

Development of a prehabilitation multimodal supportive care interventions for men and their partners prior to radical prostatectomy for localized prostate cancer.

PATERSON, C., PRIMEAU, C., PULLAR, I., NABI, G.

2019



Development of a pre-habilitation multimodal supportive care interventions for men and their partners prior to radical prostatectomy for localized prostate cancer

Running Head: Pre-habilitation in prostate cancer

Catherine Paterson, Ph.D, MSc, BA, RAN; Charlotte Primeau, Ph.D, MSc, BSc; Irene Pullar, MSc, BSc; Ghulam Nabi, MD

Robert Gordon University, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Garthdee, Aberdeen (Dr Paterson)
University of Dundee, Division of Cancer Research, Ninewells Hospital, Dundee (Dr Primeau and Prof Nabi)
NHS Tayside, Department of Physiotherapy, Kingscross Hospital, Dundee (Pullar)

Correspondence: Dr Catherine Paterson, Robert Gordon University, Garthdee, Aberdeen
Telephone: +44 01224 262635, c.paterson15@rgu.ac.uk

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no funding or conflicts of interest to disclose.

Key Words: Prostate Cancer, supportive care, pre-habilitation, radical prostatectomy, partner/caregivers

Acknowledgements:

We would like to thank Maggie's Cancer Care and Centre Head, Dr Lesley Howells, Clinical Psychologist (<https://www.maggiescentres.org/our-centres/maggies-dundee/>) for supporting this study.

Abstract

Background: An important question revolves around when the most opportune time is to introduce recovery-optimizing behaviors for men opting for radical prostatectomy (RP) for localized prostate cancer (PCa). An emerging field of research describes the role of pre-operative strategies to improve treatment tolerance, and overall physical and psychological recovery.

Objective: To explore the perceptions of a multimodal pre-habilitation intervention for men and their partners prior to RP for localized PCa.

Intervention/Methods: Thirty-four patients who opted for RP for localized PCa and their partners (19) were identified and recruited into the study. The multimodal intervention comprised of educational materials, physiotherapy instruction and a self-management group-based seminar.

Results: The multimodal pre-habilitation intervention was perceived as overall helpful with demonstrated acceptability (91.9%). Beneficial themes related to the quality of the information provided to support self-management, open forum questions with multidisciplinary healthcare professionals, and increased knowledge among partners to help with their understanding of how to look after their husbands.

Conclusion: The intervention was feasible and beneficial for the prostate cancer dyad. A future pilot RCT study is needed to provide sufficient evidence on the long-term physical and psychological outcomes and cost-effectiveness.

Implications for Practice: Oncology nurses play a key role in the development of pre-habilitation care delivery. Pre-habilitation interventions can have a positive effect on improving health outcomes for cancer patients and their partners after surgery and into survivorship.

Introduction

Radical prostatectomy (RP) is a common and effective treatment for localized prostate cancer (PCa), with a 15-year survival rate³. However RP, is associated with significant adverse side effects, such as urinary incontinence, sexual dysfunction, and reduced physical function that negatively affects health-related quality of life (HRQOL) and psychological well-being⁴⁻⁸. Existing interventions have typically focused on the urological side effects of urinary incontinence and sexual dysfunction through pelvic floor muscle exercises and/or phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors, and psychotherapy commonly employed for psychological adjustments, all in the post-operative period^{6,7,9}.

To optimise the overall RP experience for patients, an important clinical question revolves around *when* the most opportune time is to introduce recovery-optimizing behaviors¹⁰. The post-operative period may be less than ideal due to self-management concerns related to perturbing the healing process, and patients are anxious in awaiting results of Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) and histopathology. Instead, an emerging field of research describes the role of pre-operative strategies to improve treatment tolerance, recovery and risk stratified pathways of follow-up care. The pre-operative period may be more physically and emotionally salient for patients and families by capitalizing on: 1) better general physical condition of the patient (compared to the acute post-operative period), 2) surgical wait-list times, 3) a ‘teachable moment’ for the patient that accompanies the need for major surgery, and 4) to prepare patients and families psychologically for the impending surgery and recovery¹¹. Ultimately, it is hypothesized that the pre-operative period may be the optimal time to invest into the

modifiable supportive care factors and strategies that contribute to peri- and post-operative health, see **(Figure 1)** ^{10,12} .

