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ABSTRACT
Mobile application developers sometimes might not be serious about source code security and publish apps to the marketplaces. Therefore, it is essential to have a fully automated security solutions generator to integrate security-by-design into the development practices, especially for the Android platform. This research proposes a Machine Learning (ML) based highly accurate method to detect Android source code vulnerability samples was generated initially. The dataset was used to train binary and multi-class classification based ML models, to identify code issues by following a static analysis approach. The proposed model can detect code vulnerabilities with a 0.90 F1-Score and vulnerability categories (CWE) with a 0.96 F1-Score. By integrating this with the Android development environment, app developers can analyse source code and identify security vulnerabilities in real-time. The proposed framework can be extended to suggest suitable patches to overcome the source code issues by providing real-time fixes in future.

CCS CONCEPTS
• Security and privacy → Software and application security:
• Human-centered computing → Mobile computing:
• Computing methodologies → Machine learning.
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1 INTRODUCTION
With the increased use of smartphones, device manufacturers and Operating System (OS) vendors try to increase their market shares. In February 2022, Google Android leads with a 70.97% market share, while Apple iOS has a 28.27% market share [12]. Sometimes, mobile application developers try to develop applications and release them to the market in a rush, without having much concern about the security-by-design concepts. Therefore, some applications contain vulnerabilities that may lead to severe problems. However, the application developers and mobile OS vendors are responsible for securing users from vulnerabilities. Though Android has the highest market share, the applications are not thoroughly verified for security aspects, like iOS [3]. The security of these applications is not guaranteed since they might not comply with extensive security protocols. According to the security development lifecycle, [6], it is better to follow the security first development practices simultaneously as the code is being written [11], without waiting until the application is completely developed. Therefore, a proper vulnerability detection mechanism is required, which can be used to enhance their security when developing Android apps.

Researchers used conventional and ML-based methods to detect and mitigate vulnerabilities with the three analysis methods: static, dynamic and hybrid [8]. Due to the increasing popularity of ML, many studies have used ML methods compared to conventional methods [4]. Nevertheless, many of these studies conducted their experiments to identify vulnerabilities in software written in Java, C++, Python. Few works have been conducted specifically for Android applications [8], but they were not comprehensive.

2 BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK
There are two ways of analysing Android applications. The first way is to analyse the code by reverse-engineering the developed Android Application Packages (APKs). It is the most popular and the easiest way, but a pre-build application is required to follow this approach [1]. The second way is to analyse the source code simultaneously as the code is being written, which is more valuable to the developers. Researchers have developed several automated tools to identify Android app vulnerabilities [4, 9] with various scanning methods. However, these tools require deployment-ready APKs to perform the analysis, which is the main limitation of those methods.
2.1 Motivation of the Research
As per our findings, there is no proper method to detect vulnerabilities with high accuracy at the Android application development time. Many of the studies only consider detection methods rather than mitigation methods. Furthermore, a properly labelled dataset that can be used to identify Android-specific vulnerabilities is also required to train an ML model to predict the vulnerabilities in each source code. This work proposes a method to detect Android source code vulnerabilities using ML with static analysis techniques. The proposed model can detect vulnerabilities in Android source code with an F1-Score of 0.90 in the binary classification and 0.96 in the multi-class classification when trained with the XGBoost algorithm. This work also proposes a comprehensive source code vulnerability dataset for Android, which the research community can use for further experiments. The current dataset contains vulnerable and non-vulnerable source code samples from over 1000 applications, along with attributes such as the standard identifiers, levels of severity, and descriptions. Furthermore, a thorough analysis of existing application reverse engineering and source code scanning methods is also introduced, used as the base method for this dataset generation stage.

3 METHODOLOGY
This research proposes a method for Android source code vulnerability mitigation using ML. There were two main stages in this approach: 1) Training dataset generation, 2) Pre-processing and ML model training to identify the accuracy of dataset generation and prediction model accuracy. Figure 1 illustrates the overall approach.

3.1 Training Dataset Generation
By identifying the research gap through related studies and existing methods, it is identified that the lack of benchmark dataset to train an ML model for Android code vulnerability detection. Therefore, as the proof of concept, a novel dataset was created at the initial stage. The dataset generation process has two main steps: a) ScраЪing APKs and source code and b) Scanning APKs and generating the vulnerability dataset.

3.2 Pre-processing and ML Model Training
The second stage is to pre-process the generated dataset and train ML algorithms to validate the possibility of using the dataset to train an ML model. Features to train the model were generated using 1-3 n-gram techniques.

Only the vulnerability status, CWE-ID and the source code sample attributes in the dataset were considered for further analysis in this work. The source code samples should be processed appropriately to maximise the detection accuracy of the model since it is the independent variable of the model. The string values mentioned within the source code were replaced with "user_str", except the IP address related details and encryption-related details stated as string values. These particular string values were substituted with matching templates (i.e. "0.0.0.0", "AES", "SHA1", "MD5") since they can cause vulnerabilities such as CWE-200 (exposure of sensitive information to an unauthorised actor), CWE-201 (insertion of sensitive information into sent data), and CWE-327 (use of a broken or risky cryptographic algorithm) [2]. Additionally, all the comments in the source code were removed.
Model Training and Evaluating. Once the pre-processing was completed, both binary and multi-class classification methods were used. Conversely, as the primary research goal, it is required to identify whether a given source code is vulnerable or not. If vulnerable, the CWE-IDs associated with it are required to provide suggestions for vulnerability mitigation.

Several ML algorithms, including Naive Bayes, Logistic Regression, Gradient Boosting, Random Forest and XGBoost were considered and selected XGBoost as it provides a high accuracy compared to the others in this approach. Once the model is applied to the coding environment, it is possible to detect whether a given code line is vulnerable or not. If the code line is vulnerable, suggestions to mitigate the vulnerability by referring to the CWE are also provided.

4 PRELIMINARY RESULTS
After training and testing the model, the binary and multi-class classification results are discussed in this section.

4.1 Binary Classification
By training 2,952,785 source code samples using XGBoost, the binary classification model provides an F1-Score of 0.90 as illustrated in Figure 2.

4.2 Multi-Classs Classification
By training XGBoost model using a multi-class classification approach with 46,333 vulnerable code samples, 0.96 F1-Score was achieved as illustrated in Figure 3.

Figure 2: Binary classification results with XGBoost

Figure 3: Multi-class classification results with XGBoost

5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK
Based on the initial experiments for building a highly accurate Android vulnerability detection method, it is possible to see promising results for binary and multi-class classification approaches with the XGBoost algorithm. Therefore, the proposed model can be integrated with an Android development environment after training with more data. Furthermore, explainable artificial intelligence is planned to be integrated to identify the reasons for vulnerabilities.
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