative contextual epistemology for entrepreneurial studies', in R. Donckels, and A. Taylor (eds) Sources of the Self: The Making of the Modern Identity, Cambridge Mass.: Harvard University Press.

Tourish, D., Vatcha, N. (2005). Charismatic leadership and corporate cultism at Enron: The elimination of dissent, the promotion of conformity and organizational collapse. Leadership, 1(4), 455-471

Thorpe, R., Gold, J, Holt, R, and Clarke, J. (2006) 'Immaturity: The Constraining of Entrepreneurship', International Small Business Journal, 24: 232-250.

Warren, L. (2004) 'Negotiating entrepreneurial identity: communities of practice and changing discourses', International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation, 5: No 2, pp. 25 – 37.

Watson, T. J., 2009, Narrative, life story and manager identity: A case study in autobiographical identity work, *Human Relations* 62(3) 425-452

Table I **Mentions of leading entrepreneurs**
(All UK newspapers including regionals and the Irish News tabloid)

	Michael O'Leary	Stelios Haji Ioannou	James Dyson	Richard Branson
Jan-June 2001	170	138	184	2052
Jul-Dec 2001	460	292	143	1830
Jan-June 2002	449	455	255	1526
Jul-Dec 2002	331	338	188	1407
Jan-June 2003	473	226	283	1627
Jul-Dec 2003	539	203	234	1492
Jan-June 2004	614	258	93	1522
Jul-Dec 2004	414	377	184	1687
Jan-Dec 2005	360	284	193	1295
Jul-Dec 2005	403	241	124	1325
TOTAL	**4213**	**2812**	**1881**	**15763**

Table I **Mentions of leading entrepreneurs**
(All UK newspapers including regionals and the Irish News tabloid)

Table 2 **Mentions of leading entrepreneurs in all UK national newspapers**

	Michael O'Leary	Stelios Haji Ioannou	James Dyson	Richard Branson
Jan-June 2001	98	73	66	1027
Jul-Dec 2001	239	155	63	874
Jan-June 2002	267	266	93	776
Jul-Dec 2002	213	174	60	683
Jan-June 2003	317	122	91	767
Jul-Dec 2003	351	131	67	732
Jan-June 2004	377	146	33	719
Jul-Dec 2004	257	189	81	819
Jan-Dec 2005	227	178	92	633
Jul-Dec 2005	249	148	61	711
TOTAL	**2595**	**1582**	**707**	**7741**

Table 3 **Mentions of leading entrepreneurs in the Irish Times**

	Michael O'Leary	Stelios Haji Ioannou	James Dyson	Richard Branson
Jan-June 2001	0	0	2	11
Jul-Dec 2001	0	2	0	13
Jan-June 2002	40	2	0	1
Jul-Dec 2002	26	2	1	4
Jan-June 2003	52	1	0	6
Jul-Dec 2003	50	2	0	7
Jan-June 2004	73	1	0	3
Jul-Dec 2004	58	2	3	10
Jan-Dec 2005	76	0	3	8
Jul-Dec 2005	50	1	0	7
TOTAL	**425**	**13**	**9**	**70**

Table 4 Categorisation of the Ahern - O'Leary Interchanges

	Ahern/O'Leary Interchanges	Aer Rianta & Terminal 2 issues	Type of articles about the airport issues
Jan-June 2001	1	0	0
Jul-Dec 2001	29	13	Business analysis (1) News reports (12)
Jan-June 2002	12	6	Business analysis (3) News (3)
Jul-Dec 2002	9	2	Business analysis (2)
Jan-June 2003	17	11	News reports (7) Business analysis (4)
Jul-Dec 2003	41	28	Business analysis (16) News reports (10) Quote of the week (1) Company report/meeting (1)
Jan-June 2004	30	20	Business analysis (11) News reports (6) Self penned piece by O'Leary (1) Quote of the week/year compilations (2)
Jul-Dec 2004	25	10	Business analysis (6) News reports (4)
Jan-June 2005	63	56	Business analysis (26) News report (27) Private letter from O'Leary (3 appearances)
Jul-Dec 2005	16	7	Letter from member of public General business analysis (3) Piece penned by O'Leary News report (2)
TOTAL	**243**	**153**	

Figure 1 Identifying enterprise, contrasts with bureaucracy

Contra-entrepreneurial identifiers Grey, (2004)	**Entrepreneurial identifiers** Hendry, (2004)
bureaucratic management	self-reliance self-motivation
pedantic	competitiveness
inert	autonomy
unimaginative	boldness, energy
uncreative	creativity
inflexible	initiative, innovativeness
producer focused	productivity, efficiency
rule bound.	willingness to take risks, personal responsibility, self-regulation