Studies routinely report that patients who are physically active and fit recover more quickly, have fewer peri-operative complications, and experience better convalescence compared with patients who are less physically active and fit¹⁰. Cancer pre-habilitation is defined as a process on the continuum of care that occurs between the time of a cancer diagnosis and the time prior to the beginning of acute treatment¹². Pre-habilitation includes physical and psychological assessments that establish a baseline functional level, identifies impairments, and provides targeted interventions aimed to improve a patient's health to reduce the incidence and the severity of current and future impairments^{9,10,12-14}. In a surgical setting, pre-operative physical and/or psychological conditioning aims to increase body and mind reserves to prevent the inevitable decline in physical and psychological well-being in the post-operative period ¹². Recently several published studies have described numerous pre-habilitation benefits to post-operative well-being across a variety of cancer populations ¹⁵, including improvements in physical function in cancer patients undergoing colorectal surgery ¹⁶, and bladder cancer ¹⁷. However, no pilot testing of pre-habilitation multimodal supportive care interventions have been conducted in men affected by localized prostate cancer prior to radical therapy ⁹ .

The need for optimizing “timely” and “person-centred” interventions is informed by a series of research studies, including systematic reviews ¹⁸⁻²¹. Men affected by prostate cancer may experience long-term treatment side effects (e.g., incontinence, sexual dysfunction) that challenge the patient's sense of masculinity and identity, and reduce mental and social well-being ^{6,8,9,18,22,23}. Men have reported that they can experience a

lack of awareness of available resources²⁴ and men have reported unmet informational needs around the self-management of side-effects^{19,21}, a lack of awareness of appropriate sign-posting to healthcare professionals^{18,25}, and a lack of dietary and physical exercise advice²⁶. Moreover, there is increasing evidence to acknowledge that couples affected by prostate cancer report an erosion in spousal bond, reduced couple communication²² and spouse/partner isolation²³.

To date, pre-habilitation interventions in cancer care have typically focussed on exercise intervention programmes²⁷ as a unimodal approach. Moreover, existing pre-habilitation studies have not addressed the supportive care needs of the partner¹⁰. More recently, studies have concluded that a multimodal approach that incorporates both physical and psychological pre-habilitation interventions may be more effective than a unimodal approach¹⁰.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to test the feasibility and acceptability of a pre-habilitation multimodal supportive care intervention for men opting for RP for localized PCa and include their partner to inform a future pilot Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT).

Methods

Patients and Methods

Setting

The healthcare provision of National Health Service (NHS) Tayside in Scotland serves a geographical area which consists of predominantly white ethnicity, of an urban and rural population of more than 405,721 individuals based on mid-year 2011 population

estimates published by the General Register Office for Scotland. The study had institutional approval (CSAppGN021211).

Participants

Thirty-four patients who opted for RP for localized PCa and their partner (19) were identified and recruited into the study. Men treated by salvage radical prostatectomy and/or receiving neoadjuvant/adjuvant therapy were excluded. The study was undertaken in NHS Tayside, Scotland from January 2017 to July 2017.

Pre-habilitation Intervention

The intervention was developed using the Medical Research Council Framework for complex interventions ²⁸ and the six steps in quality intervention development (6SQuID) ²⁹. The intervention and associated materials were developed in consultation with multidisciplinary healthcare experts including patients' representatives. The pre-habilitation intervention comprised of three main components: 1) informational materials, 2) pelvic floor exercise instruction delivered by an experienced advanced pelvic floor physiotherapist, and 3) an evidence-based self-management seminar. Men and their partners participated in the multimodal pre-habilitation intervention 4 weeks prior to RP.

Informational materials

Participants were provided with a custom-made evidence-based self-management booklet entitled "*A Prostate Cancer Guide to Thrivership: Men it is time to Thrive*" (**Supplementary Information**). The information booklet included the following topics: how to self-care, managing the side-effects of prostate surgery, relationships and

sexual well-being, nutrition and exercise, healthy lifestyle approaches and community based support resources.

Pelvic floor exercise instruction

Participants were provided with a pelvic floor prescription at the time of the seminar, which begin with education on the pelvic anatomy, and instructions on how to perform pelvic floor muscles exercises by an advanced senior pelvic physiotherapist (I.P.). The pelvic floor prescription included a gradual increase in repetitions from 60 per day during weeks 1–2, 120 per day during weeks 2–3, and 180 per day until the surgical date. The total number of repetitions were divided equally between the rhythmic contractions (contract and relax over one second) and the sustained contractions (contract and hold for up to 10 seconds). Participants were also advised to contract the pelvic floor muscles when they coughed, sneezed, or lifted on physical exertion. Participants were instructed to contract with their maximum effort during all repetitions.

Group-based seminar

The evidence-based self-management seminar (1.5 hours) included the following topics: 1) introduction to radical prostatectomy and potential side-effects, 2) self-managing side-effects, 3) managing emotions and mind changes, 4) erectile dysfunction and relationships, 5) nutrition and exercise, 6) finance and benefits, 7) relaxation and stress management, and 8) sign-posting to community based services and open question session. The seminar was led by an experienced senior prostate cancer specialist nurse, advanced pelvic floor physiotherapist and a trained counsellor, underpinned by the

Prostate Cancer Model of Consultation²⁰. The intervention was delivered at Maggie's Cancer Care Centre.

Outcomes

All participants completed the following patient reported outcome measures: the *Supportive Care Needs Survey* (SCNS-SF34) which is a multidimensional self-report questionnaire that evaluates 34 patient needs that fall under the following five domains: health system and information, psychological, physical and daily living, patient care and support, and sexuality ¹. The supportive care needs survey (SCNS) is a self-administered instrument, face and content validity are found to be high, and Cronbach alpha coefficients ranged 0.87 – 0.97 for all 5 scales. The instrument assesses whether issues of need have been experienced, which of the issues experienced remain unmet needs, and the magnitude of such needs. Patients and partner/caregivers were also invited to complete a qualitative Feedback Questionnaire to evaluate the usefulness of the pre-habilitation multimodal supportive care intervention, an instrument previously used in the evaluation of multimodal supportive care interventions in prostate cancer patients ².

Analysis

All analyses were conducted in SPSS Statistics for Windows version 21.0 (IBM Corp., SPSS for windows, Armonk, NY). Descriptive statistics were used to summarize outcome measures. Prior to the analysis, variables were examined for accuracy of data entry and missing values. Basic exploratory statistical analysis of *indicative findings* was undertaken to evaluate the usefulness of the intervention to inform a future pilot RCT study.

One of the authors (CP) coded all the qualitative Feedback Questionnaires, and coding was subsequently verified by a second and a third author, when needed, to ensure a close match. Framework analysis was used to examine commonalities and differences within and between the qualitative verbatim. Broad themes were identified, and an electronic matrix display (in Microsoft Excel[®]), which included original links to the data, was used to keep a transparent account of how themes were derived.

Results

Of the 37 patients invited to participate in the pre-habilitation seminar, 34 men took part (91.9%). Two men were unable to participate due to having their surgical date of RP moved, and one man declined participation as he did not perceive this as being helpful for him. Prior to radical surgery men experienced a range of supportive care needs as measured by the Supportive Care Needs Survey areas of most need were related to feelings of anxiety (n7) and depression (n7), uncertainty for the future (n9), learning to feel in control (n8), worries about changes in sexual relationships (n10), and fear of death and dying (n4), see (**Table**). Of the 19 partners only five completed the SCNS-SF34 questionnaire. The main reason for non-completion was that partners articulated that the SCNS-SF34 was not applicable to them, they expressed that the SCNS-SF34 was aimed to explore experiences for people who have been diagnosed with a cancer. Of the five partners who completed the SCNS-34 reported unmet needs related to fear of cancer spreading (n2), uncertainty for the future (n3), concerns of changes in sexual relationships (n5), and the need for information and explanations about tests (n2).

The pre-habilitation seminar was well attended and demonstrated acceptability (91.9%). Participants documented open comments captured in the pre-habilitation intervention Feedback Questionnaire which related to several themes. This identified that information and education was particularly important for men and their partner, and the importance of having an opportunity to have an open dialogue with other patients, partner and healthcare professionals prior to radical therapy:

“Open comments from the floor (other participants) helpful” and “it was a very useful afternoon, and to be able to ask specific questions regarding personal problems or issues to specialist healthcare professionals was invaluable”.

The multi-disciplinary approach to delivering the pre-habilitation multimodal self-management intervention was perceived as beneficial and alleviated concerns of embarrassment, particularly around topics of sexual rehabilitation and urinary incontinence:

“Very useful, informative and supportive, very impressed with the quality of the information” and “it was very professional but in a friendly, easy to understand manner which raised a smile in what could have been a very daunting time, and embarrassing for our partners”.

Partner perceived benefit in participating in the pre-habilitation seminar through developing a better understanding of how they can support their husbands before and following their impending radical prostatectomy:

“I found it all very helpful and glad that I have been able to support my husband and meet the staff looking after him” and “I learnt a lot more in how I can help to support my husband”.

Qualitative feedback in relation to the pre-habilitation multimodal intervention was perceived as overall helpful and informative, **Figure 2**. Most participants (n52, 98.1%) found the time allocated to each pre-habilitation subject was adequate in the seminar. Moreover, (n52, 98.1%) did not experience any inconvenience in participating in the intervention in relation to travelling time, time away from work, lack of motivation to participate or not wanting to meet other people.

Discussion

This study makes an important contribution to the understanding of the role of a pre-habilitation multimodal supportive care intervention in addressing the supportive care needs of men affected by localized prostate cancer prior to radical prostatectomy and the needs of their partners. Pre-habilitation, or pre-operative conditioning aims to improve psychological and physiological capacity to support patients to withstand the stress of the surgical event and enhance recovery¹³. Up until now, pre-habilitation interventions have been unimodal and have typically focused on exercise based interventions with a dearth of pre-habilitation psychological intervention in prostate cancer¹⁴. This intervention development study has demonstrated acceptability of a multimodal pre-habilitation intervention for men opting for RP and their partners.

Our study is the first to capture data on the experience of supportive care needs of men prior to radical prostate surgery and their partners. Existing research has typically focussed on the post-treatment patient trajectory^{21,24,30}. Men experienced a range of unmet supportive care needs prior to radical surgery related to psychological well-being, coping with the uncertainty of the future, concerns about changes in sexual relationships, fear of death and dying and fear of the cancer spreading. Research evidence has identified that the supportive care concerns of men following radical therapy are related to psychological and sexual needs which continue post treatment phase and into survivorship^{18,21,30,31}. Consequently, existing evidence underscores the need for further multimodal interventions prior to radical therapy and into survivorship to optimise recovery and overall quality of life.

Prostate cancer and its treatment not only affect the individuals with the illness but can have a significant impact on family members as well. In addition to coping with the diagnosis and uncertainty of the disease, partners often have added caregiver responsibilities and supportive care needs of their own³². Galbraith et al.³³ have demonstrated the strong interrelationship between patient and partner quality of life for couples experiencing prostate cancer, indicating mutuality in response to the disease and its treatment, and a compelling argument to consider both the patient and his partner throughout pre-habilitation programmes in the future. This study provides data to support the acceptability and feasibility of this intervention to inform a future pilot RCT study.

Our study has limitations that deserve a mention. First, the aim of this study was to develop a pre-habilitation multimodal intervention to inform a future pilot RCT study and therefore, our study has focused on the experiences of patients and their spouses before RP, and limits the assessment of persistent longitudinal effects. Future research should examine the prostate cancer dyads' experience of supportive care needs, coping, quality of life, self-management self-efficacy and psychological distress over this disease trajectory³⁴. If these variables have a long-term predictive effect on quality of life and supportive care needs, such data can be used to inform early identification of couples that may be vulnerable for experiencing increased distress. We had missing data in relation to the SCNS-SF34 for partners. Partners reported that this questionnaire was designed for patients who have been diagnosed with a cancer, not necessarily themselves as loved ones or relatives to explore their needs per se. Given this limitation, future research is needed to explore and develop standardised instruments

with demonstrated reliability and validity to assess the supportive care needs of partners/loved ones affected cancer.

Conclusion

This study adds to the pre-habilitation literature and provides data that acknowledges that our novel multimodal supportive care intervention is feasible and beneficial for the prostate cancer dyad. A future pilot RCT study is needed to provide sufficient evidence on the short- and long-term physical and psychological outcomes as well as cost-effectiveness. Pre-habilitation multimodal interventions has the potential to empower patients and partners affected by localized prostate cancer to take responsibility for their recovery and has the potential to inform appropriate risk stratified pathways of follow-care in the future.

References

1. Boyes A, Girgis A, Lecathelinais C. Brief assessment of adult cancer patients' perceived needs: development and validation of the 34-item Supportive Care Needs Survey (SCNS-SF34). *J Eval Clin Pract* 2009; **15**(4): 602-6.
2. Primeau C, Paterson, C, Nabi, G. A qualitative study exploring models of supportive care in men and their partners/caregivers affected by metastatic prostate cancer *Oncology Nursing Forum* 2017; **In Print**.
3. Abdollah F, Boorjian S, Cozzarini C, et al. Survival following biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy and adjuvant radiotherapy in patients with prostate cancer: the impact of competing causes of mortality and patient stratification. *European Urology* 2013; **64**(4): 557-64.
4. Hashine K, Nakashima T, Iio H, Ueno Y, Shimizu S, Ninomiya I. Health-related quality of life in the first year after laparoscopic radical prostatectomy compared with open radical prostatectomy. *Japanese Journal Of Clinical Oncology* 2014; **44**(7): 686-91.
5. Min-Chia W, Tse-Chou C, Wen-Tsung H, Shu-Chan C, Sung-Wei L. Association of Erectile Function of Quality of Life of Prostate Cancer Patients [Chinese]. *Journal of Nursing & Healthcare Research* 2014; **10**(1): 51-60.
6. Parahoo K, McDonough S, McCaughan E, et al. Psychosocial interventions for men with prostate cancer: a Cochrane systematic review. *BJU Int* 2015; **116**(2): 174-83.

7. Menichetti J, Villa S, Magnani T, et al. Lifestyle interventions to improve the quality of life of men with prostate cancer: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2016; **108**: 13-22.
8. Watson E, Shinkins B, Frith E, et al. Symptoms, unmet needs, psychological well-being and health status in survivors of prostate cancer: implications for redesigning follow-up. *BJU Int* 2016; **117**(6B): E10-9.
9. Moore THM, King AJL, Evans M, Sharp D, Persad R, Huntley AL. Supportive care for men with prostate cancer: why are the trials not working? A systematic review and recommendations for future trials. *Cancer Medicine* 2015; **4**(8): 1240-51.
10. Silver JK, Baima J. Cancer prehabilitation: an opportunity to decrease treatment-related morbidity, increase cancer treatment options, and improve physical and psychological health outcomes. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2013; **92**(8): 715-27.
11. Karvinen K, Bruner B, Truant T. The Teachable Moment After Cancer Diagnosis: Perceptions From Oncology Nurses. *Oncol Nurs Forum* 2015; **42**(6): 602-9.
12. Carli F, Silver JK, Feldman LS, et al. Surgical Prehabilitation in Patients with Cancer: State-of-the-Science and Recommendations for Future Research from a Panel of Subject Matter Experts. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am* 2017; **28**(1): 49-64.
13. Shun S-C. Cancer Prehabilitation for Patients Starting from Active Treatment to Surveillance. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Oncology Nursing* 2016; **3**(1): 37-40.
14. Tsimopoulou I, Pasquali S, Howard R, et al. Psychological Prehabilitation Before Cancer Surgery: A Systematic Review. *Annals of Surgical Oncology* 2015; **22**(13): 4117-23.
15. Carli F, Gillis C, Scheede-Bergdahl C. Promoting a culture of prehabilitation for the surgical cancer patient. *Acta Oncol* 2017; **56**(2): 128-33.

16. Mayo NE, Feldman L, Scott S, et al. Impact of preoperative change in physical function on postoperative recovery: argument supporting prehabilitation for colorectal surgery. *Surgery* 2011; **150**(3): 505-14.
17. Jensen BT, Laustsen S, Jensen JB, Borre M, Petersen AK. Exercise-based prehabilitation is feasible and effective in radical cystectomy pathways-secondary results from a randomized controlled trial. *Support Care Cancer* 2016; **24**(8): 3325-31.
18. King AJL, Evans M, Moore THM, et al. Prostate cancer and supportive care: a systematic review and qualitative synthesis of men's experiences and unmet needs. *European Journal of Cancer Care* 2015; **24**(5): 618-34.
19. Paterson C, Jones M, Rattray J, Lauder W. Identifying the self-management behaviors performed by prostate cancer survivors: a systematic review of the evidence. *Journal of Research in Nursing* 2014; **20**(2): 96-111
20. Paterson C, Nabi G. A Model of Consultation in Prostate Cancer Care: Evidence From a Systematic Review. *Cancer Nurs* 2016.
21. Paterson C, Robertson A, Smith A, Nabi G. Identifying the unmet supportive care needs of men living with and beyond prostate cancer: A systematic review. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing* 2015; **19**(4): 405-18.
22. Badr H, Taylor CLC. Sexual dysfunction and spousal communication in couples coping with prostate cancer(). *Psycho-oncology* 2009; **18**(7): 735-46.
23. Soloway CT, Soloway MS, Kim SS, Kava BR. Sexual, psychological and dyadic qualities of the prostate cancer 'couple'. *BJU Int* 2005; **95**(6): 780-5.
24. Ream E, Quennell A, Fincham L, et al. Supportive care needs of men living with prostate cancer in England: a survey. *British Journal of Cancer* 2008; **98**(12): 1903-9.

25. Paterson C, Jones M, Rattray J, Lauder W. Exploring the relationship between coping, social support and health-related quality of life for prostate cancer survivors: A review of the literature. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing* 2013; **17**(6): 750-9.
26. Breau RH, McGrath PJ, Norman RW. Assessing self-help issues for patients with prostate cancer, interstitial cystitis, erectile dysfunction and urinary diversion. *BJU International* 2003; **92**(7): 736-40.
27. Cabilan CJ, Hines S, Munday J. The effectiveness of prehabilitation or preoperative exercise for surgical patients: a systematic review. *JBIC Database System Rev Implement Rep* 2015; **13**(1): 146-87.
28. Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, Michie S, Nazareth I, Petticrew M. Developing and evaluating complex interventions: the new Medical Research Council guidance. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2013; **50**(5): 587-92.
29. Wight D, Wimbush E, Jepson R, Doi L. Six steps in quality intervention development (6SQuID). *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2015.
30. Cockle-Hearne J, Charnay-Sonnek F, Denis L, et al. 40 Supportive Care Needs of Men with Prostate Cancer – a European Survey for the Prostate Cancer Education Project (PrEP). *European Journal of Oncology Nursing* 2012; **16**: S14-5.
31. Paterson C, Alashkham A, Lang S, Nabi G. Early oncological and functional outcomes following radical treatment of high-risk prostate cancer in men older than 70 years: A prospective longitudinal study. *Urol Oncol* 2016.
32. Wittmann D, Carolan M, Given B, et al. Exploring the role of the partner in couples' sexual recovery after surgery for prostate cancer. *Support Care Cancer* 2014; **22**(9): 2509-15.

33. Galbraith ME, Fink R, Wilkins GG. Couples Surviving Prostate Cancer: Challenges in Their Lives and Relationships. *Seminars in Oncology Nursing* 2011; **27**(4): 300-8.
34. Paterson C, Primeau C, Nabi G. A multimodal supportive care intervention in men and their partners/carers affected by metastatic prostate cancer: A randomised controlled feasibility study. *European Urology Supplements* 2017; **16**(3): e1641.